

---

# World Housing Encyclopedia

*an Encyclopedia of Housing Construction in  
Seismically Active Areas of the World*



an initiative of  
Earthquake Engineering Research Institute (EERI) and  
International Association for Earthquake Engineering (IAEE)

---

## HOUSING REPORT Vivienda de Bahareque

---

<b>Report #</b>	141
<b>Report Date</b>	10-08-2007
<b>Country</b>	EL SALVADOR
<b>Housing Type</b>	Timber Building
<b>Housing Sub-Type</b>	Timber Building : Walls with bamboo/reed mesh and post (Wattle and Daub)
<b>Author(s)</b>	Dominik Lang, Roberto Merlos, Lisa Holliday, Manuel A. Lopez M.
<b>Reviewer(s)</b>	Qaisar Ali

---

### Important

This encyclopedia contains information contributed by various earthquake engineering professionals around the world. All opinions, findings, conclusions & recommendations expressed herein are those of the various participants, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, the International Association for Earthquake Engineering, the Engineering Information Foundation, John A. Martin & Associates, Inc. or the participants' organizations.

## **Summary**

The bahareque construction type refers to a mixed timber, bamboo and mud wall construction technique which was the most frequently used method for simple houses in El Salvador before the 1965 earthquake (Levin, 1940; Yoshimura and Kuroki, 2001). According to statistics of the Vice-ministry of Housing and Urban Development in the year 1971 bahareque buildings had a share of 33.1 % of all buildings in El Salvador, while in 1994 the percentage of bahareque declined to about 11 % (JSCE, 2001b) and in 2004 to about 5 % (9 % in rural areas; according to Dowling, 2004). The term 'bahareque' (also 'bajareque') has no precise equivalent in English, however in some Latin American countries this construction type is known as 'quincha' (engl.: wattle and daub). In order to prevent confusion it should be noted, that in El Salvador the term 'bahareque' is used for all types of this mixed construction type regardless the material of the horizontal elements (struts).

Bahareque buildings are characterized by high flexibility and elasticity when carefully constructed and well-maintained, and thus originally display good performance against dynamic earthquake loads. However, bahareque buildings in most cases show high vulnerability during earthquakes. This is caused by poor workmanship (carelessness and cost-cutting measures during construction), lack of maintenance (resulting in a rapid deterioration of building materials), and structural deficiencies such as a heavy roofing made out of tiles. Bahareque structures are primarily of residential use and only one story. The structural walls are mostly composed of vertical timber elements and horizontal struts which are either made of timber slats, cane/reed (carrizo), bamboo (vara de castilla, caña brava or caña de bambú) or tree limb (ramas). These members are generally 2- to 3-inches thick and are fastened at regularly spaced intervals from the base to ceiling height at the vertical elements (with nails, wires or vegetal fibers). This creates basketwork type skeleton which is then packed with mud and clay filler combined with chopped straws (or sometimes with whole canes), and covered with a plaster finish in some cases. In rural areas, the walls are often left plane, without any lime plaster and whitewash, or paint, which gives them a wavy surface with an unfinished character. It should be noted that bahareque houses in rural areas are quite different from those in urban areas both in terms of their esthetical appearance as well as their structural capacity (cf. Figures 1 and 2).

## **1. General Information**

Buildings of this construction type can be found in many places throughout the country. However, the percentage of these buildings is higher in rural areas than urban areas. This type of housing construction is commonly found in both rural and urban areas.

Even though the basic construction technique is the same, there are differences between bahareque buildings found in urban and rural areas. Those found in urban areas are more stabile and have more substantial construction, complete with (adobe-based or lime-based) plaster, and whitewash or paint (Figure 4), while those in rural regions appear to be temporary shacks reflecting a lower income level (Figure 3).

This construction type has been in practice for more than 200 years. Currently, this type of construction is being practiced. However, only in rural areas. In urban areas it is not used

anymore and the remaining bahareque dwellings from earlier days are oftentimes abandoned and derelict.



Figure 1. Typical bahareque building for rural areas. [Click to enlarge figures]



Figure 2. Typical bahareque building for urban areas.



Figure 3. Typical bahareque buildings in rural areas.



Figure 4. Typical bahareque buildings in urban areas.

## 2. Architectural Aspects

### 2.1 Siting

Such constructions are typically found in flat terrain. Such buildings do not have common walls with adjacent buildings. When separated from adjacent buildings, the distance from adjacent building is generally variable, from cm to meters.

### 2.2 Building Configuration

The typical shape of the building plan for this housing type is rectangular. Figures 5 to 9 illustrate the plans, cross-sections and views of typical bahareque houses as can be found in rural areas. These representations are buildings from Guatemala since comparable information is hard to find for El Salvador. However, the structural details of bahareque buildings in El Salvador and Guatemala are comparable. The doors are usually located at the center of the wall, the windows at both sides of the door. For those walls without a door, the windows are located close to the corners. The window and door area is around 12% of the overall wall surface area. The average dimensions of doors are: width 1.00 m and height 2.10 m. The average dimensions of windows are: width 1.0 m and height 0.80 m.

### 2.3 Functional Planning

The main function of buildings of this type is single family house. In rural areas, general use is residential. In urban areas, bahareque houses can also accommodate retail trade or handicraft businesses. In a typical building of this type, there are no elevators and no fire protected exit staircases. Generally, these buildings have two doors, one at the front and one on the building's back side entering the backyard.

### 2.4 Modification to Building

In some cases, outer walls of bahareque buildings are supplemented by masonry walls added

inside the structure (Figure 10). The most frequent modification of bahareque buildings is replacing the heavy clay roof tiles with metal sheeting such as corrugated iron or aluminum plates.

Figure 5. Plan shape, cross-section and view of a typical residential bahareque building in a rural area (here: San Antonio Palopó/Guatemala; taken from Marroquin and Gándara, 1976). [Click to enlarge figures]

Figure 6. Plan shape, cross-sections and view of a typical bahareque building with an annex of adobe walls in a rural area (here: San Antonio Palopó/Guatemala; taken from Marroquin and Gándara, 1976)

Figure 7. Plan shape, cross-section and view of a typical bahareque building with a wooden annex in a rural area (here: Soloma/Guatemala; taken from Marroquin and Gándara, 1976).

Figure 8. Plan shape, cross-section and view of a typical bahareque building in a rural area (here: Purulhá/Guatemala; taken from Marroquin and Gándara, 1976).

Figure 9. Plan shape, cross-section and view of a typical bahareque building in a rural area (here: Purulhá/Guatemala; taken from Marroquin and Gándara, 1976).



Figure 10. Modification of a bahareque house by an additional wall at the inside made of masonry bricks (Santa Tecla).

## 3. Structural Details

### 3.1 Structural System

This is a Timber Building and Timber Building : Walls with bamboo/reed mesh and post (Wattle and Daub).

### 3.2 Gravity Load-Resisting System

The vertical load resisting system is Gravity loads from the roof construction itself (dead loads) or from live loads such as wind impact are directly transferred from the roof construction to the corner columns (wooden posts) which take the entire gravity load and transfer it to the ground (or foundation). In urban areas, most of the bahareque houses have a base (pedestal) forming the foundation made out of clay bricks, field stones or even

concrete. The base can reach up to one meter above the ground with the bahareque walls resting on it (Figure 14). The bahareque shacks found in rural areas often possess no foundation or only a strip footing comprised of fieldstones or bricks. Since the indigenous method of roof covering with palm fronds is mainly replaced by heavy clay tiles of burnt adobe the largest gravity loads result from the weight roof construction.

### **3.3 Lateral Load-Resisting System**

The lateral load-resisting system of bahareque houses principally consists of a flexible mixed wall construction made out of vertical timber elements and horizontal struts which are fastened at regularly spaced intervals at the columns (Figure 11). Even though these wall constructions are packed with mud and clay filler combined with chopped straws (or sometimes with whole canes), they show elasticity and are characterized by a very low self weight (Figure 12). In most cases, sufficient bracing of the walls, e.g. by diagonal trusses (Figure 13), is not provided resulting in a lack of adequate wall strength in both the in-plane and out-of-plane directions (Yoshimura and Kuroki, 2001). In addition, lateral resistance is reduced by the failure to set the vertical structural elements (wooden corner columns) deeply and firmly into the ground (Levin, 1940). The gabled roof generally consists of a light wood frame construction which is not able to support any lateral loading. At best, a tight connection of the roof construction with the walls can only be assumed at the corner columns.

### **3.4 Building Dimensions**

The length of the building is 8 to 10 m and width 4 m. The building has 0 to 1 storey (s). The typical span of the roofing/flooring system is 4-8 meters. Story heights vary between 1.8m and 3.0m. The typical storey height in such buildings is 2.4 meters. The typical structural wall density is up to 5 %.

### **3.5 Floor and Roof System**

The floor is made of earthen materials or cast plaster (screed). The roof is considered a flexible diaphragm. Details of a typical roof construction are given in Figure 15.

### **3.6 Foundation**

The building has a shallow foundation. The shallow foundation is a rubble stone (field stone) strip footing, reinforced concrete strip footing and no foundation. In rural areas, bahareque houses generally possess no foundation or only a strip footing of fieldstones or bricks. Here, the vertical timber elements are simply set firmly into the ground at the corners which in many reported cases is not sufficient. In urban areas, foundations are built as bases (pedestals) consisting of fieldstones, clay bricks or concrete into which the vertical posts are inserted (Figure 14).



Figure 11. Detailing and fastening of the horizontal struts at the vertical timber elements. [Click to enlarge figures]

Figure 12. Detailing of a bahareque wall (after Carazas-Aedo and Rivero-Olmos, 2002)

Figure 13. Elevation view of a bahareque wall (after Carazas-Aedo and Rivero-Olmos, 2002).



Figure 14. Bahareque building in an urban area with a pedestal made of clay bricks.

Figure 15. Detailing of the wooden roof construction of bahareque houses (taken from Moisa-Perez and Medrano-Lizama, 1993).



Figure 16. Low adherence of plaster due to weathering effects and missing connection to the wall materials

## 4. Socio-Economic Aspects

### 4.1 Number of Housing Units and Inhabitants

Each such building has 1 housing unit(s) in it due to the small plan dimensions and thus small living area, generally only one family occupies these buildings. The number of inhabitants in a building during the day or business hours is less than 5. Similarly, the number of inhabitants during the evening and night is 5-10.

### 4.2 Patterns of Occupancy

### 4.3 Economic Level of Inhabitants

Persons living in the housing are very poor and poor. The housing unit price to annual income for very poor is US\$ 2000 / 4300, and for poor, it is US\$ 5000 / 7200. The ratio of the Housing Unit Price to their Annual Income is 1:1 or better. The typical source of financing the purchase of a housing unit in these buildings is owner finance, personal savings and loans through informal network of friends and relatives. In each housing unit, there are 1 bathrooms (with no toilets), 1 toilets only and 0 bathrooms-cum-toilets.

however, in many cases (especially in rural areas), bahareque buildings have no internal latrines or bathrooms. the latrines are usually placed inside a small shack, which is located in the backyard.

## 4.4 Ownership

The type of ownership or occupancy is outright ownership.

# 5. Seismic Vulnerability

## 5.1 Structural and Architectural Features

The structure does not contain a complete load path for seismic force effects in any horizontal direction that serves to transfer inertial forces from the upper portions of the building to its foundation. The building is regular with regards to its plan and elevation. The roof diaphragm is not rigid and is not expected to maintain its integrity, i.e., shape and form, during an earthquake of intensity expected in the area where the building is located. No information is available on the rigidity of floor diaphragms(s) and its integrity, during an earthquake of intensity expected in the area where the building is located. There is no evidence of excessive foundation movement (e.g., settlement) that would affect the integrity or performance of the building in an earthquake. At least two walls or frames are available in each principal orthogonal direction of the building structure. At each storey level, the height-to-thickness ratio of shear walls is not maintained; the standard is <math><635\text{mm}</math> (25 in) in reinforced concrete walls, <math><760\text{ mm}</math> (30 in) in reinforced masonry walls, and <math><330\text{ mm}</math> (13 in) in unreinforced masonry walls. Vertical load-bearing elements (e.g., columns and walls) are not doweled into the foundation. Exterior walls are not anchored into roof and every floor with metal anchors or straps for out-of-plane seismic effects. The total width of door and window openings in a wall are well maintained; the standard is <math><1/2</math> the distance between adjacent cross walls in brick masonry walls in cement mortar, <math><1/3</math> the distance between adjacent cross walls in adobe masonry, stone masonry & brick masonry in mud mortar, and <math>3/4</math> the length of perimeter wall in precast concrete walls. Quality of building materials is not adequate as per requirements of relevant national codes and standards. Quality of workmanship (based on visual inspection of few typical buildings) is not as per relevant national codes and standards. Buildings of this type are generally not well maintained and there are visible signs of deterioration of building materials (e.g., concrete, steel and wood).

## 5.2 Seismic Features

Structural Element	Seismic Deficiency	Earthquake Resilient Features	Earthquake Damage Patterns
Wall	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- insufficient bracing reducing wall strength</li> <li>- faulty tying of horizontal members to the columns reducing wall strength</li> <li>- deterioration of wall materials due to effects of climate and vermins</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- flexibility, elasticity</li> <li>- low dead loads</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- in-plane and out-of-plane failure</li> </ul>

Frame (wooden corner columns)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- insufficient number of posts</li> <li>- insufficient foundation depth</li> <li>- lack of preservative treatment of timber leading to deterioration due to vermins (insects)</li> <li>- decay/rot of buried portion of column bases due to missing foundation and/or lack of preservative treatment</li> </ul>	- flexibility, elasticity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- anchorage/embedding failure of wooden posts</li> <li>- diagonal shear cracking</li> </ul>
Roof	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- no diaphragm effect</li> <li>- no tight connection to the walls</li> <li>- high dead loads in case of heavy roof tiles (inverted pendulum)</li> <li>- material deterioration of wooden (or metal) trusses due to climatic effects</li> </ul>	- low dead loads in case of palm fronds or corrugated iron sheeting	- total and partial collapse of roof construction
Other	- low adherence of plaster due to weathering effects and missing connection to the walls (Figure 16)		- spalling of plaster

### 5.3 Overall Seismic Vulnerability Rating

The overall rating of the seismic vulnerability of the housing type is *C: MEDIUM (i.e., moderate seismic performance)*, the lower bound (i.e., the best possible) is *A: HIGH (i.e., very poor seismic performance)*, and the upper bound (i.e., the worst possible) is *D: MEDIUM-LOW (i.e., good seismic performance)*.

### 5.4 History of Past earthquakes

Year	Epicenter	Magnitude	Intensity
1917	June 8, West of San Salvador	Ms 6.7	N.A.
1919	April 28, San Salvador	Ms 5.9	N.A.
1936	Dec. 20, San Vicente	Ms 6.1	VII-VIII (SIEBERG)
1951	May 6-7, Jucuapa, Chinameca, and Santiago de Maria	Ms 5.9, Ms 6.0, Ms 5.5	I (MSK) < VIII
1965	May 3, San Salvador (d = 10 km)	Ms 5.9	VIII (MMI)
1982	June 19, Pacific Ocean	Mw 7.3	VII (MMI)
1986	Oct 10, South of San Salvador	Mw 5.7 (Ms 5.4)	VIII (MMI)

2001	Jan 13, Pacific Ocean (100 km southwest of San Miguel)	Mw 7.7 (Ms 7.8)	VII-VIII (MMI)
2001	Feb 13, San Juan Tepezontes	Mw 6.6 (Ms 6.5)	VII (MMI)

The bahareque construction type is not covered by the vulnerability table of the European Macroseismic Scale EMS-1998 (Grünthal (ed.) et al., 1998). This building type has proven to perform better under lateral earthquake shaking than adobe structures. Additionally, its reported flexibility/elasticity as well as some favorable features such as the lightweight wall (and roof) construction may justify the classification into vulnerability class C. However, it should be stated, that this strongly depends on the quality of materials, workmanship, and the state of maintenance. Most of the bahareque buildings which can be found nowadays are older and show weathering effects and have to be classified into vulnerability class A.

1917: The use of bahareque construction techniques in the urban areas of San Salvador is forbidden by legislative decree, following the June 8 earthquake (Moisa-Perez and Medrano-Lizama, 1993).

1936, December 20 (local: December 19, 20:41 h) earthquake: According to Levin (1940), the intensity of the earthquake near the city San Vicente "certainly exceeded grade VII of the Sieberg scale, and probably reached grade VIII." Uncertainties in the intensity assignment arise from the fact that most of the damage was concentrated on traditional building types, such as adobe or bahareque, which are not mentioned in the intensity scales, and due to the considerable number of buildings already damaged by foreshocks from the preceding morning. The isoseismal map of the earthquake was drawn largely with the following as a basis: Iseismal zone VIII: poorly constructed or weak bahareque houses collapsed, plaster fell from the walls of well-constructed bahareque houses, some heavy tile roofs either collapsed or were considerably deformed. Iseismal zone VII: good bahareque houses were unaffected except for falling plaster and deformation of tile roofs; some old or poorly constructed bahareque houses collapsed. Beyond isoseismal zone VI there was no visible damage to structures.

1951, May 6–7 (UTC: 23:03 h, 23:08 h on May 6 and 20:22 h on May 7): A series of three destructive earthquakes (Ms 5.9, Ms 6.0, Ms 5.5) destroyed the cities of Jucuapa and Chinameca with about 400 fatalities (Bommer et al., 2002) as well as the city of Santiago de Maria. The size of the affected area was very small, "a few adobe and bahareque houses did withstand the shocks, but all of these had been built within two or three years prior to the earthquake" (Ambraseys et al., 2001).

1965, May 3 (UTC: 10:01 h): Rosenblueth and Prince (1966) report that "at 4h 01 m 35s (local time) on the 3rd of may, 1965, the capital city of the Republic of El Salvador was shaken by an earthquake that caused severe damages and a death toll of 127 people (.). Its epicenter was located near the city in a distance of 10 km and a superficial focus of about 8 km. The Richter magnitude was computed as 6." Regarding the damages to bahareque buildings, the authors stated that "the larger death toll was caused by the collapse of bahareque dwellings. However, the behavior of this type of constructions was satisfactory, generally; bahareque structures collapsed when three factors were present all together: the wood was rotten, the foundation soil was loose sand and it was located close by the area of maximum intensity."

1986, October 10 (UTC: 17:49 h): Based on Harlow et al. (1993) the earthquake "killed an estimated 1,500 people, injured 7,000 to 10,000 others, and left more than 100,000 people homeless (Olsen, 1987). The earthquake occurred on a shallow fault beneath the city of San Salvador at 11:49 a.m. local time and was assigned a surface-wave magnitude (Ms) of 5.4 by the U.S. National Earthquake Information Center." Whilst Anderson (1987) stated "that new bahareque construction holds up well, on the average, under earthquake ground shaking. But

failure of this building system during the earthquake, as well as failure of adobe construction, was extensive in the southern sector of San Salvador. This included the neighborhoods of Santa Anita, Modelo, and San Jacinto (near the Presidential Palace). Based on experiences in past Central American earthquakes, collapse of bahareque dwellings is often due to failure of the structural timber caused by rot or damage by insects." Figure 17 illustrates some damages to bahareque dwellings cause by the 1986 event.

2001, January 13 (17: 33 UTC) earthquake: The epicenter was located 100 km southwest of the city San Miguel in the subduction zone offshore from El Salvador. The depth of the mainshock was 39 km (NEIS). According to the Seismological Center of Central America (CASC) the maximum ground shaking intensity in the coastal area of El Salvador (near the epicenter) was I(MMI) = VIII, in most cities of El Salvador I(MMI)=VII (Sawada et al., 2001; Yoshimura and Kuroki, 2001). Bommer et al. (2002) suggest that "MM intensities throughout the southern half of the country were between VI and VII with local pockets of higher intensity between VII and VIII." Examples of damaged bahareque houses within different villages of the region Usulután are given in Figure 18.

2001, February 13 (14:22 UTC) earthquake: It is reported that this event, with an epicenter close to the town of San Juan Tepezontes, caused maximum shaking intensities of VII-VIII (MMI) in the area from Lake Ilopango in the west to San Vicente in the east, and VI in San Salvador. However, a more recent study revealed that the maximum intensities did not exceed VII (Bommer et al., 2002). Figure 19 illustrates some damaged bahareque houses located in the city of San Vicente.

\* based on information taken from: Ambraseys et al. (2001), Bommer et al. (2002), Lopez et al. (2004), Lopez et al. (2006), SNET (2004), Yoshimura and Kuroki (2001).



Figure 17. Damaged bahareque dwellings after the San Salvador earthquake on October 10, 1986 (left: San Jacinto neighborhood; right: after Kuroiwa, 1987). [Click to enlarge figures].




Figure 18. Structural damage to bahareque dwellings caused by the earthquake on January 13, 2001.



Figure 19. Structural damage to bahareque dwellings in the city of San Vicente caused by the earthquake on February 13, 2001.

## 6. Construction

### 6.1 Building Materials

**Walls:** Building materials for the walls include timber slats, cane/reed, bamboo or wooden limbs with mud and clay filler. There is no information on strengths of materials used in this construction. There is no information on strengths of materials used in this construction.

**Foundations:** The foundations are typically mud, fieldstones and concrete.

**Frames / Beams & Columns:** The frame (wooden corner columns) are made of (crudely) trimmed timber.

Roof and Floor(s): The roofs are wooden bars with clay tiles or (corrugated) iron. The floors are of earthen materials or cast-in-place plaster (screed).

## **6.2 Builder**

Generally, the building is occupied by the builder himself.

## **6.3 Construction Process, Problems and Phasing**

Neither architects nor engineers are involved in the design or construction of these buildings.

## **6.4 Design and Construction Expertise**

During the design and construction no external expertise is involved. In most cases the builder erects the building for his own.

## **6.5 Building Codes and Standards**

This construction type is not addressed by the codes/standards of the country

## **6.6 Building Permits and Development Control Rules**

This type of construction is a non-engineered construction. This type of construction is authorized as per development control rules.

This housing type is no longer built in urban areas. In rural areas, it is built without supervision by authorities. Building permits are not required to build this housing type.

## **6.7 Building Maintenance**

Typically, the building of this housing type is maintained by Builder and Owner(s).

## **6.8 Construction Economics**

This building typically cost US\$15 per square meter. This housing typically takes 75 man days to build.

# **7. Insurance**

Earthquake insurance for this construction type is typically not available. For seismically strengthened existing buildings or new buildings built to incorporate seismically resilient features, an insurance premium discount or more complete coverage is not available.

# **8. Strengthening**

## **8.1 Description of Seismic Strengthening Provisions**







Tesis, San Salvador, 166 pp, 1993.

21. El temblor de San Salvador, 3 de mayo 1965  
Rosenblueth, E., and Prince, J.  
Ingeniería sísmica. Ingeniería 36. Mexico DF: UNAM, pp 31–58, 1966.
22. Preliminary report on damage caused by the El Salvador earthquake of January 13, 2001  
Sawada, S., Katsuma, H., Yamasaki, Y., and Seo, K.  
Japan Earthquake Engineering NEWS (in Japanese), 2001, Vol.177, pp 20–25.
23. Sismos en El Salvador 1900-2001: Contexto  
SNET  
Technical report, 14 pp., April, 2004.
24. Damage to masonry buildings caused by the El Salvador earthquake of January 13, 2001  
Yoshimura, K., and Kuroki, M.  
Journal of Natural Disaster Science, 2001, Vol.23(2), pp 53–63.

## Author(s)

1. Dominik Lang  
Dr.-Ing., NORSAR  
P.O. Box 53, Instituttveien 23, Kjeller 2027, NORWAY  
Email: dominik@norsar.no FAX: +47-63818719
2. Roberto Merlos  
M.Sc., Universidad Centroamericana José Simeón Cañas (UCA)  
Boulevard Los Proceres,, San Salvador , EL SALVADOR  
Email: rmerlos@ing.uca.edu.sv FAX: +503-22106662
3. Lisa Holliday  
Engr., The University of Oklahoma - FearsLab, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA  
Email: lisaholliday@ou.edu
4. Manuel A. Lopez M.  
Engineer, Escuela de Ingeniería Civil, Universidad de El Salvador  
Final 25 Av. Norte, San Salvador , EL SALVADOR  
Email: manuel.lopez@unipv.it FAX: 503-225-2506

## Reviewer(s)

1. Qaisar Ali  
Associate Professor  
Department of Civil Engineering, NWFP University of Engineering and Technology Pesh  
Peshawar 25000, PAKISTAN  
Email: engrqaisarali@yahoo.com FAX: -9217977