

NORSAR Scientific Report No. 2-91/92

# **Semiannual Technical Summary**

# 1 October 1991 - 31 March 1992

Kjeller, May 1992

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE, DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

. ·

•.

UNCLASSIFIED

			and the second second	_		and the second second	1
C	CI + CC	1010 0 11	<b>C 61</b>	( ) L	16115		
67 T T D T T Y	1 1 // / /	12 14 44 14		<b>1</b>	17113	E MALTL	
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		~	<u> </u>			

SECURITY CLAS	SSIFICATION O	F THIS PAGE											
			REPORT DOCUM	<b>IENTATION</b>	PAGE								
1a REPORT SE	CURITY CLASS	IEICATION	·····	1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS									
UNCLA	SSIFIED			NOT APPLICABLE									
2a. SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	N AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT									
NOT A	PPLICABLE			APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE									
25. DECLASSIF	PPLICABLE	VNGRADING SCHEDU	LE	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED									
4. PERFORMIN	G ORGANIZAT	ION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)									
Scientific	Report 2-9	1/92		Scientific Report 2-91/92									
6a. NAME OF	PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MO	ONITORING ORGA	NIZATION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
NTNF/NC	RSAR		(If applicable)	HQ/AFTA	C/TTS								
6c. ADDRESS (	City, State, and	d ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and ZIP	Code)							
Deat Pay	51			Patrick A	FR FL 32925.	6001							
	ieller Nonw	21/			10,1202020	0001							
N-2007 K		ay 											
8a. NAME OF ORGANIZA	FUNDING/SPO	ense Advanced	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT	I INSTRUMENT IDI	ENTIFICATION N	UMBER						
Research	Proljects A	gency	NMRO	ļ									
8c. ADDRESS (	City, State, and	i ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	S							
3701 N. F	airfax Dr. #	717		ELEMENT NO.	NO. NORSAR	NO. SOW	ACCESSION NO.						
Arlington,	VA 22203-	1714		R&D	Phase 3	Task 5.0	\$eq.no. 003A2						
11. TITLE (Incl	ude Security C	Classification)		<u>i</u>		<b>L</b>							
SEMIANN	NUAL TECH	INICAL SUMMA	RY, 1 OCTOBER 1	991 - 31 MARO	CH 1992 (L	JNCLASSIFI	ED)						
12. PERSONAL	AUTHOR(S)												
125 TYPE OF	PEPOPT	125 TIME CO			RT (Year Month	Davi 15 8465	COUNT						
Scientific	Summarv	FROM 1 O	ct 91 TO 31 Mar 9		v 1992	15 FAGE	52						
16. SUPPLEME	NTARY NOTA	TION											
NOT AP	PLICABLE						•						
17.	COSATI	CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	Continue on reverse	e if necessary and	l identify by blo	ck number)						
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	NODCAD										
8	11	 	NURSAR,	Norwegian Se	ismic Array								
		1											
19. ABSTRACT	(Continue on	reverse it necessary	and identity by block n	umber)									
, ,	This Sami	iannual Technica	1 Summary describ	as the operation	n maintanana	a and recease	h						
1		at the Norwagies	n Summin y ueserro	OPSAP the N	Jorwagian Day	rional Saiam							
1 (	Armore (NIC	DESS) and the	Arotio Pagional Sa	ismia Arroy (A	DCESS) for th	gional Seisin							
1	Array (NC	JRESS) and the	Alctic Regional Se	Isilic Allay (A	RCESS) for u	le period							
-	1 October	1991 - 51 Iviald	ii 1992. Statistics a	ie also presente	a in the heat a	al seisinic sta	<b>!-</b>						
	uons, win	ch unough coop	NODSAD Date D			ountries pro-	•						
•	vide conti	nuous data to the	e INURSAR Data P	Courses (EDIES 4	(INPUC). If	lese stations	.1						
	comprise	the Finnish Expe	erimental Seismic A	Array (FINESA	), the German	Experimenta	1						
	Seismic A	urray (GERESS)	, and two 3-compoi	nent stations in	Poland: Ksiaz	and Stary Fo	01-						
• •	wark.												
						(coi	nt.)						
20. DISTRIBUT	TION / AVAILAB	ILITY OF ABSTRACT		21. ABSTRACT SE	CURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION							
	SIFIED/UNLIMIT	TED SAME AS I	RPT. DTIC USERS	1		·····							
22a. NAME O Mr. Miko	f responsibli Baker	E INDIVIDUAL		22b. TELEPHONE ( (40)	Include Area Code 7) 494-7665	) 22c. OFFICE S Al	TAC/TTS						
DD FORM 1	<b>473,</b> 84 MAR	83 AF	R edition may be used ur	til exhausted.	CECHDITY								
			All other editions are o	bsolete.	SECORITY	CLASSIFICATION	UP THIS PAGE						

UNCLASSIFIED

.

•-

### Abstract (cont.)

This Semiannual Report also presents statistics from operation of the Intelligent Monitoring System (IMS). The IMS has been operated in an experimental mode, and the performance has been very satisfactory. Since October 1991, a new version of the IMS that accepts data from an arbitrary number of arrays and single 3-component stations has been operated.

The NORSAR Detection Processing system has been operated throughout the period with an average uptime of 99.6% as compared to 99.3% for the previous reporting period. A total of 1944 seismic events have been reported in the NORSAR monthly seismic bulletin. The performance of the continuous alarm system and the automatic bulletin transfer by telex to AFTAC has been satisfactory. The system for direct retrieval of NORSAR waveform data through an X.25 connection has been tested successfully for acquiring such data by AFTAC. Processing of requests for full NORSAR and regional array data on magnetic tapes has progressed according to established schedules.

On-line detection processing and data recording at the NORSAR Data Processing Center (NDPC) of NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA and GERESS data have been conducted throughout the period. Data from the two stations in Poland have been recorded and processed in an experimental mode. Monthly processing statistics for the arrays as well as results of the IMS analysis for the reporting period are given.

There have been no modifications made to the NORSAR data acquisition system. The process of evaluating and testing technical options for refurbishment of the array is continuing.

Maintenance activities in the period comprise preventive/corrective maintenance in connection with all the NORSAR subarrays, NORESS and ARCESS. In addition, the maintenance center has been involved with occasional maintenance of equipment for FINESA and work in connection with the two stations in Poland. Other activities have involved testing of the NORSAR communications systems, and field studies at sites in Spitsbergen and the Kola Peninsula.

Starting 1 October 1991, an effort has begun to carry out a complete technical refurbishment of the NORSAR array. This project is funded jointly by AFTAC, DARPA and NTNF. During the reporting period, efforts have focused upon continuing our evaluation of technical options for field instrumentation, in particular state-of-the-art A/D converters, data acquisition and synchronization devices. During the next few months, we plan to test several such systems under realistic operating conditions in the field. Initial testing of some systems has already started. When these studies have been completed, a recommendation for a system to be installed will be presented to the funding agencies.

Summaries of nine scientific contributions are presented in Chapter 7 of this report.

Section 7.1 contains an evaluation of global event detection performance during the recently conducted GSETT-2 experiment. The NEIC monthly bulletins have been used as a reference. The global 90% detection threshold is estimated at  $m_b = 4.7$ , in terms of NEIC

14

network magnitudes. As expected, the 90% threshold is significantly lower for the northern hemisphere (4.4) than for the southern hemisphere (5.0). A detailed discussion of the largest undetected events in each hemisphere is given. It is pointed out that detection threshold is closely tied to required location accuracy: The more relaxed the location requirements are, the "better" the detection capability will appear to be.

Section 7.2 is a case study of regional detection and location performance during GSETT-2. Using the bulletin of the Seismological Institute, University of Helsinki, as a reference, it is shown that the 90% detection threshold for the GSETT-2 system in Fennoscandia/NW Russia is close to magnitude 2.5 in terms of the duration magnitudes used in that bulletin. A similar study for the western and northern regions of Norway, using the University of Bergen bulletins as a reference, has likewise resulted in an estimated 90% threshold close to magnitude 2.5. The GSETT-2 location accuracy for Fennoscandia/NW Russia has also been evaluated, using known mining sites and other information for a reference. The results show that in a region with dense coverage of high quality arrays such as Fennoscandia, an excellent monitoring capability may be achieved.

Section 7.3 contains a third GSETT-2 detectability study. This study has been conducted for the W. Caucasus region, using as a data base the aftershock sequence from the 29 April 1991 W. Caucasus earthquake. A local bulletin was provided by the Obninsk seismological center for this purpose. We have found that the GSETT-2 detectability is significantly better than that of the NEIC in this particular case (average improvement 0.3 magnitude units). The difference is the largest during the first few hours after the main shock. This indicates that the GSETT-2 system succeeded in alleviating to some extent one of the main monitoring problems for a world-wide network (reduced performance following large earthquakes).

Section 7.4 presents a three-dimensional velocity modelling of the structure beneath the NORSAR array. Six layers down to a depth of 129 km are modeled by both seismic tomography and diffraction tomography. The models are used to construct travel time correction tables for NORSAR P-waves, and the results are compared to real data.

Section 7.5 describes results from a 12-day study of a network of 3 microarrays in Fennoscandia, comprising the center instrument and A-ring of each of the three regional arrays NORESS, ARCESS and FINESA. For each microarray, individual detection processing and f-k analysis are performed, and the generalized beamforming (GBF) technique is used to associate phases automatically to form regional seismic events. By comparison to the full Fennoscandian array network, it is found that successful automatic phase association and regional event location can be achieved using a sparse network of seismic microarrays (interstation distance about 1000 km).

Section 7.6 presents statistics on the number of detections versus apparent slowness for each of the four regional arrays NORESS, ARCESS, GERESS and FINESA. In a series of 3-D plots, all detections reported by each individual array during a 6-month period are displayed. Detections with dominant frequencies above and below 6 Hz are shown separately. The differences between the arrays are discussed, and the reasons for the main peaks in the diagrams are pointed out.

iii

Section 7.7 presents a new array controller (NORAC) developed at NORSAR. The main design idea has been to develop an inexpensive, simple unit that can handle data from a variety of digitizers and that satisfies a number of very specific design requirements not met by currently available systems. The first prototype of NORAC has been tested successfully for a period of 2 months, and will be installed in the planned Apatity high-frequency array.

Section 7.8 presents a summary report on a one-month experiment in continuous threshold monitoring of Novaya Zemlya. Starting 1 February 1992, we began collecting continuous statistics for the Threshold Monitoring performance of NORESS, ARCESS and FINESA with regard to the northern Novaya Zemlya test site. The purpose was to demonstrate, in an experimental mode, the practical application of this monitoring method. Detailed statistics for the month of February have been compiled, and confirm previous reports on the TM capability. Within the confidence limits inherent in the method, we are able to document that no seismic event of  $m_b \ge 2.6$  occurred at that test site during the month of February. Only for 0.12% of the time (i.e., 43 minutes during February) did the threshold exceed 2.6, and these occurrences could all be "explained" as resulting from one of the three conditions a) a "large" identified teleseismic event, b) a "large" identified regional event or c) a short outage of the most important array (ARCESS).

Section 7.9 presents a study correlating the number of signal detections and temperature at the two arrays NORESS and ARCESS. In many cases a clear correlation can be seen, for example with increasing number of detections during nighttime. At ARCESS, there is a large increase in detections when the frost occurs in the fall and again in the springtime. At NORESS, there are periods with considerable increase in detection rate not related to temperature, but instead caused by increased water flow in a nearby river.

•;

AFTAC Project Authorization	:	Т/9141/В/РКР
ARPA Order No.	:	4138 AMD # 16
Program Code No.	:	0F10
Name of Contractor	:	Royal Norwegian Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (NTNF)
Effective Date of Contract	:	1 Oct 1988
Contract Expiration Date	:	30 Sep 1993
Project Manager	:	Frode Ringdal (06) 81 71 21
Title of Work	•	The Norwegian Seismic Array (NORSAR) Phase 3
Amount of Contract	:	\$ 9,954,194
Contract Period Covered by Report	:	1 October 1991 - 31 March 1992

The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, the Air Force Technical Applications Center or the U.S. Government.

This research was supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense and was monitored by AFTAC, Patrick AFB, FL32925, under contract no. F08606-89-C-0005.

NORSAR Contribution No. 466

v

• .

•

# Table of Contents

			Page
1.	Sum	mary	1
2.	NOF	SAR Operation	4
	2.1	Detection processor (DP) operation	4
	2.2	Array communications	8
	2.3	NORSAR event detection operation	11
3.	Ope	ration of Regional Arrays	16
	3.1	Recording of NORESS data at NDPC, Kjeller	16
	3.2	Recording of ARCESS data at NDPC, Kjeller	19
	3.3	Recording of FINESA data at NDPC, Kjeller	22
	3.4	Event detection operation	24
	3.5	IMS operation	45
	3.6	GBF operation	46
4.	Imp	rovements and Modifications	47
	4.1	NORSAR	47
	4.2	NORESS/ARCESS/FINESA/GERESS/Poland	48
5.	Mai	ntenance Activities	50
	5.1	Activities in the field and at the Maintenance Center	50
	5.2	Array status	54
6.	Doci	imentation Developed	55
7.	Sum	mmary of Technical Reports / Papers Published	56
	7.1	Global event detection performance during GSETT-2	56
	7.2	Regional detection and location performance during GSETT-2	66
		Initial results for the Fennoscandian array network	
	7.3	GSETT-2 evaluation: Detection of aftershocks from the W. Caucasus earthquake of 29 April 1991	79
	7.4	Travel time corrections for a 3-D velocity model beneath the NORSAR array	86
	7.5	Automatic phase association and event location using data from a network	95
		of seismic microarrays	
	7.6	Distribution in slowness space of regional array detections	121
	7.7	NORAC: A new array controller	128
	7.8	Continuous seismic threshold monitoring of the northern Novaya Zemlya	133
	7.9	Correlation between temperature and number of detections	147

÷

۰.,

i,

# **1** Summary

This Semiannual Technical Summary describes the operation, maintenance and research activities at the Norwegian Seismic Array (NORSAR), the Norwegian Regional Seismic Array (NORESS) and the Arctic Regional Seismic Array (ARCESS) for the period 1 October 1991 - 31 March 1992. Statistics are also presented for additional seismic stations, which through cooperative agreements with institutions in the host countries provide continuous data to the NORSAR Data Processing Center (NPDC). These stations comprise the Finnish Experimental Seismic Array (FINESA), the German Experimental Seismic Array (GERESS), and two 3-component stations in Poland: Ksiaz and Stary Folwark.

This Semiannual Report also presents statistics from operation of the Intelligent Monitoring System (IMS). The IMS has been operated in an experimental mode, and the performance has been very satisfactory. Since October 1991, a new version of the IMS that accepts data from an arbitrary number of arrays and single 3-component stations has been operated.

The NORSAR Detection Processing system has been operated throughout the period with an average uptime of 99.6% as compared to 99.3% for the previous reporting period. A total of 1944 seismic events have been reported in the NORSAR monthly seismic bulletin. The performance of the continuous alarm system and the automatic bulletin transfer by telex to AFTAC has been satisfactory. The system for direct retrieval of NORSAR waveform data through an X.25 connection has been tested successfully for acquiring such data by AFTAC. Processing of requests for full NORSAR and regional array data on magnetic tapes has progressed according to established schedules.

On-line detection processing and data recording at the NORSAR Data Processing Center (NDPC) of NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA and GERESS data have been conducted throughout the period. Data from the two stations in Poland have been recorded and processed in an experimental mode. Monthly processing statistics for the arrays as well as results of the IMS analysis for the reporting period are given.

There have been no modifications made to the NORSAR data acquisition system. The process of evaluating and testing technical options for refurbishment of the array is continuing.

Maintenance activities in the period comprise preventive/corrective maintenance in connection with all the NORSAR subarrays, NORESS and ARCESS. In addition, the maintenance center has been involved with occasional maintenance of equipment for FINESA and work in connection with the two stations in Poland. Other activities have involved testing of the NORSAR communications systems, and field studies at sites in Spitsbergen and the Kola Peninsula.

Starting 1 October 1991, an effort has begun to carry out a complete technical refurbishment of the NORSAR array. This project is funded jointly by AFTAC, DARPA and NTNF. During the reporting period, efforts have focused upon continuing our evaluation

of technical options for field instrumentation, in particular state-of-the-art A/D converters, data acquisition and synchronization devices. During the next few months, we plan to test several such systems under realistic operating conditions in the field. Initial testing of some systems has already started. When these studies have been completed, a recommendation for a system to be installed will be presented to the funding agencies.

Summaries of nine scientific contributions are presented in Chapter 7 of this report.

Section 7.1 contains an evaluation of global event detection performance during the recently conducted GSETT-2 experiment. The NEIC monthly bulletins have been used as a reference. The global 90% detection threshold is estimated at  $m_b = 4.7$ , in terms of NEIC network magnitudes. As expected, the 90% threshold is significantly lower for the northern hemisphere (4.4) than for the southern hemisphere (5.0). A detailed discussion of the largest undetected events in each hemisphere is given. It is pointed out that detection threshold is closely tied to required location accuracy: The more relaxed the location requirements are, the "better" the detection capability will appear to be.

Section 7.2 is a case study of regional detection and location performance during GSETT-2. Using the bulletin of the Seismological Institute, University of Helsinki, as a reference, it is shown that the 90% detection threshold for the GSETT-2 system in Fennoscandia/NW Russia is close to magnitude 2.5 in terms of the duration magnitudes used in that bulletin. A similar study for the western and northern regions of Norway, using the University of Bergen bulletins as a reference, has likewise resulted in an estimated 90% threshold close to magnitude 2.5. The GSETT-2 location accuracy for Fennoscandia/NW Russia has also been evaluated, using known mining sites and other information for a reference. The results show that in a region with dense coverage of high quality arrays such as Fennoscandia, an excellent monitoring capability may be achieved.

Section 7.3 contains a third GSETT-2 detectability study. This study has been conducted for the W. Caucasus region, using as a data base the aftershock sequence from the 29 April 1991 W. Caucasus earthquake. A local bulletin was provided by the Obninsk seismological center for this purpose. We have found that the GSETT-2 detectability is significantly better than that of the NEIC in this particular case (average improvement 0.3 magnitude units). The difference is the largest during the first few hours after the main shock. This indicates that the GSETT-2 system succeeded in alleviating to some extent one of the main monitoring problems for a world-wide network (reduced performance following large earthquakes).

Section 7.4 presents a three-dimensional velocity modelling of the structure beneath the NORSAR array. Six layers down to a depth of 129 km are modeled by both seismic tomography and diffraction tomography. The models are used to construct travel time correction tables for NORSAR P-waves, and the results are compared to real data.

Section 7.5 describes results from a 12-day study of a network of 3 microarrays in Fennoscandia, comprising the center instrument and A-ring of each of the three regional arrays NORESS, ARCESS and FINESA. For each microarray, individual detection processing and f-k analysis are performed, and the generalized beamforming (GBF) technique is used

to associate phases automatically to form regional seismic events. By comparison to the full Fennoscandian array network, it is found that successful automatic phase association and regional event location can be achieved using a sparse network of seismic microarrays (interstation distance about 1000 km).

Section 7.6 presents statistics on the number of detections versus apparent slowness for each of the four regional arrays NORESS, ARCESS, GERESS and FINESA. In a series of 3-D plots, all detections reported by each individual array during a 6-month period are displayed. Detections with dominant frequencies above and below 6 Hz are shown separately. The differences between the arrays are discussed, and the reasons for the main peaks in the diagrams are pointed out.

Section 7.7 presents a new array controller (NORAC) developed at NORSAR. The main design idea has been to develop an inexpensive, simple unit that can handle data from a variety of digitizers and that satisfies a number of very specific design requirements not met by currently available systems. The first prototype of NORAC has been tested successfully for a period of 2 months, and will be installed in the planned Apatity high-frequency array.

Section 7.8 presents a summary report on a one-month experiment in continuous threshold monitoring of Novaya Zemlya. Starting 1 February 1992, we began collecting continuous statistics for the Threshold Monitoring performance of NORESS, ARCESS and FINESA with regard to the northern Novaya Zemlya test site. The purpose was to demonstrate, in an experimental mode, the practical application of this monitoring method. Detailed statistics for the month of February have been compiled, and confirm previous reports on the TM capability. Within the confidence limits inherent in the method, we are able to document that no seismic event of  $m_b \ge 2.6$  occurred at that test site during the month of February. Only for 0.12% of the time (i.e., 43 minutes during February) did the threshold exceed 2.6, and these occurrences could all be "explained" as resulting from one of the three conditions a) a "large" identified teleseismic event, b) a "large" identified regional event or c) a short outage of the most important array (ARCESS).

Section 7.9 presents a study correlating the number of signal detections and temperature at the two arrays NORESS and ARCESS. In many cases a clear correlation can be seen, for example with increasing number of detections during nighttime. At ARCESS, there is a large increase in detections when the frost occurs in the fall and again in the springtime. At NORESS, there are periods with considerable increase in detection rate not related to temperature, but instead caused by increased water flow in a nearby river.

# 2 NORSAR Operation

### **2.1** Detection Processor (DP) operation

There have been 55 breaks in the otherwise continuous operation of the NORSAR online system within the 6-month reporting interval. The uptime percentage for the period is 99.6% as compared to 99.3% for the previous period.

Fig. 2.1.1 and the accompanying Table 2.1.1 both show the daily DP downtime for the days between 1 October 1991 and 31 March 1992. The monthly recording times and percentages are given in Table 2.1.2.

The breaks can be grouped as follows:

a)	Hardware failure	4
b)	Stops related to program work or error	1
c)	Hardware maintenance stops	5
d)	Power jumps and breaks	0
e)	TOD error correction	8
f)	Communication lines	21

The total downtime for the period was 19 hours and 42 minutes. The mean-time-between-failures (MTBF) was 4.6 days, as compared to 5.0 for the previous period.

### J. Torstveit

.

.



Fig. 2.1.1. Detection Processor uptime for October (top), November (middle) and December (bottom) 1991.

.

· · .

••



Fig. 2.1.1. Detection Processor uptime for January (top), February (middle) and March (bottom) 1992.

.

Time	Cause
1226 - 1258	Hardware maintenance
0641 - 0715	Line failure
1000 - 1138	Line failure
1040 - 1114	Software work
0216 - 0256	Hardware failure
1011 - 1017	Hardware failure
0831 - 0854	Hardware maintenance
1033 - 1045	Hardware failure
0252 - 0340	Hardware failure
1720 -	Hardware failure
- 0452	
	Time 1226 - 1258 0641 - 0715 1000 - 1138 1040 - 1114 0216 - 0256 1011 - 1017 0831 - 0854 1033 - 1045 0252 - 0340 1720 - - 0452

Table 2.1.1. The major downtimes in the period 1 October 1991 - 31 March 1992.

Month	DP Uptime Hours	DP Uptime %	No. of DP Breaks	No. of Days with Breaks	DP MTBF* (days)
Oct 91	741.07	99.62	7	7	3.9
Nov 91	719.51	99.98	3	3	7.5
Dec 91	695.17	99.96	5	5	5.2
Jan 92	742.27	99.80	8	8	3.4
Feb 92	743.13	99.89	8	6	3.2
Mar 92	730.23	98.17	8	7	3.4
		99.57	39	36	4.6

\*Mean-time-between-failures = total uptime/no. of up intervals.

 Table 2.1.2. Online system performance, 1 October 1991 - 31 March 1992.

### 2.2 Array communications

### General

Table 2.2.1 reflects the performance of the communications system throughout the reporting period. The most common events which have affected the communications systems have been: Line outage (5), reduced line performance (2), Modcomp stop (2), bad communications cable (1), bad connection (1), SLEM (stuck) (1), and an inoperative Modcomp operator terminal (1).

### **Detailed Summary**

### October (weeks 40-44), 30.9-3.11.91

Most reliable performance for all systems weeks 40-42, partly week 44 (-06C). Week 43 01A, 02B and 06C were affected; 01A by a bad communications cable (23-25 Oct), resulting in low line level toward Kjeller (-32.0 dBm). 02B was affected by a bad connection between Lillestrøm and Kjeller (22-23 Oct). 27 Oct 06C changed status (NODATA). A Modcomp restart restored operation 28 October.

#### November (weeks 45-48), 4.11-1.12.91

Most reliable performance experienced in November 1991, although we had a short outage, approx. 20 mins., on 02C 12 Nov.

### December (weeks 49-52), 2-29.12.91

Two communications systems were affected in December 1991: 03C 4-11.12 due to reduced line performance toward the subarray; 06C 5-9.12 probably also caused by reduced line performance. The remaining systems performed most satisfactorily

#### January (weeks 1-5), 30.12.91-2.2.92

Apart from a Modcomp stop approx. 56 minutes 31 January, all the systems have performed most satisfactorily.

#### February (weeks 6-9), 3.2-1.3.92

A stuck SLEM affected 06C 25-26 February. The remaining systems performed most satisfactorily.

### March (weeks 10-13), 2-29.3.92

02C communications system was down 13-14 March, probably due to a line outage.

06C comunications line went down 29 March at 1720 hrs GMT. Immediately afterwards (17.20.34 GMT), the Modcomp stopped, and we were not able to start it until the next day

at 0447 GMT. Service was requested, and between 1246 and 1333 GMT the Modcomp was down. A defective memory cooling fan was replaced. Also the operator terminal was replaced, as the old one failed during the attempts to restart the system.

O.A. Hansen

Sub- Arrays	Oct (5) 30.9-3.10.91	Nov (4) 4.10-1.12.91	Dec (4) 2.12-29.12.91	Jan (5) 30.12.91-2.2.92	Feb (4) 3.2-1.3.92	Mar (4) 2.3-29.3.92	Average 1/2 year
01A 01B 02B 02C 03C 04C 06C	$\begin{array}{c} 0.021^{1)} \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001^{2)} \\ 0.002 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.018 \\ 0.025^{3)} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.0007 \\ 0.050 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.0007 \\ 0.0007 \end{array}$	0.001 0.003 0.0006 0.001 3.574 <sup>4)</sup> 0.002 1.190 <sup>5)</sup>	0.003 0.003 0.0005 0.001 0.003 0.001 0.003	0.001 0.0005 0.0006 0.001 0.004 0.002 0.0008 <sup>6)</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 0.002^{7)} \\ 0.0005^{8)} \\ 0.0006^{9)} \\ 0.0008^{10)} \\ 0.004^{11)} \\ 0.002^{12)} \\ 0.0006^{13)} \end{array}$	0.003 0.001 0.0006 0.009 0.597 0.004 0.204
Aver	0.009	0.008	0.68	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.117

Figures representing error rate (in per cent) followed by number 1), 2), etc., are related to legend below.,

. . .

Table 2.2.1. Communications performance. The numbers represent error rates in per cent based on total transmitted frames/week (1 October 1991 - 31 March 1992).

1),2)Average 4 weeks (40-42.44)(40,42,44)3),4),5),6),7),8),9),11),12),13)Average 3 weeks (40-42)(50,51,52)(6,7,8)(10,11,12)<

:.

.

÷,

# 2.3 NORSAR Event Detection operation

In Table 2.3.1 some monthly statistics of the Detection and Event Processor operation are given. The table lists the total number of detections (DPX) triggered by the on-line detector, the total number of detections processed by the automatic event processor (EPX) and the total number of events accepted after analyst review (teleseismic phases, core phases and total).

· · · · · ·	Total	Total	· · · · ·	Accepted Phase	S	
<u></u>	DPX	EPX	P-phases	Core Phases	Sum	Daily
Oct 91	11450	1429	231	83	314	10.1
Nov 91	13225	1454	188	69	257	8.6
Dec 91	13693	1715	446	63	509	16.4
Jan 92	12399	1465	203	53	256	8.3
Feb 92	12225	1249	204	46	250	8.6
Mar 92	11900	1389	289	69	358	11.5
			1561	383	1944	10.6

Table 2.3.1. Detection and Event Processor statistics, 1 October 1991 - 31 March 1992.

### **NORSAR Detections**

The number of detections (phases) reported by the NORSAR detector during day 274 1991, through day 091 1992, was 75,589, giving an average of 413 detections per processed day (183 days processed). Table 2.3.2 shows daily and hourly distribution of detections for NORSAR.

### **B.** Paulsen Gammelby

T. Schøyen

NAO .DPX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

23 18 13 21 15 9 5 5 5 14 17 10 1 15 14 24 22 18 10 25 23 19 19 29 374 Oct 01 Tuesday 
 23
 18
 26
 21
 16
 32
 10
 15
 12
 17
 14
 10
 21
 9
 24
 20
 15
 26
 18
 28
 16
 20
 22
 23

 17
 21
 20
 23
 19
 15
 6
 17
 14
 10
 21
 92
 20
 15
 26
 18
 28
 16
 20
 22
 23

 17
 21
 20
 23
 19
 15
 6
 17
 6
 10
 13
 16
 14
 27
 21
 25
 10
 25
 13
 17
 13
 11
 27
 18
 456 Oct 02 Wednesday 404 Oct 03 Thursday 

 12
 19
 27
 22
 18
 15
 11
 6
 17
 12
 9
 15
 27
 20
 17
 13
 4
 19
 28
 28
 17
 31
 25
 17
 13
 4
 19
 28
 28
 17
 31
 25
 17
 12
 12
 12
 12
 14
 19
 28
 18
 17
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 14
 19
 21
 17
 13
 4
 19
 28
 18
 11
 25
 17
 13
 4
 19
 28
 18
 11
 25
 17
 13
 4
 19
 28
 18
 11
 25
 17
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 14
 19
 12
 19
 12
 14
 19
 19
 12
 19
 14
 19
 19
 12
 19
 14
 19
 12
 19
 14
 19
 12
 19
 12
 14
 19
 19
 12
 19
 14
 19
 19</td 439 Oct 04 Friday 388 Oct 05 Saturday 451 Oct 06 Sunday 381 Oct 07 Monday 280 281 329 Oct 08 Tuesday 205 Oct 09 Wednesday 282 230 Oct 10 Thursday 283 284 269 Oct 11 Friday 390 Oct 12 Saturday 285 389 Oct 13 Sunday 287 259 Oct 14 Monday 279 Oct 15 Tuesday 352 Oct 16 Wednesday 289 326 Oct 17 Thursday 151 Oct 18 Friday 290 291 292 322 Oct 19 Saturday 437 Oct 20 Sunday 293 359 Oct 21 Monday 408 Oct 22 Tuesday 367 Oct 23 Wednesday 380 Oct 24 Thursday 319 Oct 25 Friday 410 Oct 26 Saturday 655 Oct 27 Sunday 460 Oct 28 Monday 375 Oct 29 Tuesday 471 Oct 30 Wednesday 406 Oct 31 Thursday 416 Nov 01 Friday 544 Nov 02 Saturday 484 Nov 03 Sunday 416 Nov 04 Monday 457 Nov 05 Tuesday 375 Nov 06 Wednesday 429 Nov 07 Thursday 489 Nov 08 Friday 633 Nov 09 Saturday 562 Nov 10 Sunday 420 Nov 11 Monday 359 Nov 12 Tuesday 375 Nov 13 Wednesday 353 Nov 14 Thursday 227 Nov 15 Friday 423 Nov 16 Saturday 593 Nov 17 Sunday 

 20
 25
 24
 28
 34
 31
 19
 21
 18
 22
 20
 24
 14
 22
 26
 18
 45
 34
 15
 31
 28
 28
 26
 593
 Nov
 17
 Sunday

 26
 32
 22
 25
 33
 13
 15
 5
 16
 30
 8
 13
 8
 5
 11
 19
 10
 9
 5
 6
 10
 18
 23
 20
 28
 20
 18
 Monday

 29
 28
 31
 19
 21
 10
 26
 21
 6
 17
 25
 10
 11
 36
 12
 9
 11
 14
 14
 409
 Nov
 20
 Wedness

 29
 28
 31
 19
 21
 14
 15
 12
 6
 17
 25
 10
 11
 36
 12
 9
 11
 14
 14
 409
 Nov
 20
 Wedness

 24
 20
 29
 28
 16
 20
 382 Nov 18 Monday 350 Nov 19 Tuesday 324 409 Nov 20 Wednesday 428 Nov 21 Thursday 413 Nov 22 Friday 453 Nov 23 Saturday 326 328

**Table 2.3.2** (Page 1 of 4)

NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-91/92

May 1992

1. Star 1. K

NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-91/92

NAO .DPX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

330	22 22 13	20	20	16	18	12	10	13	16	13	16	15	22	17	22	15	17	13	4	23	19	17	396	Nov	26	Tuesday	
221	15 15 27	10	1 5	22	10		12			17	10	1.5	10	<u></u>	10	10	11	14	22	21	20	55	260	Nov	27	Wedneeday	
331	12 12 71	10	12	21	8	2	12	0	ō		10	12	12	. 9	1.9	13	11	1.4	23	21	20	44	300	NOV	41	Heunesuay	
332	22 16 15	25	26	19	5	12	10	12	15	19	26	25	16	17	14	22	16	21	12	22	17	11	415	NOV	28	Thursday	
333	23 18 22	21	26	13	8	5	11	10	5	8	36	17	21	18	9	10	19	19	17	26	21	23	406	Nov	29	Fridav	
224	15 17 12	25	ñč	22	27	าวั	55	20	22	22	20	26	20	36	20	25	24	22	33	22	22	35	627	Nov	30	Saturday	
224	15 21 25	43	20	23	21	44	20	30	23	41	30	20	20	20	40	25	34	25	34	66	44	33	027	NOV	30	Sacurday	
335	22 26 27	15	19	13	16	16	18	27	11	11	20	21	15	13	13	16	15	19	14	15	8	14	404	Dec	01	Sunday	
336	16 22 12	17	12	9	16	6	17	5	13	16	18	20	12	11	12	22	14	15	15	19	24	14	357	Dec	02	Monday	
227	14 10 17	1.4	21	10	<u> </u>	õ	21	Â	13	11	21	11	- 0	11	15	Q	15	12	13	16	14	25	337	Dec	03	Tuesday	
557	14 19 17	11	61 61	10		2	~ _				~ 1		~ ~ ~	55	1.2		12	10	50	20	÷.	22	440	Dee	0.4	Wadaaadaw	
338	26 26 15	23	20	29	18	1	9	ΤT	9	14	9	9	24	22	20	τų	70	19	20	20	34	20	440	pec	04	wednesday	
339	24 19 29	19	20	14	9	15	8	16	- 7	5	20	10	26	18	26	17	18	14	25	19	21	28	427	Dec	05	Thursday	
340	10 20 20	16	27	18	15	9	7	10	14	20	21	16	13	9	6	14	18	20	15	23	21	16	378	Dec	06	Friday	
340	10 20 20	24	27	20	10	16	1 4	20	10	22	25	24	26	12	21	51	20	20	20	21	20	26	560	Deg	07	Caturday	
241	19 12 10	44	41	20	1.2	10	1/	20	12	23	25	24	20	12	21	21	27	30	50	21	30	10	503	Dec	~~	Sucaruay	
342	40 42 40	43	38	52	42	28	38	18	21	19	26	14	24	8	19	25	21	13	13	10	11	Τø	033	Dec	08	Sunday	
343	12 20 16	16	18	6	9	2	3	8	6	8	25	10	21	12	9	5	6	18	7	- 7	19	19	282	Dec	09	Monday	
344	15 20 18	25	21	11	21	14	7	15	11	23	21	14	q	14	19	21	23	22	18	16	18	19	415	Dec	10	Tuesday	
245	10 20 20	20	10	1 6	25	10	16	17	17	1.4	10	12	20	10	10	22	20	27	20	20	21	16	459	Deg	11	Wedneeday	
345	19 22 29	20	10	12	25	10	10	11	14	14	10	12	20	12	10	23	47	41	20	20	21	10	100	Dec	11	mbunesuay	
346	33 21 28	22	12	18	6	7	11	14	13	16	22	6	30	22	20	19	20	20	24	23	23	29	459	Dec	12	Thursday	
347	29 27 28	65	39	23	14	30	25	9	19	18	26	9	23	26	15	27	24	27	30	29	20	17	599	Dec	13	Friday	
349	17 18 18	22	24	22	14	22	21	20	18	19	24	23	21	26	23	27	26	29	12	22	15	23	507	Dec	14	Saturday	
340	17 10 10	~~		66	22	25	21	20	20	56	27	2.7	21	20	23	21	20	56	10	21	50	22	567	Dee	îÈ	Cundaw	
349	20 26 22	24	19	26	29	25	51	21	21	29	23	11	20	24	21	21	23	29	10	21	23	24	207	Dec	15	Sunday	
3.50	23 20 21	18	29	15	5	5	13	2	8	20	13	16	11	17	- 7	- 7	12	17	- 7	22	37	32	377	Dec	16	Monday	
351	22 19 43	24	40	11	25	12	15	7	6	22	19	4	27	11	5	20	19	21	26	16	18	35	467	Dec	17	Tuesday	
353	17 22 22	24	12	22	10	10	12	10	15	- ā	- 6	22	17		22	õ	14	-6	- 7	12	ā	22	366	Dec	18	Wednesday	
552	11 33 23	67	14	23	12	10	12	10	10		~~~	25	11		44		17			16	~	22	224	Dee	10	Thursday	
353	12 18 25	22	26	29	15	8	22	9	13	13	26	21	22	10	19	13	13	10	0	8	o	2	3/4	Dec	19	Thursday	
354	0 4 9	. 2	1	3	2	0	5	13	3	4	6	13	11	- 8	6	8	4	8	5	3	9	18	145	Dec	20	Friday	
355	19 16 22	21	25	28	20	24	24	18	15	21	19	22	6	23	22	23	18	21	19	14	18	14	472	Dec	21	Saturday	
355	14 10 10	22	24	10	12	20	õî.	72	50	22	11	20	ากั	20	26	21	24	20	21	A 1	10	- i	666	Dog	22	Sunday	
330	74 19 10	23	24	10	12	30	41	13	50	34	44	30	20	20	30	21	24	23	21	41	12	~~	000	Dec	22	Sunday	
357	10 3 14	8	12	12	15	16	16	11	17	24	22	24	14	27	14	22	11	18	19	10	11	25	381	Dec	23	monday	
358	23 28 21	29	35	20	23	27	24	24	31	20	34	26	24	16	29	31	20	16	22	13	16	16	568	Dec	24	Tuesday	
150	15 10 16	11	10	16	15	17	21	30	14	35	26	35	26	32	23	32	26	26	22	23	26	27	543	Dec	25	Wednesday	Frste
355	10 10 10	25	56	10	10	51	20	20	22	22	22	20	17	้ำว้	21	22	21	22	14	10	22	24	570	Dog	26	Thursday	Andro
360	58 32 31	20	29	TA	18	21	29	20	32	22	21	20	17	64	21	23	21	44	14	10	24	24	570	Dec	20	Inursuay	Andre
361	26 28 30	29	40	27	24	29	22	24	19	14	26	25	23	16	15	20	26	22	22	25	22	20	574	Dec	27	Friday	
362	17 35 25	30	25	32	20	30	25	23	36	35	31	33	18	36	23	23	23	26	27	22	25	21	641	Dec	28	Saturday	
262	26 26 22	2.4	17	16	22	24	18	- A	6	14	6	11	15	10	14	5	21	25	11	12	10	8	391	Dec	29	Sunday	
303	20 30 22	21	-4	10	20	41	10	1.0	ž	17	1.2		13		17	10	15	21	10	10	20	20	240	Dee	20	Manday	
364	10 10 10	2		TT	12		14	10	1	8	тο	17	11	41	11	19	12	21	12	10	28	20	340	Dec	30	Monday	
365	25 26 26	31	33	36	24	31	21	15	16	29	23	16	26	29	22	27	21	24	22	25	28	20	596	Dec	31	Tuesday	
1	12 30 19	13	12	6	1	5	15	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	4	4	8	6	8	16	25	13	205	Jan	01	Wednesdav	Frste
5	25 25 20	10	22	25	20	15	12	ŏ	16	15	17	17	22	12	25	22	20	28	15	20	20	12	473	Jan	02	Thursday	
4		12	23	25	20	12	12		10	12			24	10	2.1	20	20	10	12	21	21	10	464	Tan	22	Deddam	
3	20 14 14	17	10	18	24	13	13	10	21	22	31	20	21	18	24	30	18	18	21	21	21	19	404	Jan	03	Friday	
4	22 20 16	18	22	12	32	20	15	21	24	22	13	23	28	25	23	20	17	28	26	22	26	34	529	Jan	04	Saturday	
5	20 23 24	22	15	26	16	24	12	17	22	18	10	15	19	10	19	26	12	24	17	20	14	19	444	Jan	05	Sunday	
Ē	20 25 25	15	10	12	6	Ā	12	Ξġ.	14	12	11	- ā	16	15	15	16	22	12	24	23	26	16	367	Jan	06	Monday	
2	20 23 23	17	10	13		~	- 6	~~	11	10	12	1.5	10	12	10	20	17	50	10	17	1.4		450	Ter	22	Thomas	
	20 30 30	24	20	51	14	22	9	20	14	10	12	12	14	13	18	20	11	20	12	11	14	21	430	Jan	07	Tuesday	
8	15 14 21	22	20	21	15	7	14	11	19	- 8	13	13	13	15	17	15	16	14	13	16	16	19	367	Jan	08	Wednesday	
9	18 18 24	28	23	17	19	15	21	24	12	10	14	21	11	13	12	17	15	17	20	17	14	10	410	Jan	09	Thursday	
10	22 21 20	20	16	12	12	12	~ õ	Ĩ.		25	10	14	-7	- 3	10		10	14	14	14	28	18	329	Jan	10	Friday	
10	23 21 20	20	10	12	14	13				25	10	12		~~~	10	- 2	11	17		17	20	10	345	Tan	11	Catuadan	
11	ST 18 53	10	12	23	24	20	13	11	12	TQ	12	TO	. 9	25	0	2	11	.0		. 9	0	4	343	Jan	11	Saturday	
12	14 11 15	11	9	6	17	20	17	11	12	26	17	18	22	16	22	27	23	17	23	19	18	20	411	Jan	12	Sunday	
13	22 22 26	21	23	25	9	9	11	12	4	11	37	12	22	12	12	25	22	17	25	18	22	27	446	Jan	13	Monday	
14	24 22 14	22	22	35	20	15	22	18	10	18	24	10	20	22	15	14	16	20	16	20	16	11	452	Jan	14	Tuesday	
7.4	27 22 14	24	44	22	40	16	20	10	17	10	47	10	12	44	25	10	10	17	14	12	17	50	350	Ter	10	Wedneeder	
15	TA TO 18	36	10	23	10	12	23	τ0	13	10	12	<u> </u>	13	14	0	10	12	1/	14	13	11	20	229	Jan	12	weanesaay	
16	19 32 15	13	17	22	14	10	11	14	21	16	15	19	22	9	12	16	13	9	22	26	20	23	410	Jan	16	Thursday	
17	20 25 20	18	17	15	14	10	12	11	14	19	13	13	15	11	13	18	21	18	19	29	20	16	401	Jan	17	Friday	
18	14 28 24	20	24	30	13	19	21	21	22	16	20	18	24	20	30	33	19	22	22	18	24	29	531	Jan	18	Saturday	
10	17 40 47	20	47	17	10	10	1.4	10	24	10	20	10	47	27	20	16	10		20	20	14	10	424	Tar	10	Cunday	
19	25 20 17	22	12	1/	12	18	14	10	21	12	20	21	23	21	. 9	10	TR	3	20	20	14.	10	434	Jan	13	Sunday	
20	23 17 19	26	13	12	8	7	6	16	9	13	10	22	17	22	11	8	11	15	14	13	12	15	339	Jan	20	Monday	

**Table 2.3.2** (Page 2 of 4)

- - -

. .

13

May 1992

NAO .DPX Hourly distribution of detections

-																											
21	12 18	13	22	13	13	10	10	9	3	21	13	10	15	13	7	19	16	23	21	15	15	22	23	356	Jan	21	Tuesday
22	24 10	25	17	23	14	21	11	24	14	õĝ	19	24	28	23	13	19	20	14	26	15	ĩš	17	11	465	Jan	22	Wednesday
22	0 19	13	10	21	12	17	10	22	20	~ 6	-6	14	17	22	17	12	15	12	14	12	21	20	28	202	Jan	22	Thursday
23	23 20	21	10	1.4	10	- 6	10	10	20	20	10	16	55	12	14	17	20	10	17	1.0	18	10	17	401	Tan	24	Friday
24	23 20	21	17	7.4	17	20	16	10	15	14	20	20	10	15	10	54	20	10	56	22	22	20	25	513	Tan	25	Caturday
25	22 19	20	21	44	21	20	10	19	12	14	20	35	10	10	19	4/	20	10	24	33	14	20	23	313	Jan	25	Sacuruay
20	20 31	30	20	23	14	10	22	10	21	11	13	10	14	23	41	10	10	19	20	TO	14	12	15	403	Jan	20	Sunday
27	14 36	21	19	16	18	11	5	10	10	.9	18	21	11	15		13	4	13	8	17	10	22	12	340	Jan	21	Monday
28	20 18	17	18	15	14	13	8	8	3	15	18	24	11	12	12	5	13	8	10	9	14	11	10	306	Jan	28	Tuesday
29	12 16	17	8	17	9	9	9	10	16	12	13	17	36	10	15	12	13	12	13	16	11	- 4	20	327	Jan	29	Wednesday
30	15 16	21	12	19	19	16	4	4	16	8	11	20	20	17	6	20	14	14	21	11	16	18	24	362	Jan	30	Thursday
31	35 76	5	19	17	12	8	10	4	10	25	12	24	12	13	11	16	11	16	14	11	18	11	22	412	Jan	31	Friday
32	23 24	20	20	21	33	21	21	17	27	12	18	12	18	19	15	24	22	25	5	0	0	0	0	397	Feb	01	Saturday
33	0 0	33	32	21	21	28	23	34	24	19	19	28	23	19	27	31	25	20	26	19	37	27	18	554	Feb	02	Sunday
34	20 14	20	19	20	18	22	14	13	17	16	11	20	23	13	13	14	16	11	22	13	17	17	24	407	Feb	03	Monday
35	13 18	17	22	17	17	9	15	6	15	13	18	11	28	9	29	26	22	14	16	25	24	15	21	420	Feb	04	Tuesday
36	19 18	20	18	16	16	15	18	ġ.	16	15	20	14	23	11	10	- Ť	15	14	13	7	16	15	22	367	Feb	05	Wednesday
37	14 36	22	32	41	23	24	- ĕ	17	17	15	25	25	29	26	21	વવં	18	22	16	27	21	22	15	547	Feb	06	Thursday
38	22 10	28	26	23	21	17	7	11	21	22	16	17	ĩń	ĩă	20	14	23	14	ĩă	14	10	ĩñ	14	403	Feb	07	Friday
30	11 5	13	11	20	15	12	6	14	12	14	15	16	13	22	16	17	16	10	16	55	21	12	10	362	Feb	ňé.	Saturday
33	20 22	21	25	A 1	20	25	27	25	17	25	10	20	20	10	24	22	22	20	21	20	55	27	21	610	Fob	00	Sunday
40	27 33	21	20	22	10	25	4	12	12	11	12	20	15	15	24	22	16	10	16	20	22	21	17	400	Feb	10	Monday
41	1/ 19	10	21	23	10	14	~~~	14	14	<u>77</u>	12	10	12	12	1 5	41	11	10	12	24	23	41	10	400	reb D-b	10	Mulaay
42	23 22	20	14	23	1/	14	44	15	24	20	20	18	13	9	15	11	11	14	12	21	12	19	10	441	red	11	Tuesday
43	26 28	22	17	25	24	19	19	11	14	11	11	22	20	. 9		34	11	10	. 9	8	18	18	18	423	rep	12	weanesday
44	18 36	29	13	25	23	11	17	11	6	18	18	16	19	18	21	18	.9	11	14	16	10	21	24	428	reb	13	Thursday
45	18 19	25	25	12	14	10	16	22	12	9	13	21	11	15	20	19	23	14	20	22	20	20	24	424	Feb	14	Friday
46	14 24	18	25	23	12	20	14	19	16	17	8	38	49	13	23	16	16	16	20	23	15	23	16	478	Feb	15	Saturday
47	24 22	20	17	34	27	34	12	31	28	24	18	22	25	25	19	15	14	20	24	22	15	12	20	524	Feb	16	Sunday
48	25 15	22	23	21	19	- 7	14	5	11	14	12	17	24	17	10	9	15	5	8	12	16	8	11	340	Feb	17	Monday
49	16 16	16	22	16	9	10	4	8	9	28	24	38	22	8	19	13	17	- 7	13	18	16	21	13	383	Feb	18	Tuesday
50	18 18	12	20	18	15	18	6	6	12	18	31	27	16	22	12	2	9	10	9	12	14	16	12	353	Feb	19	Wednesday
51	18 14	18	13	18	10	7	7	12	14	16	17	18	17	14	27	22	17	15	20	20	12	18	17	381	Feb	20	Thursday
52	12 15	18	19	19	19	15	11	19	15	15	37	11	6	21	18	24	19	15	17	22	7	18	19	411	Feb	21	Friday
53	18 18	13	15	10	9	11	9	6	8	21	7	17	12	19	23	20	24	21	18	24	21	24	25	393	Feb	22	Saturday
54	24 27	19	23	31	28	19	24	19	13	10	16	16	9	9	14	21	24	30	14	26	28	24	15	483	Feb	23	Sunday
55	20 27	22	11	27	18	12	10	10	15	36	17	11	8	26	15	21	10	26	15	14	21	8	10	410	Feb	24	Monday
56	7 11	- 9	-5	4	6	4	4	11	5	9	3	16	7	4	13	11	9	13	5	11	15	21	14	217	Feb	25	Tuesday
57	19 18	13	37	21	26	18	13	13	14	25	17	22	23	17	14	11	15	16	17	26	- 9	- 9	24	437	Feb	26	Wednesday
58	11 26	21	21	24	īň	-7	- 8	10	îŝ	22	18	29	10	12	18	20	22	22	īż	31	24	27	29	454	Feh	27	Thursday
59	19 13	20	17	25	17	10	7	16	- 8	6	24	14	- ģ	-7	7	6	13	18	12	19	15	14	10	326	Feb	28	Friday
60	16 20	26	īá	17	24	13	1 8	13	12	24	7	îĝ	12	21	18	18	22	10	22	22	22	10	24	449	Feb	20	Saturday
61	21 24	20	21	17	21	20	10	20	17	21	12	18	21	24	21	22	24	ĩá	17	วีก	18	22	16	500	Mar	ñí	Sunday
62	10 15	14	21	15	2	~ź	12	້າ	- 6	2	14	29	16	17	10	24	11	12	16	17	24	10	13	221	Mam	02	Monday
62	15 26	20	20	25	22	20	15	15	15	2		20	16	10	17	22	10	16	20	12	16	10	10	351	Mar	02	Tuesday
63	10 30	10	29	35	10	20	10	10	11	12		20	11	10		12	15	10	12	15	16	22	10	270	Mar	03	Tuesday
04	10 20	10	24	10	10	12	14	12	11	12	34	20	11	10	21	12	12	15	13	12	10	22	10	372	Mar	04	weunesuay
60	22 18	10	22	10	21	12	4	ö	ΤŽ	12	10	34	12	10	21	44	20	12	1/	20	10	44	13	422	Mar	05	Thursday
66	27 19	10	21	1/	21	12		~ 9	8	13	12	29	21	21	10	11	21	13	18	33	11	1/	21	430	Mar	06	Friday
67	14 21	23	24	18	27	22	19	24	21	19	19	20	15	25	24	21	18	15	30	24	23	28	20	514	Mar	07	Saturday
68	21 23	19	22	23	10	12	10	21	10	21	10	13	12	12	11	тà	10	15	12	10	26	12	20	419	Mar	08	Sunday
69	37 26	27	24	23	15	3	7	.9	10	10	3	5	23	8	9	9	15	18	9	17	12	17	12	351	Mar	09	Monday
70	24 16	22	25	21	24	15	6	11	21	. 9	14	13	13	16	12	16	25	13	18	17	17	17	29	414	Mar	10	Tuesday
71	24 20	35	15	21	20	12	8	11	. 9	13	9	21	16	19	11	13	19	17	24	17	15	22	22	413	Mar	11	wednesday
72	17 13	21	18	23	25	26	18	5	15	4	13	16	8	13	15	18	12	12	13	15	23	20	20	383	Mar	12	Thursday
73	23 17	22	22	22	15	14	11	8	9	12	8	15	21	7	12	17	9	17	15	3	3	6	6	314	Mar	13	Friday
74	69	10	10	11	12	13	18	12	18	23	14	16	11	14	16	17	15	20	9	13	17	14	11	329	Mar	14	Saturday
75	21 19	18	20	19	13	16	19	27	18	23	29	31	32	24	25	36	35	22	27	26	26	26	28	580	Mar	15	Sunday
76	23 30	34	23	23	15	10	20	10	12	6	6	18	18	14	18	9	17	22	19	19	23	18	22	429	Mar	16	Monday

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

Table 2.3.2 (Page 3 of 4)

۰.

14

May 1992

•

NAO .DPX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

20 17 26 30 24 16 16 7 30 9 18 18 15 11 20 15 31 12 14 13 19 10 21 17 429 Mar 17 Tuesday 26 19 15 19 22 14 10 14 15 23 13 11 17 12 17 2 14 20 13 14 21 12 14 17 374 Mar 18 Wednesday 77 78 13 22 18 4 12 10 20 9 8 13 15 20 34 16 11 10 4 14 8 20 22 7 13 13 10 13 25 21 19 19 16 4 10 12 10 5 13 14 16 13 8 11 15 9 7 14 8 17 336 Mar 19 Thursday 79 309 Mar 20 Friday 80 81 17 15 12 18 12 7 11 13 11 11 14 15 12 12 11 14 21 9 10 10 13 14 13 23 318 Mar 21 Saturday 82 83 84 85 293 Mar 25 Wednesday 86 87 22 18 25 27 17 26 15 18 20 22 19 16 16 15 16 14 27 16 20 21 14 20 24 16 464 Mar 28 Saturday 88 26 19 17 16 30 19 22 26 22 11 13 15 20 17 12 11 11 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 310 Mar 29 Sunday 0 0 0 0 12 10 11 15 5 8 11 21 8 2 15 9 6 6 20 16 21 14 17 11 238 Mar 30 Monday 89 90 91 15 22 17 20 11 7 11 5 15 25 6 16 32 18 10 20 19 23 10 9 10 21 20 18 380 Mar 31 Tuesday 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 NAO 3850 3729 3281 2333 2631 2687 3078 2949 3173 3097 3307 3466 Sum 3554 3791 3696 2612 2483 2686 3393 3056 3179 3040 3161 3366 75598 Total sum 183 19 21 21 20 20 18 14 13 14 14 15 15 19 17 17 16 17 17 17 17 17 18 18 19 413 Total average 128 19 20 20 20 20 16 12 10 11 12 13 13 18 16 16 15 16 16 15 16 16 17 18 19 385 Average workdays 55 20 22 21 21 22 22 19 20 19 20 19 18 20 19 18 20 21 20 20 19 19 21 20 20 479 Average weekends

15

**Table 2.3.2.** Daily and hourly distribution of NORSAR detections. For each day is shown number of detections within each hour of the day and number of detections for that day. The end statistics give total number of detections distributed for each hour and the total sum of detections during the period. The averages show number of processed days, hourly distribution and average per processed day.

. .

• •

# **3** Operation of regional arrays

# 3.1 Recording of NORESS data at NDPC, Kjeller

Table 3.1.1 lists the main outage times and reasons, and as can be seen the main reasons for the outages are transmission line failures. All outages were of relatively short duration, however.

The average recording time was 99.78% as compared to 89.89% during the previous reporting period.

Date	Time	Cause
04 Oct	1049 - 1059	Transmission line failure
04 Oct	1237 - 1253	Transmission line failure
14 Nov	0945 - 0955	Transmission line failure
14 Nov	2253 -	Transmission line failure
15 Nov	- 0006	
12 Dec	1306 - 1313	Transmission line failure
20 Dec	0021 - 0116	Transmission line failure
03 Jan	1033 - 1225	Testing hardware/software
15 Jan	1121 - 1137	Transmission line failure
24 Jan	1233 - 1256	Hub failure
06 Feb	0210 - 0223	Transmission line failure
21 Feb	0000 - 0026	Transmission line failure
27 Feb	0915 - 1001	Transmission line failure
10 Mar	0916 - 0936	Transmission line failure

**Table 3.1.1.** Interruptions in recording of NORESS data at NDPC, 1 October 1991 - 31 March 1992.

Monthly uptimes for the NORESS on-line data recording task, taking into account all factors (field installations, transmission line, data center operation) affecting this task were as follows:

October	:	99.86
November	:	99.74
December	:	99.83
January	:	99.53
February	:	99.77
March	:	99.92

Fig. 3.1.1 shows the uptime for the data recording task, or equivalently, the availability of NORESS data in our tape archive, on a day-by-day basis, for the reporting period.

### J. Torstveit

. ج

.

÷.





. .

.



Fig. 3.1.1. (cont.) NORESS data recording uptime for January (top), February (middle) and March (bottom) 1992.

Data

# 3.2 Recording of ARCESS data at NDPC, Kjeller

Table 3.2.1 lists the main outage times and reasons. The main contributing factor is a power break that resulted from a severe storm on 21 January.

Carres

The average recording time was 99.28 % as compared to 97.32% for the previous reporting period..

Date	Ime	Cause
07 Dec	1806 - 1940	Hardware failure NDPC
31 Dec	2311 - 0000	Software failure NDPC
21 Jan	1039 -	Power break HUB
22 Jan	- 1200	Powert break HUB

T:----

**Table 3.2.1.** The main interruptions in recording of ARCESS data at NDPC, 1 October1991 - 31 March 1992.

Monthly uptimes for the ARCESS on-line data recording task, taking into account all factors (field installations, transmissions line, data center operation) affecting this task were as follows:

October	:	99.99%
November	:	99.91%
December	:	99.68%
January	:	96.31%
February	:	99.93%
March	:	99.86%

Fig. 3.2.1. shows the uptime for the data recording task, or equivalently, the availability of ARCESS data in our tape archive, on a day-by-day basis, for the reporting period.

### J. Torstveit

.

.

÷,



Fig. 3.2.1. ARCESS data recording uptime for October (top), November (middle) and December (bottom) 1991.



11

.

.



Fig. 3.2.1. ARCESS data recording uptime for January (top), February (middle) and March (bottom) 1992.

•

# 3.3 Recording of FINESA data at NDPC, Kjeller

The average recording time was 95.5% as compared to 66.2% for the previous period. As can be seen from Table 3.3.1 below, the only reason for the dowtime is transmission line failure.

Date	Time	Cause								
23 Oct	0928 -	Transmission line failure								
31 Oct	- 1134	Transmission line failure								
05 Jan	1802 - 1834	Transmission line failure								
06 Jan	1637 - 1657	Transmission line failure								
06 Jan	1712 - 1719	Transmission line failure								
06 Jan	1805 - 1811	Transmission line failure								
07 Jan	1045 - 1055	Transmission line failure								
07 Jan	1240 - 1249	Transmission line failure								
07 Jan	1253 - 1259	Transmission line failure								
07 Jan	2011 - 2016	Transmission line failure								
24 Mar	0449 - 0722	Transmission line failure								

Table 3.3.1. The main	interruptions in record	ling of FINESA d	lata at NDPC,	1 October
1991 - 31 March	1992.			

Monthly uptimes for the FINESA on-line data recording task, taking into account all factors (field installations, transmission lines, data center operation) affecting this task were as follows:

October	:	74.23%
November	:	99.97%
December	:	99.89%
January	:	99.56%
February	:	99.96%
March	:	99.59%

Fig. 3.3.1 shows the uptime for the data recording task, or equivalently, the availability of FINESA data in our tape archive, on a day-by-day basis, for the reporting period.

### J. Torstveit

: . .

.

· . · .



Fig. 3.3.1. FINESA data recording uptime for October (top), November (middle) and December (bottom) 1991.

۰.

.. **.** 

**,** . .



Fig. 3.3.1. FINESA data recording uptime for January (top), February (middle) and March (bottom) 1992.

٠.

# **3.4** Event detection operation

This section reports results from simple one-array automatic processing using signal processing recipes and "ronapp" recipes for the ep program (NORSAR Sci. Rep. No 2-88,89).

Three systems are in parallel operation to associate detected phases and locate events:

- 1. The ep program with "ronapp" recipes is operated independently on each array to obtain simple one-array automatic solutions.
- 2. The Generalized Beamforming method (GBF) (see F. Ringdal and T. Kværna (1989), A mulitchannel processing approach to real time network detection, phase association and threshold monitoring, BSSA Vol 79, no 6, 1927-1940) processes the four arrays jointly and presents locations of regional events.
- 3. The IMS system is operated on the same set of arrivals as ep and GBF and reports also teleseismic events in addition to regional ones.

IMS results are reported in section 3.5 and GBF results in section 3.6.

In addition to these three event association processes, we are running test versions of the so-called Threshold Monitoring (TM) process. This is a process that monitors the seismic amplitude level at the four regional arrays continuously in time to estimate the upper magnitude limit of an event that might go undetected by the network. The current TM process is beamed to several sites of interest, including the Novaya Zemlya test site. Simple displays of so-called threshold curves reveal instants of particular interest; i.e., instants when events above a certain magnitude threshold may have occurred in the target region. Results from the three processes described above are used to help resolve what actually happened during these instances. For more details, see section 7.8.

### NORESS detections

The number of detections (phases) reported from day 274 1991, through day 091 1992, was 44,786, giving an average of 245 detections per processed day (183 days processed).

Table 3.4.1 shows daily and hourly distribution of detections for NORESS. See also Section 7.6 for distribution of detections versus apparent velocity and azimuth.

### Events automatically located by NORESS

During days 274 1991, through 091 1992, 2716 local and regional events were located by NORESS, based on automatic association of P- and S-type arrivals. This gives an average of 14.8 events per processed day (183 days processed). 63% of these events are within 300 km, and 87% of these events are within 1000 km.

### ARCESS detections

The number of detections (phases) reported during day 274 1991, through day 091 1992, was 74,532, giving an average of 407 detections per processed day (183 days processed).

Table 3.4.2 shows daily and hourly distribution of detections for ARCESS.

### Events automatically located by ARCESS

During days 274 1991, through 092 1992, 3371 local and regional events were located by ARCESS, based on automatic association of P- and S-type arrivals. This gives an average 18.4 events per processed day (183 days processed). 46% of these events are within 300 km, and 85% of these events are within 1000 km.

### FINESA detections

The number of detections (phases) reported during day 274 1991, through day 091 1992, was 50,417, giving an average of 293 detections per processed day (172 days processed).

Table 3.4.3 shows daily and hourly distribution of detections for FINESA.

### Events automatically located by FINESA

During days 274 1991, through 091 1992, 3055 local and regional events were located by FINESA, based on automatic association of P- and S-type arrivals. This gives an average of 17.8 events per processed day (172 days processed). 67% of these events are within 300 km, and 88% of these events are within 1000 km.

### GERESS detections

The number of detections (phases) reported from day 274 1991, through day 091 1992, was 30,407, giving an average of 182 detections per processed day (167 days processed).

Table 3.4.4 shows daily and hourly distribution of detections for GERESS.

### Events automatically located by GERESS

During days 274 1991, through 091 1992, 2224 local and regional events were located by GERESS, based on automatic association of P- and S-type arrivals. This gives an average of 13.3 events per processed day (167 days processed). 68% of these events are within 300 km, and 88% of these events are within 1000 km.

•--

# Poland detections

The number of detections (phases) reported by the station KSP from day 274 1991, through day 351 1991, was 27,448, giving an average of 352 detections per processed day (78 days processed).

Table 3.4.5 shows daily and hourly distribution of detections for the KSP station in Poland.

The data transmitted from the station SFP were very unreliable and were not process during the reporting period. It was found that the signal processing results for KSP data generated too many false events for IMS; consequently this processing was stopped during December 1991.

J. Fyen

NRS .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

274 275	2 3 20 0	42	9 4	54	63	22	1 5	7 16	16 14	10 11	23	9 14	22 7	17 18	19 14	16 6	12 17	4 7	4 5	10 17	5 3	6 3	14	205 196	Oct Oct	01 02	Tuesday Wednesday
277	34	1	2	5	4	8	3	10	1	2	3	3	15	14	8	4	8	7	7	- 9	2	1	4	132	Oct	04	Friday
278	8 7	5	2	3	4	2	7	6	7	2	õ	7	8	2	4	5	6	8	9	3	5	8	4	122	Oct	05	Saturday
279	1 10	2	4	3	13	2	3	0	8 4	14	5	10	10	9	18	12	13	19	2	15	2	5	3	169	Oct	07	Sunday
281	1 8	5	3	10	4	6	2	2	3	ĭ	6	25	15	10	13	7	10	ŝ	5	ĩĩ	ź	6	ĩ	164	Oct	ŏ8	Tuesday
282	71	6	3	7	3	2	8	6	6	8	4	9	21	16	20	11	17	3	5	16	2	2	3	186	Oct	09	Wednesday
283	7 5	3	3	18	5	1	4	1	5	5	8	10	22	22	13	25	14	17	8	27	8	3	7	230	Oct	10	Thursday
285 285	9 1	2	1	2	6	4	õ	3	2	1	15	7	2	4	8	26	5	4	ĩ	6	ŏ	4	ŏ	113	Oct	12	Saturday
286	6 9	ī	8	3	5	3	9	1	3	7	25	2	2	3	5	Ō	ī	7	4	1	1	6	1	113	Oct	13	Sunday
287	2 1	4	6	17	5	4	6	4	6	16	12	19	21	10	14	22	9	14	11	19	5	2	5	214	Oct	14	Monday
288	4 2	3	8	27	14	45	9 9	4	9	10	3	10	16	17	18	14	6	4	3	24	11	ő	4	173	Oct	16	Wednesday
290	3 4	2	3	8	10	2	2	8	10	ĴŠ	2	12	17	13	11	18	1 9	10	6	11	-ŝ	ŏ	ŝ	184	Oct	17	Thursday
291	32	1	4	2	2	1	6	2	5	2	9	10	14	9	1	6	10	5	3	10	2	2	2	113	Oct	18	Friday
292	1 4 5 1 2	5	0 4	6	16	3	1 4	. 5	17	2	5	19	12	12	10	2	4	1	0	3	10	10	1	110	Oct	20	Saturday
294	1 0	1ŏ	ō	ĕ	ŝ	1	ริ	5	4	5	ğ	7	19	14	8	6	20	8	8	10	4	ĩ	8	164	Oct	21	Monday
295	61	2	5	5	2	2	4	2	9	15	24	13	28	22	17	8	32	0	4	8	9	2	0	220	Oct	22	Tuesday
296	32	1	0	6	22	2	2	15	11	18	14	21	20	15	3	26	11	13	9	17	4	5	5	182	Oct	23	Wednesday
298	6 17	1	5	13	6	3	3	14	18	20	13	21	19	15	10	4	20	22	ŏ	20	8	4	õ	262	Oct	25	Friday
299	0 0	9	2	5	5	8	3	7	7	5	8	11	27	17	13	5	5	5	3	7	6	8	5	171	Oct	26	Saturday
300	63	2	5	12	7	9	3	5	9	17	8	46	37	11	26	31	17	10	7	3	5	11	6	254	Oct	27	Sunday
302	1 4	5	3	12	7	4	3	10	24	8	7	12	29	36	6	11	3	4	6	8	3	4	6	216	Oct	20	Tuesdav
303	4 5	ĩ	5	ĩĩ	7	ō	11	16	4	10	5	38	12	12	8	20	25	8	4	19	2	7	5	239	Oct	30	Wednesday
304	0 9	9	6	9	3	3	5	5	8	12	21	16	8	10	21	5	14	2	13	16	5	5	2	197	Oct	31	Thursday
305	23	2	5	2	12	5	4	2	4	4	4	10	10	8	5	9	1/5	12	1	18	2	2	4	125	NOV	02	Saturday
307	5 3	3	ž	4	7	12	8	10	19	42	25	33	28	11	23	18	10	24	2	7	4	2	5	307	Nov	03	Sunday
308	47	4	8	6	7	6	1	1	28	2	4	10	8	12	18	26	11	15	2	10	5	3	4	202	Nov	04	Monday
309	2 1	6	4	4	3	4	11	12	20	10	27	10	11	14	10	3	17	22	14	85	1	2	3	125	NOV	05	Tuesday
311	$\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	2	1	7	ĭ	6	8	4	20	-6	- 1	12	22	14	27	12	÷7	~2J	1	10	5	õ	3	151	Nov	07	Thursday
312	$\bar{2}$ $\bar{8}$	ĩ	ž	2	7	2	5	2	9	6	7	11	13	17	18	1	16	15	5	2	0	7	1	159	Nov	08	Friday
313	2 1	2	1	3	10	6	14	.9	-4	7	.9	4	25	21	7	3	5	5	5	2	1	4	4	134	Nov	09	Saturday
314	64	0 6	4	2	8	0 6	2	2	4	- 30	54	11	21	34	12	12	18	24	4	3	5	13	2	174	Nov	11	Monday
316	1 2	3	2	4	4	Š	31	7	9	13	19	20	23	22	22	4	1	8	4	4	7	õ	4	219	Nov	12	Tuesday
317	11 5	8	2	2	7	5	2	4	7	8	16	17	20	31	15	3	1	8	10	4	18	2	4	210	Nov	13	Wednesday
318	02	1	2	9	6	4	10	1	0	10	8	9	10	1/	15	3	10	17	8	10	47	5	0 8	148	NOV	14	Friday
320	14 9	š	8	10	12	15	-8	ŝ	4	ģ	3	24	13	21	30	25	22	27	25	25	21	29	7	378	Nov	16	Saturday
321	9 23	30	25	77	57	52	50	23	6	11	11	11	15	_6	27	22	11	4	5	13	15	36	97	636	Nov	17	Sunday
322	76 70	28	3	4	5	21	15	17	29	2	32	10	24	52	52	40	33	42	40	51	53	60 77	52	795	Nov	18	Monday
323	71 76	04 76	04 77	44 79	50 88	57	43	21	21	15	1/ 7	20	40	23	38	9	13	2	2	7	5	41	75	906	Nov	20	Wednesdav
325	77 49	44	9	6	15	9	2	-5	7	9	10	18	19	0	8	14	1	11	5	6	6	5	8	343	Nov	21	Thursday
326	3 4	1	3	6	15	19	5	4	1	4	12	5	9	б	7	5	2	18	0	2	2	4	8	145	Nov	22	Friday
327	34	5	65	1	0	67	11	95	3	/ 5	9	4	8	2 8	11	20	20	26	43	34	4	10	79 19	207	NOV	23	Saturday Sunday
329	54	3	4	4	7	6	18	11	22	9	4	22	19	30	7	5	26	8	3	24	Ś	ś	32	281	Nov	25	Monday

Table 3.4.1 (Page 1 of 4)

May 1992

·
NRS .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

. .

224	E 0			~	~	-	•		~~	• •	~~		~~	10	~	~ *			~	~ 7	16	-	~~	220		25	m	
330	2 4	. 30 1	TO	3	0	2		11	44	12	20	41	66	13	2	21	12		2	41	12	2	20	3/0	NOV	20	Tuesday	
331	20 1	. 3	2	1	8	2	14	15	11	19	11	21	- 8	28	10	8	2	25	- 5	11	6	4	29	264	Nov	27	wednesday	
332	16 1	. 2	8	2	6	4	19	10	3	- 7	17	26	28	26	9	3	8	16	- 4	4	3	2	3	227	Nov	28	Thursday	
333	27 14	2	5	10	1	2	14	16	18	17	6	25	33	21	7	1	9	21	12	11	9	4	7	292	Nov	29	Friday	
334	3 5	1	3	6	1	2	7	2	15	6	3	14	16	6	53	30	13	7	1	1	2	7	4	208	Nov	30	Saturday	
225	ĂĨ	5	ž	Ă	3	ŝ	à	ĩ	-7	š	7	ĵ	Ē	15	20	19	10	- í	1	5	ŝ	Ś	ŝ	157	Deg	ñ1	Sunday	
335			3	10	5	10		11			11	15	10	17	1	10	17	10	20	ŝ	12	24	č	254	Dec	02	Monday	
220			2	τų.	4	10		<u></u>	14		17	11	10	41	<u></u>	4	12	10	20		14	47	2	234	Dec	02	monuay	
331	10 3	0	2		T.	10	11	20	10	12		13	22	13	8	و	8	8	د	<u> </u>	4	2		200	Dec	0.3	Tuesday	
338	36 40	0	6	8	1	2	- 3	12	9	13	5	13	13	21	18	18	3	9	8	1	24	26	4	299	Dec	04	Wednesday	
339	12 13	16	6	5	2	1	- 4	6	18	- 8	1	- 8	16	34	15	11	0	3	2	5	7	2	3	198	Dec	05	Thursday	
340	14	2	0	12	2	5	10	6	6	8	20	16	22	24	13	15	7	18	19	25	26	14	20	295	Dec	06	Friday	
341	32 27	27 2	26	32	11	5	7	6	5	7	18	13	9	28	25	55	57	67	44	52	57	57	59	726	Dec	07	Saturday	
342	60 57	59 (	62	61	61	47	56	26	7	10	14	21	14	12	5	10	26	10	17	55	51	53	23	817	Dec	08	Sunday	
343	6 11	1	16	ĩŝ	6	Ō	Ĩž	1	12	2	-	21	ā	35	56	36	26	36	29	37	5	-7	7	382	Dec	ñõ	Monday	
244	11 0	i 1	2	× ×	~	Ă		÷.	12	6	12	15	11	~~	11	30	20		1	12	ž	É	17	172	Doc	10	Thorday	
345	26 11		~	-	1 6	~ 7		2	10		12	20	11		11	20	20	20		16	20	10	54	252	Dec	10	Wedneeden	
343	30 11			3	12	21	Τ <u>Τ</u> .		TÕ	6	12	20	12	14	ΤĞ	20	28	30		Τö	20	18	23	357	Dec	11	weanesday	
346	22 25	37 3	36	30	12	9	5	4	6	6	21	14	6	18	5	8	6	1	3	.7	3	6	2	292	Dec	12	Thursday	
347	91	92	20	18	9	9	5	8	6	4	14	20	14	13	11	5	9	15	11	33	5	5	3	256	Dec	13	Friday	
348	75	16	9	9	15	19	10	16	11	5	19	14	22	12	9	25	38	27	38	17	14	7	8	372	Dec	14	Saturday	
349	9 5	3	5	5	13	16	23	10	13	10	20	12	15	10	13	5	4	4	6	4	15	4	32	256	Dec	15	Sunday	
350	12 20	31 3	33	39	19	10	11	12	14	7	7	9	23	14	15	4	6	10	2	8	3	8	4	321	Dec	16	Monday	
351	6 3	7	6	8	5	- q	- 8	-6	- 8	6	11	18	18	19	- 6	2	Ž	1	5	6	4	Ř	ŝ	177	Dec	17	Tuesday	
252	- <b>5</b> 5		Ă	š	ž	ó	Ă	ă	Ă	20	-ā	15	32	12	ĕ	12	š	â	7	ă	20	10	ŝ	200	Dec	18	Wednesday	
252	A 10	10 1	12	21	5	Ň	-	10	17	12	6	20	22	22	š	13	5	2			20	10	~	203	Dec	10	Thursday	
222	4 10	10 3	13	21	'é	2		10	14	13		30	44	44	0	1	2		2		2	7	Š	220	Dec	12	Inur Suay	
334	1 0	4	Ť.	4		4	1	10	4	8		12	12	د ا	4	2	8	د ،		4		2	- 0	108	Dec	20	Friday	
322	3 0	2	6	.9	13	8	12	2	1	_2	్	6	15	6	28	2	. 4	. 4	9	4	10	10	20	190	Dec	21	Saturday	
356	22 27	32 2	27	45	47	31	38	29	61	54	28	22	17	17	16	25	11	15	- 5	3	19	9	4	604	Dec	22	Sunday	
357	4 0	6	4	10	10	1	- 5	9	3	9	9	22	14	8	8	7	2	4	6	11	3	6	3	164	Dec	23	Monday	
358	3 4	4 1	16	16	4	5	11	12	4	14	11	21	31	32	16	19	29	22	21	24	21	24	10	374	Dec	24	Tuesdav	
359	2 5	9 1	12	7	19	14	17	11	9	9	12	15	19	9	6	8	3	1	10	2	1	9	4	213	Dec	25	Wednesday	Frste
360	11 6	4	12	÷.	-7	6	4	-7	1	10	12	- 9	- q	5	6	2	2	- ī	6	3	2	2	10	140	Dec	26	Thursday	Andre
361	10 9	4	2	16	5	ž	2	á	Ē	14	ĩ	14	15	Ă	ž	ĩ	Ã	Â	š	14	10	õ	1	167	Dec	27	Friday	imar c
363	10 0	5 1	10	11	ŝ	3	2	10	25	57	16	26	15			5	- 1	š	š		10	-	5	215	Dec	20	Caturday	
202			TŇ.	1 <u>7</u>	2	-	õ	TO	23	41	10	30	15	2		4		2		2			2	215	Dec	20	Sacurday	
303	2 4	0	0	2		2	ē	د	4	Ŧ		. 4	2		2	0	- <u>1</u>	9	10	.2	4	U	5	80	Dec	29	Sunday	
364	4 4	0	1	3	1	8	2	3	2	- 7	2	10	4	Ð.	2	4	5	4	2	10	1	9	2	102	Dec	30	Monday	
365	4 5	7	5	16	16	6	7	4	2	4	2	15	27	2	2	- 9	4	6	8	4	5	2	0	162	Dec	31	Tuesday	
1	04	0	0	0	2	1	2	14	0	3	2	1	3	0	- 5	2	1	2	2	3	3	12	2	64	Jan	01	Wednesday	Frste
2	65	8	3	3	7	1	4	2	2	3	8	6	6	4	1	8	2	4	5	6	5	1	3	103	Jan	02	Thursday	
3	1 1	4	5	3	3	5	6	3	3	3	1	6	2	4	4	3	6	13	8	6	5	2	1	98	Jan	03	Fridav	
4	4 12	3	9	14	3	17	12	2	11	1	5	10	21	26	12	7	10	14	22	12	11	11	13	262	Jan	04	Saturday	
ŝ	20 23	19 3	วร์	27	41	27	10	18	11	20	20	28	20	12	10	12	20	12	18	13	10	35	31	503	Jan	05	Sunday	
ŝ	14 0	10	٠ <u>٬</u>	~'-	72	~ń	1	12	17	6	22	12	6	11	Ťž	15	22	Â	12	13	10	7	5	146	Jan	06	Monday	
2	1 1 1 2	I		5	5	~	- 5	3		č	5	13	10	16		- <u>,</u>	ŝ	7		11	- 2			160	Tan	22	Thomas	
	1 14		Ľ <u>′</u>	4	4	1	4	4	2	<u></u>			10	12		4	4	1	2	11	3			123	Jan	07	Tuesday	
8	4 4			2	4	4	- 1	- 1	. 3		4	15	TT	10	. 1	0			2	75	8	.4		143	Jan	08	weanesday	
9	12 14	21 1	11	6	1	2	2	1	13	15		16	14	16	15	12	11	2	3	- 7	16	14	21	261	Jan	09	Thursday	
10	25.37	28 4	13	37	13	5	7	3	5	- 7	17	15	17	10	5	4	5	7	5	2	2	13	4	316	Jan	10	Friday	
11	1 2	2	3	2	2	6	1	3	7	6	2	2	5	5	6	1	4	8	0	4	3	1	4	80	Jan	11	Saturday	
12	54	10 1	10	3	6	2	5	5	1	2	13	7	10	6	11	5	3	2	4	4	6	2	2	128	Jan	12	Sunday	
13	3 6	5	7	5	2	2	1	7	21	10	22	38	7	25	6	8	6	6	13	8	10	5	9	232	Jan	13	Monday	
14	26 14	10 1	17	4	ī	ī	3	ò	5	12	16	17	20	21	12	6	ğ	ğ	7	6	ĩõ	4	16	246	Jan	14	Tuesday	
15	Å 1	1,1,1	ó	ň	2	2	14	10	ň	18	- 2	-6	ĩĸ	12	17	12	- é	ź	6	10	້າ	2	17	100	Jan	15	Wodneedaw	
16	7 14	5	2	11	5	2	17	10	8	17	10	10	11	16	12		4	17	17	18	10	6	1	120	Jan	10	mbunedar	
10	7 14	2	6	τŤ	5		10	. 4	, 7	1/	10	тŇ	11	10	.0	1	4	1/		ž	тğ	Š	0	223	Jan	10	Thursday	
1/	1 22	8	2	9	0	ΤŤ	т¤	14	τΞ	20	20	. 9	10	30	ΤŤ	õ	8	TO	22	y y		4	4	299	Jan	1/	rriday	
18	56	7	9	6	7	3	1	3	1	8	11	11	9	4	6	- 5	16	4	2	2	3	3	8	152	Jan	18	Saturday	
19	83	5	6	2	6	4	10	8	5	5	1	9	- 4	2	б	6	7	5	3	4	1	3	· 8	121	Jan	19	Sunday	
20	6 2	6	6	3	1	1	5	4	12	15	13	13	23	20	10	7	4	5	3	3	5	3	9	179	Jan	20	Monday	

Table 3.4.1 (Page 2 of 4)

;

29

May 1992

•

NRS .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

~ 1	<i>.</i>			10	1.5	-	~	-	10	-		14	10	-	-		10	-	-	~	•	-	0.01	<b>*</b>	~1	m
21	0 0	1 10		10	TÖ		20	د	14		11	14	13	~	2	12	10			2	2		201	Jan	21	Tuesday
22	/ 24	10 12	20	4	8	12	30	0	30	32	04	28	34	28	8	2	0	14	4	2		2	407	Jan	22	weanesday
23	31	1 4	12	4	9	4	12	8	3	2	15	10	13	12	10	8	15	4	12	8	11	11	214	Jan	23	Thursday
24	10 10	3 3	3	9	1	4	7	5	11	16	- 7	17	9	3	15	2	7	8	6	1	7	12	176	Jan	24	Friday
25	87	5 10	17	18	15	21	9	8	12	18	14	- 5	- 7	8	8	- 5	16	- 5	5	3	6	7	237	Jan	25	Saturday
26	13 21	24 23	10	34	34	30	15	29	11	9	8	- 5	1	1	- 5	10	7	2	6	- 7	1	2	308	Jan	26	Sunday
27	19	4 1	. 2	3	3	1	7	4	2	12	14	9	9	11	4	2	6	10	5	2	19	15	155	Jan	27	Monday
28	11 3	4 3	3	3	3	4	5	6	8	12	15	17	13	11	8	6	2	9	13	5	13	6	183	Jan	28	Tuesday
29	5 0	4 3	4	2	3	3	6	4	5	8	18	29	12	7	4	8	8	25	13	1	20	5	197	Jan	29	Wednesday
30	15 31	18 16	21	16	ğ	3	7	8	4	7	21	25	21	Ż	13	14	27	23	10	17	17	51	401	Jan	30	Thursday
31	29 33	23 40	27	18	12	15	5	12	12	11	26	13	10	3	5	6	15	3	6	-1	-2	8	335	Jan	31	Friday
32	5 0	2 2	5	Ă	17	7	คื	21	-2	14	ี้จั	4	22	11	16	12	Ĩ	11	5	ī	13	19	213	Feb	01	Saturday
วัว	47 67	50 36	: วจั	22	15	13	13	7	16	12	12	ń.	Ĩ	-6	22	12	11	12	6	ĥ	Ĩ	10	408	Feb	02	Sunday
34	5 5	2 5	1	22	- 2	12	10	12	- 2	15	10	21	11	Ĕ	ี คื	12		11	11	ă	Ř	Ă	157	Feb	02	Monday
25	20 22	24 25	10	12	2	~	12	13	12	~	- 0	20	15	16	22	14	16		25	42	45	22	12/	Fob	0.3	Tonday
35	10 30	15 29	21	20	11	ā	± 2	÷	- 6	20	10	10	1.5	10	<u>ہ</u>	15	10	÷	21	10	17	22	246	Feb	05	Wedneeday
30	19 30	10 20	17	20	1	2	10	12	2	12	25	10	12	~	20	2	20	5	17	10	1	44	240	Feb	05	weunesday
37	9 44	4 10	12	0	L L	Ň	TO	14	16	13	25	12	12		20	4	20	4	1/	20	4	Ť	239	red	00	Thursday
38	98	9 /	, j	2	2	4		TO	12	17	Τö	10	13	3		4	τü	2	44		- 1	2	212	reb	07	Friday
39	3 1	4 1		2	7	õ	11	2	13	10	p p	•	15	-	24	TO			10	21	22	TO	202	rep	08	Saturday
40	13 0	1 1	. <u>12</u>	3		2	TT	. 3	0	- 1	2	. 1	. 2	4	4	4	, S	0	10	34	. 2	Ť	127	rep	09	Sunday
41	1 3	3 9	5	1	1	4	9	12	.8	17	10	15	10	5	8	2	6	12	.7	8	16	.4	179	Feb	10	Monday
42	9 17	22 18	15	6	9	6	3	13	15	12	8	15	22	12	23	12	15	17	12	11	16	17	325	Feb	11	Tuesday
43	23 28	22 22	23	5	5	0	11	6	1	9	15	23	11	7	20	5	4	1	8	5	4	22	280	Feb	12	Wednesday
44	14 9	92	: 3	3	11	2	6	2	10	14	20	19	16	22	8	6	4	6	14	3	9	5	217	Feb	13	Thursday
45	18 5	3 11	. 3	3	1	12	9	8	8	16	21	11	- 5	12	4	3	2	35	13	18	1	38	260	Feb	14	Friday
46	24	2 2	: 5	7	4	2	17	8	4	- 7	48	9	2	3	6	- 5	- 5	11	4	4	6	10	177	Feb	15	Saturday
47	10 10	7 12	: 10	13	20	14	12	9	9	3	3	9	10	8	9	18	14	12	5	17	13	23	270	Feb	16	Sunday -
48	25 19	14 15	5	12	7	9	2	10	8	6	12	21	27	10	14	5	3	5	13	15	7	18	282	Feb	17	Monday
49	19 13	19 20	16	12	15	10	3	5	16	17	29	18	11	16	9	14	4	8	2	7	2	2	287	Feb	18	Tuesday
50	4 13	42 43	35	14	_9	3	6	11	7	21	15	19	19	17	6	4	7	7	15	4	6	3	330	Feb	19	Wednesday
51	7 4	3 1	2	2	3	1	6	7	20	- 9	14	_9	17	- 8	21	8	5	17	14	7	9	2	196	Feb	20	Thursday
52	23	5 5	4	4	1	3	ĩ	10	10	31	-9	7	14	14	16	16	10	11	17	Ŕ	7	ī	209	Feb	21	Friday
53	4 3	2 2	2	2	2	ō	3	ĩĩ	-4	- 8	ģ	6	-0	- 8	- 3	-6	1	-2	-ò	ŏ	ż	ĩ	73	Feh	22	Saturday
54	7 3	6 4	. 5	6	7	Š	1	õ	i	4	5	Š	ĩ	ž	ğ	17	21	11	22	15	16	18	186	Feh	22	Sunday
55	10 22	11 1	1	Ă	à	2	î	Š	23	ŝ	10	5	17	5	Ŕ	1	22	îâ	24	11	15	2	237	Feb	24	Monday
56	15 14	31 5	11	a a	7	ñ	- 5	ā	1	ā	17	15	15	ã	10	14	12	26	16	22	10	15	296	Fob	25	Tuesday
57	9 10	21 22	57	10	á	ă	5	ĕ	14	á	16	17	10	15	- 2	11	16	11	10	35	12	1	214	Feb	25	Wednosday
50	4 29	25 10	20	10	5	ő	5	2	12	16	22		- 2	12	ŝ	5	14	11	10	25	12	5	274	Feb	20	Thursday
50	4 20	1 0	50		2	1	2	2	11	10	15	2	12	5	5	~ ~	14	17	10	20	1	1	175	Feb	21	Enidou
23	43 I 1 1	7 1	, ŭ	ç	2	5	<u>'</u>	1	1	17	22		12	2	2		2	- 1	4	4	1	4	104	Feb	20	Caturday
60	5 5	4 22		22	10	1	5	÷	1	2	5	15	12	2	7		11	2			5	<u>'</u>	172	rep	27	Sacuruay
01	2 2	4 23	4	41	12		4	2	÷	2	~~	12	11			0	11	12	2		4	2	1/3	Mar	01	Sunday
02	1 1	2 11	1.	3	÷	4	4	~	2	11	20	11	11	11	12	9	17	12	10	0	27	29	101	Mar	02	Monday
63	1 12	3 0	12	4	2	3	4	2	2	11	10	11	12	13	14	õ	11	11	10	2	41	44	200	Mar	03	Tuesday
64	8 /	31 32	24	1	4	2	10		. 9	12	23	41	10	0	.2	~ ~	4		4	. 2	2	1	240	Mar	04	weanesday
65	10 0	9 9		2	3	.2		4	10	. ?	25	15	10	20	15		8	ΤŤ	13	10		4	220	Mar	05	Thursday
66	4 4	22 33	35	21	20	12	12	21	20	14	25	18	10	11	2	21	16	Š	19	3	4		365	Mar	06	Friday
67	1 5	11 1	4	0	12	3	4	10	4	4/	9	33	24	4	23	4	2	2		5	9	9	236	Mar	07	Saturday
68	54	2 9	6	4	4	_3	6	1	4	6	.7	3	1	3	_7	3	8	6	8	.9	8	5	122	Mar	08	Sunday
69	33	24	15	4	14	35	46	4	43	33	42	18	47	51	51	27	8	9	9	17	5	0	490	Mar	09	Monday
70	30	22	6	5	14	39	38	11	53	38	44	8	49	65	55	10	4	8	11	4	1	0	470	Mar	10	Tuesday
71	92	70	1	2	2	46	44	12	37	39	30	33	51	36	49	2	5	4	16	5	10	6	448	Mar	11	Wednesday
72	64	13	3	1	14	22	8	5	15	14	14	7	17	17	23	11	12	5	10	1	11	10	234	Mar	12	Thursday
73	6 Ż	43	2	3	11	12	10	4	20	9	15	21	13	9	14	13	11	5	1	1	3	2	194	Mar	13	Friday
74	0 0	2 1	6	29	7	5	1	37	14	27	29	11	1	1	0	3	3	1	2	4	4	4	192	Mar	14	Saturday
75	5 13	27 24	10	7	35	30	15	3	5	10	10	12	7	4	13	6	8	2	22	1	3	8	280	Mar	15	Sunday
76	4 6	24 17	17	7	1	16	10	11	17	6	16	18	12	13	5	10	17	5	5	6	4	8	251	Mar	16	Monday

Table 3.4.1 (Page 3 of 4)

30

+

•

May 1992

NRS .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

3 2 23

77

00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date Day

5 5 8 13 / 1 2 3 19 1 1 2 10 14 14 1 1 3 

 23
 2
 8
 9
 12
 6
 8
 17
 18
 3

 2
 10
 12
 7
 10
 11
 13
 6
 13
 13

174 Mar 17 Tuesday 1 78 4 0 3 6 3 1 9 6 149 Mar 18 Wednesday 79 Ō 6 1 2 7 1 3 5 15 14 24 15 16 13 10 11 10 14 14 201 Mar 19 Thursday 

 a
 5
 1
 8
 5
 1
 8
 11
 9
 9
 14
 12
 8
 9
 17
 18

 6
 3
 5
 5
 12
 7
 12
 4
 9
 4
 10
 8
 9
 17
 4
 18

 6
 3
 5
 5
 12
 7
 12
 4
 9
 4
 4
 10
 8
 9
 5
 9

 0
 5
 4
 3
 1
 7
 6
 5
 1
 3
 7
 4
 0
 2
 5
 2

80 1 0 3 3 4 4 2 2 171 Mar 20 Friday 5 9 8 4 13 5 2 2 2 3 81 5 1 155 Mar 21 Saturday 82 6 6 0 3 12 0 5 4 3 1 7 6 5 1 3 7 4 0 2 5 2 2 2 3 4 30 16 16 7 16 12 15 25 26 11 9 11 17 3 11 1 1 2 89 Mar 22 Sunday 83 5 2 4 8 4 256 Mar 23 Monday 84 6 3 72 285 Mar 24 Tuesday 4 85 0 1 2 7 172 Mar 25 Wednesday 4 86 3 1 3 8 5 13 3 7 8 7 2 189 Mar 26 Thursday 1 87 10 7 2 4 197 Mar 27 Friday 

 5
 9
 12
 6
 6
 7
 7
 6
 5
 9
 7
 3
 2
 2
 3
 3
 18
 12
 14
 12
 29
 27
 29

 30
 41
 63
 53
 56
 43
 37
 20
 12
 5
 4
 14
 13
 9
 3
 1
 12
 12
 11
 20
 15
 13
 25

 21
 24
 27
 14
 9
 9
 13
 1
 7
 12
 23
 8
 22
 7
 5
 17
 12
 9
 10
 19
 7
 2
 0

 1
 8
 6
 18
 2
 2
 4
 3
 9
 13
 2
 9
 21
 24
 8
 16
 19
 14
 22
 7
 8
 3
 7
 4

88 238 Mar 28 Saturday 89 526 Mar 29 Sunday 90 287 Mar 30 Monday 91 230 Mar 31 Tuesday NRS 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum 1792 1744 1553 1553 1576 2062 2752 2131 1768 1536 1599 1715 1724 1720 1880 1455 1519 1861 2745 2573 2084 1828 2059 1557 44786 Total sum 183 9 10 9 10 10 8 8 9 10 11 15 15 14 12 11 10 10 8 11 9 9 9 245 Total average 10 10 9 9 10 7 6 8 8 8 11 11 16 17 16 12 12 10 10 8 12 8 8 9 247 Average workdays 128 8 9 10 10 11 12 11 11 9 9 9 11 12 11 9 10 11 10 9 8 9 9 10 11 239 Average weekends 55

Table 3.4.1. Daily and hourly distribution of NORESS detections. For each day is shown number of detections within each hour of the day and number of detections for that day. The end statistics give total number of detections distributed for each hour and the total sum of detections during the period. The averages show number of processed days, hourly distribution and average per processed day.

ARC .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

274 275 276 277 278 278	2 7 21 30 3 13 28 7 16 10	0 4 20 4 40	7 6 8 4 2 6	7 11 4 7 10	5 20 15 13	28 39 24 23 15	14 11 14 23 16	14 22 27 8 14	17 25 23 23 14	22 31 31 8 18	37 35 13 10 14	16 26 32 27 13	28 30 23 34 8	33 34 38 29 25	14 15 22 26 21	23 14 20 13 5	4 14 13 10 7	12 10 28 11 6	11 7 17 14 13	11 12 7 15 8	5 5 19 17 1	13 17 11 11 7 2	16 17 26 23 17	346 441 456 390 313	Oct Oct Oct Oct Oct	01 02 03 04 05	Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday
280 281	7 11 8 3	10	12 16	7 12	14 7	20 11	17	17 23	32 16	45 18	26 22	37 31	40 20	17 34	11 9	20 14	15 4	4	8 18	15 15	5 20	13 13	18 15	421 344	Oct Oct	07 08	Monday Tuesday
282	54	5	2 4	7	9	24 16	16	18	20	37	14	13	30	27	18	31	10	12	19	13	47	4 23	29	384	Oct	10	Thursday
284	55	6	14	18	5	13	16	13	33	18	16	13	53	25	7	16	18	12	11	16	15	13	23	384	Oct	11	Friday
285	1 2	5	4	3	6	12	.7	14	4	21	11	19	21	13	6	15	3	7	8	6	12	12	21	233	Oct	12	Saturday
286	13 21	5	10	6	10	8	11	7	20	20	10	11	21	19	23	-4	15	31	16	17	16	11	20	303	Oct	13	Sunday
288	8 1	2	17	4	10	21	8	33	16	20	18	20 5	35	30	0	22	20	19	3	10	29	20	18	273	Oct	15	Tuesday
289	11 3	ĩ	12	9	15	28	26	15	29	29	16	21	12	26	ĭ	12	18	19	14	3	ĩi	11	30	374	Oct	16	Wednesday
290	45	2	13	11	22	17	13	10	29	б	10	12	27	25	36	10	28	6	12	2	9	0	11	320	Oct	17	Thursday
291	15 13	5	4	5	6	7	10	6	11	8	7	10	21	11	10	4	13	4	5	4	_3	8	_5	195	Oct	18	Friday
292	53 79	4	2	19	10	16	13	11	15	17	42	25	29	29	20	1/	39	45	13	23	20	/1	71	562	Oct	19	Saturday
294	36 3		3	14	90	12	1'í	22	16	14	26	381	111	28	11	12	12	15	11	34	61	88	57	623	Oct	20	Monday
295	98124	1041	.07	82	79́	30	11	8	20	19	ĩŏ	24	23	27	12	12	-ĩ	-9	3	5	6	5	12	839	Oct	22	Tuesday
296	46	12	9	631	531	81	28	6	17	26	15	29	77:	1813	1561	1311	1723	141	67	63	43	96	84	1760	Oct	23	Wednesday
297	51 13	9	6	10	6	20	17	16	32	34	36	24	29	40	8	9	7	4	4	6	3	12	12	408	Oct	24	Thursday
298	1 3	23	66	21	11	10	27	11	5	12	10	18	19	28	12	10	12	6	18	14	12	14	23	290	Oct	25	Friday
300	5 11	11	7	6	13	5	15	27	501	128	121	131	34	1081	173	65	912	2222	2181	1992	2081	621	14	2124	Oct	27	Sunday
301	91 58	25	35	35	63	71	63	31	24	28	26	37	37	23	28	17	51	58	27	11	19	7	19	884	Oct	28	Monday
302	10 5	12	6	7	7	18	16	24	23	23	32	28	25	25	29	16	35	15	7	7	7	14	22	413	Oct	29	Tuesday
303	8 3	4	. 9	6	.8	19	28	28	27	11	28	30	42	29	23	14	19	54	30	461	28	58	65	717	Oct	30	Wednesday
304	191100	852 104	14	1/2	43	24	1/	10	32	19	32	43	40	68 1011	20	50	27	11	42	54	651	1081	27	1296	Nor	31	Thursday
306	13 15	8	4	7	12	12	25	13	25	57	30	38	45	39	19	7	27	13	52	34	37	15	12	482	Nov	02	Saturday
307	6 5	8	5	Ś	10	10	13	4	17	8	9	4	- <u>9</u>	14	-8	10	23	9	13	8	7	13	-8	226	Nov	03	Sunday
308	3 10	5	8	10	11	23	39	26	44	27	39	40	36	34	7	13	20	10	14	30	7	6	20	482	Nov	04	Monday
309	14 3	2	15	4	13	16	15	52	19	42	23	34	.9	22	23	10	11	27	55	40	45	15	31	540	Nov	05	Tuesday
310	44 10	18	10	11	18	34	49	19	27	26	20	40	31	22	10	20	8	14	24	14	11	10	24	484	NOV	06	Wednesday
312	14 5	20	22	11	23	21	17	20	26	23	47	40	40	10	18	20	16	30	26	41 11	19	30	18	552	Nov	07	Friday
313	13 3	15	6	7	19	48	19	Ĩĝ	16	14	20	13	16	25	- 8	4	22	7	16	6	í	5	21	333	Nov	09	Saturday
314	2 7	7	3	3	6	8	6	5	7	2	13	10	10	12	15	3	18	15	39	15	5	13	8	232	Nov	10	Sunday
315	7 30	11	8	5	10	17	21	11	17	27	18	27	27	8	8	17	. 9	13	10	16	9	16	27	369	Nov	11	Monday
316	59	5	9	10	7	26	.6	20	13	10	7	12	66	26	38	19	15	14	14	6	22	28	20	407	Nov	12	Tuesday
317	14 /	12	25	18	10	20	19	13	12	34	24 57	39	38	70	72	1/	22	14	11	0	20	10	30	579	NOV	14	Thursday
319	96	3	11	6	21	24	18	33	20	20	30	44	42	17	ŝ	15	24	17	7	10	15	16	20	433	Nov	15	Friday
320	17 9	6	- <u>9</u>	18	7	12	20	- 8	7	21	31	42	32	39	26	- <u>9</u>	30	45	47	17	11	13	- 9	485	Nov	16	Saturday
321	62	6	7	10	13	9	15	4	0	9	8	2	0	9	3	10	6	4	7	22	7	20	12	191	Nov	17	Sunday
322	6 3	1	2	.9	5	17	10	23	26	11	39	34	13	19	13	13	17	12	12	,9	16	13	23	326	Nov	18	Monday
323	10 13	14 18	9 11	12	21	14 22	11	97	26	27	22	21	29	22	4 19	10	18	25	15	512	16	21 10	16	315	NOV	79	Tuesday
325	4 6	18	2	5	10	13	3	6	13	13	17	21	21	22	2	25	14	2	5	8	12	19	21	282	Nov	21	Thursday
326	11 13	6	4	15	8	19	6	17	20	15	20	24	39	22	21	11	13	9	7	14	9	24	12	359	Nov	22	Friday
327	63	7	10	15	28	28	13	8	13	15	11	27	20	39	26	29	21	13	15	9	5	24	33	418	Nov	23	Saturday
328	22 14	11	6	14	.7	10	3	13	2	18	4	29	12	16	6	,2	17	4	4	16	9	14	10	243	Nov	24	Sunday
329	5 C	د	د	/	τp	13	o	1	24	12	25	32	21	та	4	ΤT	τU	14	12	0	3	0	12	204	1404	20	nonday

Table 3.4.2 (Page 1 of 4)

é

NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-91/92

32

May 1992

1.1

ARC .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

330	7	6	9	2	12	5	11	16	21	28	28	23	24	22	24	14	4	7	10	25	11	10	17	19	355	Nov	26	Tuesday	
331	12	6	6	8	12	15	6	2	5	17	22	17	40	20	31	20	7	32	12	12	8	13	11.	18	352	Nov	27	Wednesday	
332	12	Ā	17	Ā	18	12	15	5	10	Ŕ	31	13	16	22	10	10	16	17	- 8	10	21	10	6	19	326	Nov	28	Thursday	
222	12	2	÷.	ž	22	Ť	17	Ē	25	43	26	20	24	22	22	11	11	21	17	Ĩ	~7	16	ŏ	12	200	Nov	20	Friday	
333	15	2	7		44		11	0	22	10	30	15	34	23	64		**	41	16	2	- 4	10	2	13	333	Nor	20	Saturdan	
334		3	2	8	4	10	12		1	TO	14	12	11	23	41	0	14	3	10		1	0		14	200	NOV	30	Saturday	
335	4	3	2	3	1	3	5	9	1	9	0	3	8	8	12	8	1	0	8	14	3	3	0	13	145	Dec	UT.	Sunday	
336	1	6	5	1	7	7	6	9	10	6	12	15	18	22	17	12	8	18	17	12	1	- 6	13	21	250	Dec	02	Monday	
337	10	8	3	1	8	- 4	14	6	14	15	37	62	28	32	6	7	7	6	6	23	7	15	15	14	348	Dec	03	Tuesday	
338	12	2	0	10	5	4	22	7	8	7	19	27	35	29	14	16	11	18	6	20	13	18	8	6	317	Dec	04	Wednesday	
339	4	7	6	10	10	3	12	ġ	10	21	27	9	20	11	16	18	4	6	15	11	17	7	13	14	280	Dec	05	Thursday	
340		10	15	ĨŔ.	Ē	Ā	11	12	16	22	44	22	23	18	33	21	13	41	4	12	- <b>ż</b>	Ŕ	11	18	385	Dec	06	Friday	
241	14	11	17	ĕ	14	Ĕ		<u></u>	16	15	10	วัก	1.4	10	20	20	20	iî.	- î	1	25	ž	Â	13	251	Dec	07	Saturday	
241	17	11	1		13				~~	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	20	20	-	15	15		-	13	206	Dec	ňé	Sunday	
342	0	12	-	12	1	14	11		20	10	OT	12	10	- 0	10	12	10	20		13	12			12	370	Dec	20	Vanday	
343	8	10		5	S	14	24	15	.!	23	14	10	10	14		ΤŤ	10	.3	14			3	10	20	2/0	Dec	109	Monday	
344	15	10	8	8	6	8	13	- 7	14	10	16	33	19	8	24	- 4	16	15	5	9	16	6	19	16	305	Dec	10	Tuesday	
345	2	6	6	1	7	10	9	4	25	9	- 8	14	13	16	9	11	9	- 7	6	7	- 7	4	5	1	196	Dec	11	Wednesday	
346	7	3	4	3	8	5	15	10	11	8	11	21	24	15	18	11	13	12	4	8	3	8	9	22	253	Dec	12	Thursday	
347	18	16	25	48	38	27	34	30	39	28	68	64	51	70	69	63	36	22	33	32	36	10	19	39	915	Dec	13	Friday	
348	20	-6	6	12	11	Ŕ	16	25	17	20	13	33	33	35	30	14	7	6	4	11	7	11	13	24	382	Dec	14	Saturday	
340	ã	š	ă	- 7		14	12	7	÷.	20	- 0	10	15	12	Š	17	Ġ	ĕ	18	22	á	20	- 2	ĩŝ	265	Dec	15	Sunday	
250			-	5	' É	-12			5	20	~	10		17	- ž	12	5	š	10	23	é	20	าวั	15	120	Dog	16	Monday	
350		1	4		~ ~	~~		. 4	~ ~		~ ~ ~	~ 7	~	~~	~	12			10	14	2	2	16	12	206	Dec	17	Runadow	
321	9	.2	8	10	20	29	33	12	24	14	21	20	28	23	21	0	13	11	10	14	2		12	20	390	Dec	11	Tuesday	
352	4	17	4	9	5	10	11	13	10	. 7	18	34	38	39	9	. 9	20	11	13	13	7	20	6	53	380	Dec	18	Wednesday	
353	66	21	9	12	14	25	11	12	8	17	15	20	23	23	16	17	3	9	17	22	18	17	11	22	428	Dec	19	Thursday	
354	19	6	9	7	10	13	17	8	14	10	16	29	21	17	23	8	6	2	14	5	17	4	6	18	299	Dec	20	Friday	
355	4	1	4	11	16	9	4	6	6	10	27	12	12	22	14	2	5	4	2	6	8	3	11	17	216	Dec	21	Saturday	
356	3	3	5	3	15	7	2	14	11	39	28	36	31	34	11	16	14	11	7	11	17	22	8	13	361	Dec	22	Sunday	
357	10	ă	15	40	15	20	20	ĩĩ	26	29	14	17	22	20	15	20	22	6	11	11	~ 7	6	13	15	412	Dec	23	Monday	
350	Ť¢.	10	10	21	25	12	15	10	12	17	17	10	12		10	ĩã	10	11	-5	11	é	ž	Ĩ	11	287	Deg	24	Tuesday	
300		10	20	41	23	13	17	10	13		14	10	10	• •	10	1	10		1	16	12	15	~	15	207	Dec	21	Wedneeday	Enste
329			41	10	44	20	14	12	~ ~		14	14	13	14			Ö	<u> </u>		10	12	12	24	12	277	Dec	45	wednesday	Frace
360	22	26	22	16	14	17	21	10	20	12	17	23	13	8	13	11	2	8	11	15	12	13	18	24	368	pec	26	Thursday	Andre
361	7	14	10	8	25	14	- 7	4	13	21	16	30	23	43	14	8	2	7	10	15	5	16	9	14	335	Dec	21	Friday	
362	4	18	12	10	11	8	12	10	14	14	23	22	21	22	15	26	6	13	- 8	14	3	- 3	1	12	302	Dec	28	Saturday	
363	0	1	3	4	4	2	4	3	6	6	9	3	0	5	20	19	2	7	11	6	8	2	6	7	138	Dec	29	Sunday	
364	2	1	1	1	3	3	2	4	5	0	3	1	11	6	8	9	11	4	4	15	11	6	12	31	154	Dec	30	Monday	
365	33	18	12	7	14	12	- Ģ	3	15	10	7	5	2	- Ĕ	16	11	10	13	- 5	12	- 5	6	4	ō	235	Dec	31	Tuesday	
303	22	10	12	6	- i	Ā	5	Ă	32	6	12	ĩ	Ā	ž	ĩã	- 2	2	- 7	Ā	- 6	Ă	Ř	12	ī	151	Jan	01	Wednesday	Freto
5	2	7	4 C			12	14	ā	16	š	14	14	1 6	12	- <u>`</u>	č	11		12	10	Ē	12	25	10	261	Jan	02	Thursday	11000
4	•	2	0	1	11	13	14	1 5	12	~ 7	14	14	10	16	45	= -	11	70	10	12	41	14	40	10	054	Tan	02	Thur Sudy	
د	. 4	2		23	20	10	15	12	10	41	40	21	22	22	40	20	13	10	41	40	41	40	43	41	0.04	Jan	03	Friday	
4	43	82	73	64	52	28	34	21	29	21	14	28	33	34	31	18	19	38	40	43	43	52	48	32	920	Jan	04	Saturday	
5	15	13	14	6	4	11	2	14	6	- 5	19	- 7	22	6	10	1	0	6	8	16	22	12	18	14	251	Jan	05	Sunday	
6	6	6	5	5	2	10	13	16	18	9	12	25	10	16	16	6	13	- 5	9	17	8	17	17	14	275	Jan	06	Monday	
7	11	9	11	15	19	21	30	36	26	17	19	16	36	26	19	9	7	17	3	5	6	7	18	24	407	Jan	07	Tuesday	
Ŕ	5	Ř	11	4	-ō	18	20	20	38	30	27	30	37	23	24	28	24	29	33	26	26	8	9	21	499	Jan	80	Wednesday	
ă	Ř	ž	<u></u>	2	13	17	24	25	26	29	24	22	35	21	26	43	38	40	37	35	34	33	47	43	625	Jan	09	Thursday	
10	40	24	10		12	20	25	17	46	25	44	20	22	20		12	11	ŏ	10	10	12	12	20	22	601	Tan	ň	Eniday	
10	11	31	70	22	20	20	20	74	70	22	10	14	20	- 10	77	15		12	22	20	20	12	52	24	274	Tar	11	Caturdan	
11	11	22 2	4	ΤŤ.	12	د	2	4	د	0	τŭ	14	2	4		13	0	73	23	20	23	4.5	33	34	3/4	Jan	12	Sacuruay	
12	19	6	3	3	5	4	2	2	4	. 2	3	3		_3	0		د.		TT.		8	15	10	20	100	Jan	12	Sunday	
13	18	17	15	14	12	11	25	32	36	43	42	49	42	56	57	63	49	48	60	62	39	23	14	16	843	Jan	13	Monday	
14	7	11	10	8	7	12	32	29	22	29	34	33	36	18	35	25	33	24	12	9	9	3	- 5	13	456	Jan	14	Tuesday	
15	3	7	6	5	5	5	8	12	5	3	11	17	5	13	8	7	4	8	5	2	2	2	1	7	151	Jan	15	Wednesday	
16	4	2	2	6	3	6	8	7	4	8	20	10	15	8	9	8	2	6	8	5	6	5	0	6	158	Jan	16	Thursday	
17	3	2	2	Ă	4	Ř	18	23	24	18	11	16	8	24	11	5	11	7	3	6	4	4	2	20	238	Jan	17	Friday	
16	Ř	ว้	Ã	ò	7	ě	- 7	12	ĩé	14	18	14	20	22	22	ő	20	15	13	15	á	12	12	32	316	Jan	18	Saturday	
10	12	14	12	é	é	1	12	14	- 2	-7		10	12	ر <u>م</u> ۲	10	40	10	17	11	20	10	2	÷.	10	270	Tan	10	Sunday	
13	13	14	12	. 0	20		12	14	2	20	~	10	12		τŭ	40	тä	14	11	20	10	2		10	213	Jan	73	Sunday	
20	13	13	7	12	26	11	23	44	39	31	23	19	10	1/	U	2	- 7	- 4	0	- 7	2	<u>ې</u>	4	τu	544	Jan	20	monoay	

Table 3.4.2 (Page 2 of 4)

33

.

•

May 1992

.

ARC .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

2	1	3	2	1	15	29	48	22	15	3	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	۵	150	.Tan	21	Tuesday
		~	~ ~	÷	~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		~~~~	- 2	ž	Å		ŏ	ŏ	, č	~~	~ Ň	<b>F</b> 0	<u>ج</u> ۲	20	- Ĩ	22	~~	2.			T	22	Wednesday
- 2	2	U	U	U	U	U	Ų	U	U	Ų	0	0	0	U	U	80	08	2⊿	21	38	31	23	31	34 .	64	444	Jan	22	wednesday
2	3	19	13	6	9	22	13	18	16	21	20	27	63	64	72	73	53	51	74	761	106	82	44	791	۱9	1140	Jan	23	Thursday
2	4	120	72	69	81	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	4	6	2	1	7	6	15	443	.Tan	24	Friday
-	2	120	12	25	11	74	š	Š	ž		- ~	1 6	~~	~~		ž	ž							10			Tan	21	Cature day
- 2	5	4	3	2	11	2	9	ю	Ŧ	17	10	10	32	28	4	9	8	- 7	4	- 4	11	- 2	4	12	£9	207	Jan	20	Saturday
2	6	22	29	- 5	10	6	28	68	77	54	28	9	10	47	53	80	53	67	71	67	63	73	56	36	26	1038	Jan	26	Sunday
	7	1.9	- a	12	12	11	11	22	20	30	27	26	20	26	10	30	14	20	10	10	12	21	20	30	20	508	Tan	27	Monday
4	2	10		12	14	11	11	22	23	30	57	20	10	20	10	50	11	47	12	17	10	~ 1	2.7			500	Jan	~	monday
- 2	8	14	1	1	6	ь	13	Τ8	35	24	28	ΤT	12	30	TD	20	19	17	12	10	12	9	14	14	4	328	Jan	28	Tuesday
2	9	2	1	7	3	2	5	- 5	4	2	4	16	15	17	15	10	13	11	4	12	10	9	9	19	22	217	Jan	29	Wednesdav
2	ñ	2		ż	10	12	12	20	17	12	12	-ē	12	20	12	6	12	- 6	ā	- 5	6	5	Ē	12	28	250	Jan	30	Thursday
2	U.	2	- 1		10	14	12	20	1/	13	14		13	20	12		16	0	0			2		13	60	233	Jan	30	Inut Suay
3	1	- 5	6	8	- 5	- 7	4	8	9	17	18	20	20	37	9	12	- 5	0	- 5	3	- 3	1	5	4.	[9	230	Jan	31	Friday
3	2	24	5	3	15	52	21	15	14	14	29	9	27	34	23	25	9	6	17	2	13	9	9	9	51	445	Feb	01	Saturday
ž	ĩ	16		5	- 6	15	21		21	56	01	26	51	24	14	22	20	õ	17	51	52	00	0.2	001	50	025	Pob	02	Sundau
2	2	10			?	12	21		21	20	01	20	21	44	17	22	20		11	21	55	00	74	301	20	333	rep	02	Sunday
3	4	1341	.34	95:	100	36	59	44	25	- 9	19	20	27	22	24	8	14	12	13	- 7	14	14	15	5	18	868	Feb	03	Monday
3	5	7	- 5	5	1	6	9	10	4	4	11	26	25	16	24	11	15	11	12	4	9	9	9	17	22	272	Feb	04	Tuesdav
2	6	Ġ	11	10	12	16	15	11	Ē	2	13	23	13	23	21	16	12	-7	~ <u>_</u>	5	ā	Ā	Ā	15	57	288	Feb	05	Wodnocday
2	2	~ ~	11	10	12	10	12	11	~ ~	~ ~	12	23	12	23	41	10	14						~	12	<u>.</u>	200	rep	0.5	reunesuay
3	7	21	30	23	28	26	25	15	36	38	45	50	61	57	51	49	53	43	61	51	42	48	27	25	13	918	Feb	06	Thursday
3	8	11	15	17	12	26	17	29	9	26	30	13	20	36	20	31	19	14	21	18	19	9	12	24	20	468	Feb	07	Friday
2	č		- 2	- 2	- 2	ĨĂ	Ť.	~ ~	1	5	Ĩŝ	- 5	24	~ ~		Ťô	ŝ	10	17	-0	Ā	Ā		6	2	140	Roh	ňó	Caturday
د	9	0	4	4	2	. *			1	2	2		47				2	10	11					2		149	ren	00	Sacuruay
- 4	0	2	2	4	6	14	- 7	14	22	7	6	11	1	11	8	16	- 5	14	6	6	20	12	15	5	LO	224	Feb	09	Sunday
4	1	4	8	4	6	0	15	9	12	12	8	18	15	21	11	17	18	7	11	3	8	7	7	17	17	255	Feb	10	Monday
;	5	12	17	Ê	õ	Ĕ	- <u>~</u>	14	16	11	15	21	22	17	11	10	11	É		14	~	12	5	21	52	200	Fob	11	Twoodow
- 4	2	12	11	5	2	. 0		14	TO	11	12	21	25	11	11	12	11			14		12	2	21	63	233	reb	11	Tuesuay
4	3	1	6	4	2	11	- 9	- 5	- 5	- 8	- 9	6	17	22	6	15	- 8	18	17	12	17	22	4	16	13	253	Feb	12	Wednesday
4	4	9	16	13	9	10	7	14	11	18	13	11	14	16	9	16	4	13	5	8	7	8	8	11	13	263	Feb	13	Thursday
÷	÷	Ĩ.	10	-0	12		10	15		22	10	12	20	21	าล์	27	÷	17	11	11	10	17	12	10	20	220	Pob	1.4	Emidou
4	2	- 4	TO	0	14	. 0	10	12	2	23	2	14	20	41	44	41	2	11	11	11	10	11	72	10	60	330	rep	14	rillday
- 4	6	9	12	5	6	15	- 7	8	- 7	13	- 5	15	23	24	24	11	9	12	6	15	- 7	- 7	3	11	9	263	Feb	15	Saturday
4	7	3	4	10	9	8	6	6	2	9	12	7	7	13	14	17	6	20	11	7	6	30	14	13	9	243	Feb	16	Sunday
;	6	1 4	÷	Ā	é	ō	20	ň	õ	11	24	20	16	26	10	24	14	ĩž	1.4	14	õ	10	11	- 6	วด์	221	Fob	17	Monday
	0	14	_		0		20	2	0	11	44	20	10	20	10	27	14	12	14	14		10	11		20	221	reb	11	Honday
4	9	- 5	6	9	1	1	11	- 5	4	8	11	33	13	28	23	20	- 6	17	16	9	19	15	22	36	34	358	Feb	18	Tuesday
- 5	0	19	31	24	16	21	5	23	12	14	17	18	25	29	27	16	14	5	7	11	13	12	12	17	17	405	Feb	19	Wednesday
5	ĭ	- 7	15	ĩň	Ē	Ē	12	25	10	10	10	1.0	21	20	21	24	17	14	ó	14	15	11	22	14	12	270	Poh	20	Thursday
	Ŧ		12	10			12	25	10	10	19	10	21	20	21	47	11	14	2	14	10	11	44	14 .		570	reD	20	Indi Suay
- 5	2	4	- 7	· 8	15	6	12	18	8	18	22	27	37	30	35	14	12	23	9	11	13	- 9	6	34	20	398	Feb	21	Friday
- 5	3	2	5	11	5	13	5	5	5	4	7	14	25	25	10	12	- 5	17	15	4	10	6	15	11	14	245	Feb	22	Saturday
5	Ā		2		11	- 6	19	10	Ř	Ā	ż	2	- 7	6	Ā	11	Ā	- 7	6	ŝ	- 7	10			5	170	Feb	22	Sunday
2	1	<u> </u>	2		소승		10	тö	2		~	2										13				1/3	rep	23	Sunday
5.	5	1	5	4	8	- 6	1	3	1	0	9	5	9	10	1	13	4	11	9	17	16	1	13	12	10	199	Feb	24	Monday
<u> </u>	6	13	6	4	8	3	7	9	13	13	8	15	27	21	14	12	5	16	5	14	5	8	11	19	13	269	Feb	25	Tuesday
- 5	7	- 0	Â	Ř	13	8	7	13	13	12	12	13	25	29	24	20	10	7	12	16	11	8	12	5	9	304	Feb	26	Wednesday
2	2		š	š	12	2		12	12	10	25	20	10	~ .	11	10	1.1	- 1	12	10	^÷	1	10	~~~.	ú,	201	TOD	20	Thursday
. 2	8	4	2	8	د		9	12		6	25	20	18	24	ŤŤ	18	TT	4	10	21	2	14	ΤŤ	υ.	ĽЮ	301	rep	21	Thursday
5	9	12	- 5	13	6	3	6	8	6	20	19	17	32	40	24	26	3	15	11	7	7	2	9	8.	12	311	Feb	28	Friday
6	۵	5	4	2	9	12	5	7	19	1	13	16	13	15	4	7	8	5	7	4	9	23	16	6	14	224	Feb	29	Saturday
ž	ž	័	-	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	- 1	10	~	É	1 E	-	10	10	- 7	16	Ê	11	ŏ		5		10	10		26	- ô	200	Mam	õ.	Cundar
0	T	4		9		0	0	2	15	. 4	- +	10		10		11		. 4		9	12	10		20	. ?	200	mar.	01	Sunday
6	2	2	3	- 5	6	14	27	12	9	10	21	4	27	29	33	27	14	18	18	4	23	15	1	11	22	355	Mar	02	Monday
6	7	5	20	10	11	14	10	16	20	15	22	19	22	17	26	12	16	4	8	14	17	7	5	17	27	354	Mar	03	Tuesday
ž		ž	Ē		10		- ~	11	21	10	15	- 6	33	20	21	16	17		Ĕ		16	ċ	Ā	Ξċ.	i o	205	Mam	0.4	Wedneedaw
0	4	5	. 0	4	10	2		11	21	13	10		34	47	21	10	11	. 4		4	10		- 1	2		205	mar	04	wednesday
6.	5	4	13	9	24	- 7	12	11	23	10	17	17	15	25	24	27	12	11	10	- 8	6	10	8	9	20	332	Mar	05	Thursday
6	6	9	6	5	13	8	7	16	20	19	7	20	25	35	23	11	24	11	15	15	- 3	13	9	16	13	343	Mar	06	Friday
Č.	ž	Ś	Ĕ	12	1	14	È	Ťõ	Ă	- 6	11	10	1.9		6	10	~;	- 2	- õ	- 0		-0	5	14	19	200	Mar	07	Saturday
0	<u>í</u>	2	<u>ر</u>	12	. <u>+</u>	14	v	0	7			- 2	то			10		10		3		3		<b>T.I.</b>	10	203	mar	01	Sacuruay
6	8	4	4	1	4	- 5	8	8	3	10	4	1	9	- 5	17	12	17	10	22	8	19	9	10	4	LÜ	210	Mar	80	Sunday
6	9	3	3	3	5	7	9	14	8	11	17	11	11	13	18	12	12	9	12	4	3	6	3	8	22	224	Mar	09	Monday
	ñ	ĩ	1	5	Ē	ė	Ā	õ	ā	12	22	21	16	20	10	16		Ā	10	Ē	7	Ē	6	7	ā	242	Mar	10	Tuesday
	v	<u>+</u>	1		0	2	0	. 7		14	24	41	10	20	10	10		7	10	2		2	0		2	444	nar	10	Lucsuay
7.	1	- 7	7	10	9	- 2	5	13	14	18	14	10	18	30	12	12	16	4	13	- 5	17	- 5	9	17 :	LZ.	283	Mar	11	wednesday
7	2	6	3	3	4	13	14	8	8	21	27	17	21	34	21	13	20	27	10	7	13	11	12	6	22	341	Mar	12	Thursdav
	2	20	0	6	10	~~	6	12	1 4	20	ĩả	36	22	29	22	21	12	22	20	10	16	- 0	12	24	5	407	Mar	12	Friday
	2	20	7	0	TO			10	7.4	20	11	50	44	20	22	41	16	22	23	TO	10	0	14	47	5	107	riar	13	TTTUAY
7	4	- 7	9	6	13	11	10	13	9	8	10	10	11	21	- 7	13	14	12	12	2	12	9	9	12 0	20	281	Mar	14	Saturday
7	5	4	б	7	3	8	2	5	16	13	4	15	9	11	12	15	14	28	10	21	14	12	22	2	1	264	Mar	15	Sunday
-7	6	ć	Ă	10	13	้ว	10	12	11	22	19	17	12	14	30	16	15	16	10		14		12	a i	27	322	Mar	16	Monday

· · · · \*

Table 3.4.2 (Page 3 of 4)

 $(1,N^{1}) \to (1,1)$ 

3**4** 

# May 1992

•.•

.

ARC .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

 

 16
 8
 22
 13
 26
 21
 27
 22
 36
 17
 19
 31
 17
 15
 19
 11
 20
 5
 17
 15
 17
 13
 16
 436
 Mar
 17
 Tuesday
 9
 1
 13
 6
 9
 10
 9
 13
 24
 17
 19
 31
 34
 18
 18
 9
 7
 12
 2
 6
 9
 10
 13
 17
 316
 Mar
 18
 Wednesday
 0
 11
 12
 16
 9
 10
 17
 9
 23
 27
 28
 25
 53
 15
 24
 47
 12
 16
 11
 20
 16
 7
 10
 19
 437
 Mar
 19
 Thursday
 3
 5
 12
 27
 11
 9
 19
 16
 29
 18
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 13
 17
 16
 11
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 77 78 79 80 

 10
 15
 5
 11
 19
 13
 10
 10
 23
 16
 14
 13
 10
 12
 10
 16
 16

 10
 15
 5
 11
 13
 10
 10
 9
 23
 6
 14
 3
 16
 14
 13
 10
 12
 10
 8
 14
 14
 14
 10
 12
 10
 8
 14
 10
 12
 10
 8
 14
 10
 12
 10
 8
 14
 14
 16
 10
 12
 10
 8
 10
 12
 10
 8
 10
 12
 10
 8
 10
 12
 10
 8
 10
 12
 10
 8
 10
 12
 10
 8
 10
 12
 10
 8
 10
 12
 10
 11
 10
 17
 15
 17
 17
 20
 15
 14
 14
 14
 13
 10
 15
 14
 14
 14
 12
 12
 12
 15
 12
 15
 13
 12
 81 294 Mar 21 Saturday 82 304 Mar 22 Sunday 83 285 Mar 23 Monday 84 443 Mar 24 Tuesday 85 577 Mar 25 Wednesday 86 469 Mar 26 Thursday 87 12 18 2 6 8 6 15 8 18 33 29 26 36 28 29 23 16 9 4 14 15 13 19 12 399 Mar 27 Friday 
 7
 4
 11
 11
 7
 10
 20
 6
 13
 15
 16
 21
 15
 10
 6
 8
 10
 4
 7
 7
 11
 5
 19
 10
 9
 7
 1
 15
 19
 10
 10
 6
 8
 10
 4
 7
 7
 11
 5
 19
 10
 9
 7
 1
 15
 9
 7
 13
 9
 18
 5
 8
 15
 32
 12
 13
 19
 8
 10
 12
 15
 21
 13
 4

 10
 9
 7
 1
 15
 9
 7
 13
 9
 18
 5
 8
 15
 32
 12
 13
 19
 8
 10
 12
 15
 21
 13
 4
 88 253 Mar 28 Saturday 89 285 Mar 29 Sunday 90 13 4 6 8 9 23 17 18 7 24 18 21 29 9 10 18 8 16 8 20 12 28 30 4 360 Mar 30 Monday 91 5 15 8 8 4 9 13 24 19 37 13 31 31 25 5 23 18 21 26 13 17 22 13 10 410 Mar 31 Tuesday ARC 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2421 2342 2495 2570 3370 3947 4274 3190 2906 3101 2795 4029 Sum 2489 2126 2434 3131 2951 3727 4476 4162 2865 2751 2786 3194 74532 Total sum 183 14 13 12 13 13 14 17 14 16 18 20 22 24 23 23 17 16 16 15 17 15 15 17 22 407 Total average 128 15 14 12 14 13 14 19 15 18 20 22 23 26 25 23 17 17 16 14 15 13 14 17 22 420 Average workdays 55 10 11 11 11 13 12 13 12 12 15 17 18 20 18 21 17 13 15 17 21 19 18 18 21 373 Average weekends

**Table 3.4.2.** Daily and hourly distribution of ARCESS detections. For each day is shown number of detections within each hour of the day and number of detections for that day. The end statistics give total number of detections distributed for each hour and the total sum of detections during the period. The averages show number of processed days, hourly distribution and average per processed day.

FIN .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

									~ ~	~ -		~ ~	~ •	-								~ *	
2/4	4 2 6 14	1	99	4	8 1	1 2/	11	19	32	25	10	20	29	8	12	40	4	12	14	331	OGE	0T	Tuesday
275	29 8 68 94	56 1	2 12	11	15 1	3 11	13	15	23	24	15	18	- 5	12	32	6	16	30	32	570	Oct	02	Wednesday
276	44 39 13 55	7	59	18	7 1	8 7	12	30	53	7	6	2	1	6	6	6	9	4	6	370	Oct	03	Thursday
277	8 6 5 6	10	<b>Å</b> 3	- 4	12 1	0 15	14	1.8	17	18	17	21	5	12	13	à	24	8	7	270	Oct	04	Friday
270	17 18 0 11	14	7 10	-	1.0 1	0 00	14	10	52	20	1ć	10	Ē	36		ź	~ ÷	ĕ	É	201	0	ŇĒ	Caturday
270	1/ 12 9 11	14	/ 19	. 0	14	9 20	14	13	23	20	<u> </u>	10	2	20	4		2	2	0	301	OCL	20	Sacurday
279	6 13 12 2	3	8 32	11	23	7 9	4	1	6	4	5	2	2	6	3	6	6	- 3	8	191	Oct	00	Sunday
280	921129	8	6 12	8	14 1	5 19	14	21	16	8	20	21	6	11	8	6	11	- 5	11	291	Oct	07	Monday
281	4 5 10 8	71	4 14	11	61	1 14	14	23	26	10	5	17	13	10	2	5	1	7	5	242	Oct	80	Tuesday
282	5 6 9 10	7	3 0	6	8 1	1 14	18	14	14	10	23	4	8	4	5	3	4	13	13	212	Oct	09	Wednesday
283	4 4 10 7	Ŕ	6 0	ĩ	1 1	4 10	20	16	16	20	11	3	Ă	6	5	8	10	- Q	- Q	204	Oct	10	Thursday
203	11 12 0 10	ň	4 5	~	6 1	6 6	1.4	11	57	10		5		Ĕ	ž	š	10	ś	÷.	201	Oct	11	Emidou
204	11 12 0 10		4 2	0	2 1	0 0	14	11	41	10	2		v v	2	2	2	0	2	2	200	000	11	rriuay
285	5 2 3 3	/ 1	3 10	3	. 0	9 2	6	د	0	11	4	12	2	4	0	. /	. 0	8	3	133	OCT	12	Saturday
286	10 10 5 37	6	3 7	- 7	42 2	15 18	37	8	36	33	14	53	31	24	16	16	12	- 8	20	478	Oct	13	Sunday
287	10 17 8 5	12	91	9	14 ]	5 35	19	25	24	21	19	22	34	20	27	22	14	19	30	431	Oct	14	Monday
288	40 40 20 42	23 1	3 18	9	62	3 17	21	36	30	18	4	18	5	24	15	11	7	8	12	460	Oct	15	Tuesday
289	9797	7	5 9	5	11	7 28	42	30	37	8	6	8	6	7	5	8	4	7	7	279	Oct	16	Wednesday
290	14 22 20 15	11 1	A 11	ž	- ī - 2	0 34	25	21	31	27	12	10	24	Ś	17	11	â	16	Å	380	Oct	17	Thursday
201	14 12 20 13	11 1	2 10	10	11 1	2 16	10	24	20	22	15	10	~	ć		11	é	17		201	Oct	10	Enddow
231	14 13 20 3	<u> </u>	3 10	тç		2 IJ	10	24	30	23	15	-	2	1		11		4	2	271	000	10	riiuay
292	3 6 8 4	2 I	.3 4	2 2	/ 1	0 8	4	0	- 3	2		0	_ !	1	2	1	12		2	139	UCL	19	Saturday
293	11 18 12 14	14 1	2 14	9	12 1	4 12	10	- 5	0	8	0	- 9	4	9	6	3	10	2	9	217	Oct	20	Sunday
294	3 3 7 11	6	02	3	3	69	13	21	24	10	10	1	1	8	7	2	2	- 9	7	168	Oct	21	Monday
295	3 6 1 2	5	3 2	1	5	3 15	14	14	14	4	4	3	1	0	5	1	2	3	1	112	Oct	22	Tuesdav
296	4 2 4 9	10	5 4	6	13	3 0	n i	0	0	ō	Ō	Ō	ō	Ó	õ	ō	ō	Ō	ō	60	Oct	23	Wednesday
207		ñ	ñ .	ň	10	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	Ň	Oct	24	Thursday
237	0 0 0 0	~	<u> </u>	Ň	Ň	2 0	Š	Š	Ň	Š		Š	Ň	ž	Š	Ň	Ň	Ň	ž	Š	000	21	Thursday
298	0 0 0 0	0	0 0	U	0	0 0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	U	0	OCE	20	rriday
299	0 0 0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Oct	26	Saturday
300	0 0 0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Oct	27	Sunday
301	0 0 0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Oct	28	Monday
302	0 0 0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Oct	29	Tuesday
303	0 0 0 0	õ	ñň	ň	ō	ñ ñ	ň	ň	ō	ň	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	Oct	30	Wednesday
304		ň	ňň	ň	ň	ň	Ē	10	ຳ້	10	ĭ	ž	ž	ž	ž	š	Ä	Ĕ	11	102	Oct	31	Thursday
205	1 4 1 10	ĩ		ň	1 1	1 14	16	10	11	ΤĚ		16	20	~~	10	10		2	14	103	New	21	Deiden
305	1 4 1 10		4 7	~ 4	1 1	1 14	12	10	11	2	20	15	20	23	10	10			14	224	NOV	01	rriday
306	15 8 16 14	12.5	10 10	28	20 2	3 23	30	35	39	21	35	44	29	30	21	19	11	10	12	5/6	NOV	02	Saturday
307	15 14 18 14	8	7 11	20	25 1	7 31	25	15	- 7	- 7	6	9	12	17	25	11	12	18	16	360	Nov	03	Sunday
308	13 13 11 28	21 1	7 14	21	12	99	16	21	11	12	6	- 5	4	- 5	12	- 7	15	16	19	317	Nov	04	Monday
309	17 12 15 22	8	7 16	14	7 1	3 12	11	19	11	7	11	8	8	15	3	3	8	8	2	257	Nov	05	Tuesday
310	9 6 5 10	5	54	8	10 1	1 15	16	20	14	2	11	5	14	5	5	2	8	9	8	207	Nov	06	Wednesday
311	4 6 7 5	5	7 9	5	6 1	6 11	18	16	17	10	-8	7	10	7	10	8	5	7	8	212	Nov	07	Thursday
212		6 1	n é	10	7	9 12	15	Ĩŭ	îi	10	Ř	Ġ	10	à	10	š		Ġ	Ă	105	Nov	0.8	Friday
212	2 2 2 2 2	~ ~ I	4 21	11	2	2 10		11		20		2	20	č	10	1		2	ā	124	Nor	~~~	Caturday
212	5022		4 41	ŤŤ		5 0		11		S S		2	2	2	4	-	2	4	2	124	NOV	10	Sacuruay
314	5 3 2 10	1	1 2	2	14 1	5 5	. 4	0	8		3	1	8	د ا		_ !	8	0	3	131	NOV	10	Sunday
315	76411	9	33	2	5	7 7	10	15	19	12	8	11	9	6	- 7	8	1	14	7	191	Nov	11	Monday
316	16 14 12 13	13	43	2	4 1	3 10	16	17	19	15	4	5	17	13	3	- 8	7	5	10	243	Nov	12	Tuesday
317	11 2 5 3	7	2 1	2	71	4 16	24	40	42	40	27	27	22	18	12	10	14	11	6	363	Nov	13	Wednesday
318	10 2 18 28	9	2 4	1	5 1	5 6	8	17	22	2	4	6	3	4	2	5	5	6	10	194	Nov	14	Thursday
319	4 2 6 7	ź	า ว่	2	2	9 12	19	26	14	6	1	Ă	ŝ	ĥ	6	Ă	5	ĩ	16	161	Nov	15	Friday
320	23 26 16 10	7	1 J	5	Ā	ົງ ີ ຄ	10	23	12	5	,	- 7	ĩ	2	5	Å	1	â	17	192	Nor	16	Saturday
320	61 01 02 C2	4	7 4	12	4	2 0	- TO		14	4	2	10	*	10	11	12	4	1	2	103	NOV	17	Sacuruay
321	1 1 2 /	1	3 9	ΤŽ		3 8	. 9	11	13	.0	د ا	10		TO	TT.	12		1	. 0	181	NOV	11	Sunday
322	3 8 3 16	2	65	2	10 1	1 11	12	13	15	12	6	11	17	21	19	20	17	16	17	273	Nov	18	Monday
323	18 17 17 15	15	63	2	4	97	10	10	22	13	- 5	4	4	1	1	2	8	15	4	212	Nov	19	Tuesday
324	4 10 8 13	8	2 0	2	41	3 15	24	13	17	4	3	3	1	4	4	3	4	7	8	174	Nov	20	Wednesday
325	7649	6	5 0	0	5	6 14	7	18	20	14	3	3	1	0	5	6	10	33	46	228	Nov	21	Thursdav
326	40 46 49 33	13	8 6	11	12 1	8 22	25	12	13	7	11	16	ā	7	8	11	3	3	5	389	Nov	22	Friday
327	3 6 18 5	6	7 4	17	~õ +	4 12	ĩa	- F	1	i	1	÷ 5	10	24	21	25	17	Ř	12	216	Nov	22	Saturday
220	2 0 10 1	ž	1 1	6	Ň	2 4	ç	2	2	10	÷	2	12	22	10	6	10	17	20	150	Nor	21	Sunday
320	0 1 C U	د	1 1	0	0	4 4	0	. 4	13	10	Š	4	2	43	10	0	13	11	20	120	NOA	44 0E	Sunday
329	10 14 20 17	14	/ 4	٢	4	1 10	73	14	13	τU	Ð	o	- 1	2	τu	د	5	0	9	636	VOV	20	monday

.

Table 3.4.3 (Page 1 of 4)

. . .

 $\sim 1$ 

May 1992

May 1992

FIN .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

-																												
						~	-		~	•	~	-	-	-			~			-	-		-			~~	-	
330	6 9	2	10	11	4	3	3	4	- 1	2		2	9	ى	14	4	8	14	8	2	2	Ð	2	120	NOV	20	Tuesday	
331	12 14	14	19	9	7	6	12	2	7	6	9	23	17	12	2	0	1	3	2	- 5	2	- 7	9	200	Nov	27	Wednesday	
332	98	5 6	12	1	3	3	0	16	11	14	21	29	19	6	3	5	9	1	5	9	5	11	7	213	Nov	28	Thursday	
333	12 10	15		11	ā	7	3	_ A	14	20	20	23	22	10	Ā	6	7	10	Ă	13	10	11	7	270	Nov	29	Friday	
222		1.		11	÷.	-	2		11	20	23	10		10	-	ž		13			- 2			100	N	20	Caturdan	
334	1 3	1	11	14	3	د	2	3	12	3		10		2		4			3	- 0	4	1	0	120	NOV	30	Saturday	
335	01	. 0	2	3	1	4	- 4	3	- 5	6	0	0	- 4	8	8	- 7	16	23	18	20	11	21	22	187	Dec	01	Sunday	
336	23 24	17	31	17	12	3	5	10	14	16	19	29	17	9	16	8	12	3	5	2	8	4	3	307	Dec	02	Monday	
337	7 15	5 7	5	5	4	3	11	10	8	19	17	19	14	4	6	11	5	14	3	9	3	4	6	209	Dec	03	Tuesday	
229	10 10	1 1 2	16	ŏ	î	ň		ŤĚ	12	11	12	26	10	â	11	îŝ.	21	18	~ ~	วก์	25	24	22	317	Dec	04	Wedneeday	
330	10 10	10	10	~~~	12	ž			10	12	12	20	12		11	15	41	10	16	20	25	6 T	25	200	Dec	07 07	Thursday	
339	23 20	0 10	12	22	ТĞ				10	13	13	22	23	0	0	Ť	1	12	ΤŬ	14	- 4	U.		200	Dec	05	Inursoay	
340	4 8	3 4	13	6	6	1	3	8	6	26	17	20	21	- 5	4	1	7	5	6	8	- 7	2	11	199	Dec	06	Friday	
341	11 16	5 12	16	15	17	8	8	11	5	3	14	28	30	16	1	8	8	4	3	4	5	3	2	248	Dec	07	Saturday	
342	1 12	2 15	15	2	8	6	5	15	6	9	3	12	4	3	2	3	8	8	10	5	10	9	9	180	Dec	08	Sunday	
343	3 14	4	12	17	6	4	1	3	19	20	17	24	23	24	7	13	12	15	21	13	11	26	35	344	Dec	09	Monday	
244	20 22	26	17	10	2	10	5	ő	12	15	10	24	12	5	Å	12	16	15	Å	10	10	ŝ	10	272	Dec	ĩń	Tuneday	
244	20 33	20	11	19	2	10	4	2	12	12	17	24	14	2	7	2	07	2			ĉ	0	10	212	Dec	11	Tuesuay	
340	5 9	2	4		1	1	1		10	13	17	21	13	2	3	0		8	12	11	0	0	90	20/	Dec	11	weanesday	
346	77 71	187	1591	[12]	111	80	68	27	29	47	42	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	1055	Dec	12	Thursday	
347	0 0	) ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Dec	13	Friday	
348	0 0	) ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Dec	14	Saturday	
349	ñ ñ	i õ	ň	ō	õ	Õ	ŏ	ñ	ō	ŏ	õ	ō	ō	ň	Ō	ŏ	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ō	ō	Õ	ň	Dec	15	Sunday	
250	~ ~	Š	×	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	ň	ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	š	ŏ	Ň	š	Ň	Ň	ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Dee	16	Manday	
330	0 0			0	v.		0	0		<u>v</u>	, v	0	0		ÿ	<u>v</u>	Ŭ,			v v	v v				Dec	10	Monday	
351	0 0	0	0	_0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	5	2	1	2	2	3	6	11	47	Dec	17	Tuesday	
352	10 10	) 21	49	70	47	22	30	30	80	42	20	26	- 8	11	20	12	6	- 5	- 5	- 7	6	9	8	554	Dec	18	Wednesday	
353	9 11	. 9	9	9	4	29	46	15	75	36	30	30	24	16	5	4	1	2	8	3	17	3	7	402	Dec	19	Thursday	
354	16 18	22	55	41	18	13	13	9	18	28	24	24	28	9	19	8	14	31	27	35	47	47	18	582	Dec	20	Friday	
355	10 14	20	22	31	32	42	27	22	32	42	44	30	29	27	14	7	10	18	23	14	Å	ŝ	Ĩž	523	Dec	21	Saturday	
333	20 17	20	10	21	52	34	26		27	26	17	12	22	41	11	= 2	22	50	£2	50	24	20	41	547	Dec	22	Sunday	
330			14	. 4	2		2	2	31	20	11	45	34	41	44	24	31	50	22	22	24	20	41	047	Dec	44	Sunday	
357	20 18	5 19	25	12	6	12	0		18	18	14	10	18	2	.9	. 9	1	4	- 3	8	د ا	. 9	2	268	Dec	23	Monday	
358	0 1	. 5	10	10	5	4	4	6	15	23	11	25	27	24	27	26	27	8	3	7	15	18	18	319	Dec	24	Tuesday	
359	25 40	) 25	22	33	5	2	2	2	4	7	6	23	11	8	1	- 5	10	19	32	38	37	47	31	435	Dec	25	Wednesday	Frste
360	37 27	7	6	5	4	2	5	6	1	15	13	19	10	9	3	6	1	2	8	8	5	24	12	235	Dec	26	Thursday	Andre
261	A 11	à	ž	12	Â	. 5	ĩ	10	11	25	10	20	20	6	5	2	Ā	2	ī	ă	6	~ 2		190	Dec	27	Friday	
262	2 1		11	10	-		-	12	17	21	10	12	16		5	2	-		-	2	2	ŝ	5	170	Dec	20	Cotundou	
302	30	2 4	11	Ţ	2	2	T	13	11	21	12	12	15	- 4	. 2	. 1					2	. 4		112	Dec	20	Sacuruay	
363	2 3	4	2	4	5	1	2	0	10	9	10	8	10	22	15	12	23	42	40	31	56	42	48	401	Dec	29	Sunday	
364	55 38	1 23	32	39	28	13	10	22	21	24	22	29	37	34	48	36	49	37	54	43	55	58	53	860	Dec	30	Monday	
365	35 11	. 12	5	12	17	11	10	17	8	15	13	16	15	11	13	11	7	8	9	10	11	12	6	295	Dec	31	Tuesday	
1	6 9	10	17	14	10	5	7	14	6	18	2	4	9	10	6	13	20	42	30	32	46	62	56	448	Jan	01	Wednesday	Frste
5	58 51	61	61	ŝò	48	43	52	22	48	20	36	21	10	ĩů	14	13	22	18	24	Ă	6	7	6	734	Jan	02	Thursday	11000
	10 11		1	20	10	25	14	55	11	27	17	25	10	ć	17	13	25	10	47	17	26	- 6	12	200	Jan	02	Indi Sudy	
3	4 IU				19	20	11	2	11	44	11	23	10		p	- 1	5	4		11	20	30	12	200	Jan	0.5	Friday	
4	8 4	3	13	10	9	10	2	1	14	. 9	8	_0	12	10	2	3	8	4	10	49	42	49	39	328	Jan	04	Saturday	
5	52 49	60	46	41	43	41	10	15	22	36	46	50	11	6	20	16	15	6	9	3	8	3	2	610	Jan	05	Sunday	
6	6 7	4	2	0	9	3	2	6	8	12	8	12	3	11	11	13	11	8	17	30	34	33	28	278	Jan	06	Monday	
7	14 15	5 23	14	8	5	12	9	11	11	14	11	11	14	7	3	5	9	6	3	0	2	6	4	217	Jan	07	Tuesday	
à	16 12	1 1	Ē	ž	5	~ ~	Ā	-5	ā	Ē	14	24	16	11	ě	5	Ē	Ă	š	ž	õ	ž	5	169	Jan	08	Wedneeday	
0	10 13		0	2	2	4		4	10	~~~	17	10	10	11	2	4	2	-	10	2	~	5	é	103	Jan	20	weunesday	
9	9 2	5 I	9	. 4	2	2	Ţ	. 4	10	44	41	10	23	12	د	2	8		12	2		្លា		205	Jan	09	Thursday	
10	4 6	5 12	- 7	42	31	2	8	11	15	22	27	25	21	14	34	32	13	9	1	4	87	12	21	460	Jan	10	Friday	
11	21 10	) 6	8	5	4	6	6	- 4	2	6	3	7	- 4	6	7	- 5	1	1	21	18	31	32	34	248	Jan	11	Saturday	
12	21 14	17	8	19	13	17	29	18	26	18	45	52	44	56	49	47	44	46	31	32	27	17	18	708	Jan	12	Sunday	
17	19 20	116	10	ā	Ř	ā	6	7	16	12	15	39	19	42	20	22	24	32	25	38	38	34	16	497	Jan	13	Monday	
14	12 4	- E	11	é	ž	ś	ž	22	25	12	16	26	12	10	Ĩč	5	ี้ ว่	~ วั	20	14	° Å	Ĩ,	12	282	Jan	14	Tueeday	
14	73 0		10	12		5	16	33	12	10	10	20	7.2	20			20	2	47	17	20	16	26	430	Jail	12	Madagade	
12	0 0	4	TO	13	23	8	12	10	13	10	23	20	22	20	- !	4	20	.4	4/	42	30	10	30	432	Jan	12	weanesaay	
16	54 48	37	12	2	7	4	2	2	11	12	24	33	14	11	4	1	11	13	11	14	1	9	13	342	Jan	10	Thursday	
17	69	6	7	4	6	13	5	4	17	17	41	25	25	20	17	9	10	13	16	10	15	12	12	319	Jan	17	Friday	
18	12 8	5 7	10	5	19	6	8	7	4	6	6	14	5	2	6	5	7	3	3	12	4	3	6	168	Jan	18	Saturday	
19	30 39	22	23	39	37	42	36	32	24	23	21	17	17	12	27	32	33	35	26	35	32	27	29	690	Jan	19	Sunday	
20	22 15	7	14	ĩĩ	5	4	7	4	8	11	19	32	12	14	7	18	8	4	~č	6	2	3	6	247	Jan	20	Monday	
<b></b>	~ ~ ~ ~ ~			<u> </u>	_			-	~			~ ~	~~~~	_		<u> </u>	~		~					~ ~ /	~ ~ ~ ~			

۰.

Table 3.4.3 (Page 2 of 4)

, **é** 

FIN .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

21	8 5 5 11 5	4 2	1 4 1	2 13 1	0 16 16	5 16	8	55	7	4	4	4	3	1	169	Jan	21	Tuesday
22	6 20 16 10 2	26	6 12 1	2 36 3	5 50 32	2 26	12	6 6	8	14	4	8	6	5	341	Jan	22	Wednesday
23	50125	19	4 11 1	2 11 2	1 24 17	75	10	4 9	11	7	0	17	5	4	198	Jan	23	Thursday
24	7 7 11 6 13	14 5	5 10 1	5 21 3	1 23 23	3 7	21	99	4	14	10	5	9	9	282	Jan	24	Friday
25	3 5 5 10 9	4 13	11 5 1	0 10 1	) 914	1	3	55	18	10	4	21	36	65	286	Jan	25	Saturday
26	54 94 68 18 59	25 6	17	55	3 4 3	3 1	5	9 4	8	9	7	12	12	11	430	Jan	26	Sunday
27	6 10 <u>1</u> 0 8 9	64	271	2 8 2	<b>i</b> 20 13	L 10	2	95	- 5	9	7	3	6	5	198	Jan	27	Monday
28	56759	74	10 18 1	9 23 4	L 29 46	5 33	39 2	8 33	39	45	34	28	25	28	561	Jan	28	Tuesday
29	17 26 20 23 12	12 10	14 22 3	23 3	5 44 39	9 15	16 2	9 17	15	14	14	18	22	18	506	Jan	29	Wednesday
30	22 33 30 36 42	30 6	691	3 17 1	1 26 28	3 12	21	.3 24	18	21	36	18	30	14	500	Jan	30	Thursday
31	5 9 21 24 24	29 25	15 13 2	7 28 2	32 14	16	21	.0 21	7	1	5	1	з	2	344	Jan	31	Friday
32	43244	64	061	24	5 5 1	L 2	3	4 2	3	9	7	2	1	6	99	Feb	01	Saturday
33	10 31 11 22 11	15 16	23 25 1	3 13 1	5 18 18	3 30	13 1	3 11	6	11	14	10	30	31	411	Feb	02	Sunday
34	7 8 8 7 4	9 10	20 14 3	2 51 5	2 28 30	5 28	27 3	6 18	7	9	10	9	5	4	439	Feb	03	Monday
35	4 7 9 3 3	4 7	5 9 1	7 12 3	1 33 32	2 14	4	4 4	1	_4	3	4	8	1	226	Feb	04	Tuesday
36	58692	8 13	6 10 2	2 18 2	1 26 2	3 16	6	4 24	79	59	29	36	8	18	459	reb	05	weanesday
37	11 / 9 14 9	12 2	4 8 1	5 13 1	2 23 20	10	2	0 0	2	Š	11	4	4	و	211	rep	00	Thursday
38	5 / 19 11 3	2 5	5 8 1	3 22	30	10	2	2 2	12	10	41	, 9	22	17	228	rep	07	Friday
39	0 1/ 10 13 19	10 14	13 27 1	5 10 2	+ 14 1. > 0 1	20	37 3	4 22	10	13	1	12	23	12	505	Feb	00	Saturday
40	35 23 40 30 50	20 29	23 42 4	J 30 1.	3 9 I. 1 1 2 14	10	5/3	E 1	14	14	1	9	14	2	150	Feb	10	Sunday
41	2 4 1 2 6 1 9	11 6	1 / 1	2 11 2. 7 25 21	E 17 10	11	11	2 2	- 1	5	4	2	5	7	220	Feb	11	Puladay
42	2 4 13 0 10	1 0	5 16	25 2.	0 1/ 21		<u><u></u></u>	4 3	5	1	2	5	2	27	220	Feb	12	Wodneeday
45	77636	5 4	5 11 1	1 15 2	1 20 20	1 11	14	ч / с в	5	4	1	2	3	27	205	Feb	12	Thursday
44	6 2 4 5 4	0 1	2 12 2	) 13 Z.	20 20 20	1 12	- <del>4</del>	3 4	័	2	5	2	2	2	210	Feb	14	Friday
45	3 16 2 3 10	10 11	16 5 1	1 12 1	7 12	2 1	ă	5 7	Ř	â	19	12	19	17	234	Feb	15	Saturday
47	12 2 3 7 8	5 4	4 8 1	5 5	2 7 6	5 27	ź	ă ģ	15	ž	12	- <b>ĩ</b>	- 8	<b>1</b>	175	Feb	16	Sunday
48	7 17 41 16 5	6 2	2 3 1	5 9 2	5 15 13	115	10	5 3	- 5	11	- 8	6	4	34	267	Feb	17	Monday
49	12 3 0 8 2	ĩõ	2 10	3 28 1	29 21	16	ĨŠ.	ĩ 9	1	29	11	Ř	11	6	236	Feb	18	Tuesday
50	16 32 18 24 31	19 17	20 12 2	7 16 2	5 55 18	3 7	13	5 2	- 7	7	10	2	10	6	399	Feb	19	Wednesday
51	6 16 12 17 19	5 1	2 12 2	0 18 1	14 12	2 13	8 1	1 8	ġ	12	17	22	37	30	329	Feb	20	Thursday
52	34 38 36 35 34	20 13	11 16 2	22 3	5 31 (	5 5	4	4 5	7	7	6	4	9	4	407	Feb	21	Friday
53	3 6 5 9 6	92	3 4	8 4 1	) 6 4	1 2	8	3 16	4	4	0	2	0	2	120	Feb	22	Saturday
54	6 3 2 3 4	4 2	2 2	L 4 1	) 6 (	) 3	4	2 3	9	8	15	7	12	4	116	Feb	23	Sunday
55	10 10 9 12 7	4 2	0 1 1	3 16 13	2 15 13	3 15	6	2 6	6	9	9	9	4	7	197	Feb	24	Monday
56	14 9 9 3 1	3 0	0 5 1	3 13	9 10 22	29	12 1	.4 6	3	8	6	6	17	21	213	Feb	25	Tuesday
57	30 12 14 17 4	2 3	55	9 10 2	22 1	5 10	81	.4 4	6	8	12	7	7	13	257	Feb	26	Wednesday
58	6 8 11 2 8	64	183	0 15 2	4 31 17	7 10	1	96	6	8	10	8	9	7	245	Feb	27	Thursday
59	12 11 2 7 7	12 3	3 12 2	17 2	3 39 24	14	2	53	6	3	3	2	1	0	240	Feb	28	Friday
60	1 2 2 6 3	54	53	7 4 1	7 8 1 1	L 6	4	97	1	5	10	1	6	6	133	Feb	29	Saturday
61	8 7 8 13 7	55	51	¥ 5 '	511	ι 5	2	0 5	4	8	9	8	6	5	127	Mar	01	Sunday
62	411769	1 2	0 0 1	7 13 2	5 29 9	98	7	57	0	4	6	8	6	7	192	Mar	02	Monday
63	10 19 4 5 14	87	841	7 18 2	17 23	3 15	7	7 12	9	9	8	10	11	13	276	Mar	03	Tuesday
64	5 15 8 10 4	75	86	9 19 2	7 33 19	9 11	12	79	4	6	.7	9	9	14	263	Mar	04	Wednesday
65	13 7 7 14 4	1 1	6 6 1	7 12 1	5 23 2	5 10	15 3	2 23	14	15	22	. 9	4	5	300	Mar	05	Thursday
66	11 4 4 16 3	7 0	4 10 1	5 12 2	3 32 23	3 4	.4	6 9	.7	4	10	16	15	13	252	Mar	06	Friday
67	16 13 12 14 1	58	54	± 5	504	F 9	17	8 11	16	30	28	14	27	18	2/5	Mar	07	Saturday
68	15 11 8 12 6	2 2	2 2	29	5 6 3	4	4	4 1	. 6	13	491	1251	.01	71	463	Mar	08	Sunday
69	48 34 15 12 8	4 5	4 /	5 9 3	5 28 0	2 13	.9	0 10	10	8	2		10	21	320	Mar	109	Monday
70	40 30 16 14 21	3 2	2 10 1	5 12 1		0 10	12 1	1 4	10	11	8	21	10	22	2/4	Mar	11	Tuesday
71	10 7 0 7 3	5 5	4 9 1	6 10 1 1 10 1	20 IC	5 21	16 2	4 12 22	10	71	11	2 I	70	20	200	Mar	12	weanesudy
72	10 24 20 21 2	7 0	4 / L <sup>1</sup>	± 10 10	2/20	2 17	7 2	9 1∩	20 0	11	77	12	13	27	326	Mar	12	Thur suay
73	29 19 24 22 1/	22 20	/ 0 1 5 12 2	0 10 0 0 10 0	5 23 13 5 11 51	) 1/ ) 1	1	2 10	2		2	12	13	1	220	Mar	14	ET TOGA
74	71 71 0 C CT	22 20	53	5 4 5	2 2 6	5 12	7 2	J 4	15	د ۵	12	11	ž	11	157	Mar	15	Sunday
75	20201	6 1	4 0	10 1	5 16 14	5 12	Ŕ	6 1		Ā	17	21	Ř	- <u>-</u> -	190	Mar	16	Monday
10		· ·			- TO TO		~			2	- * ·		~	~				

**Table 3.4.3** (Page 3 of 4)

May 1992

. .

FIN .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

7 21 12 17 12 19 19 10 5 12 13 12 0 0 0 1 11 197 Mar 18 Wednesday 8 5 17 14 7 19 14 9 6 15 10 7 7 5 6 1 11 197 Mar 18 Wednesday 5 5 16 8 14 22 11 11 5 3 5 5 9 13 7 3 11 186 Mar 19 Thursday 4 12 25 14 18 21 19 11 15 8 4 7 9 9 3 2 4 243 Mar 20 Friday 7 3 11 129 Mar 21 Saturday 7 21 12 17 12 19 19 10 5 12 13 12 - 5 6 1 11 197 Mar 18 Wednesday 5 11 11 4 12 25 14 18 21 19 11 15 8 4 7 9 2 5 9 8 8 7 4 2 3 7 5 1 6 3 12 7 2 3 11 129 Mar 21 Saturday 8 11 8 7 124 Mar 22 Sunday 2 1 5 6 11 

 13
 5
 4
 5
 8
 4
 9
 11
 16
 18
 20
 10
 9
 9

 5
 10
 3
 4
 3
 8
 16
 19
 12
 16
 22
 15
 8
 11

 9
 7
 0
 0
 7
 11
 14
 15
 17
 26
 6
 22
 15
 8
 11

1 8 4 8 14 12 12 229 Mar 23 Monday 7 2 11 6 10 6 12 250 Mar 24 Tuesday 7 7 11 8 24 12 15 241 Mar 25 Wednesday 12 6 11 13 10 17 17 

 6
 9
 7
 0
 0
 7
 11
 15
 17
 35
 9
 8
 2
 4
 7
 7
 11

 7
 17
 12
 5
 1
 7
 2
 23
 20
 24
 24
 27
 16
 7
 15
 15
 5
 4

 4
 8
 8
 4
 5
 9
 7
 26
 18
 23
 33
 31
 11
 9
 9
 3
 8
 5
 12
 5
 16
 5
 2
 13
 11
 10
 17
 5
 7
 3
 7
 4
 3
 6
 2

 5
 12
 5
 16
 5
 2
 13
 11
 10
 17
 5
 7
 3
 7
 4
 3
 6
 2

276 Mar 26 Thursday 15 5 260 Mar 27 Friday 2 1 151 Mar 28 Saturday 
 7
 8
 3
 6
 9
 10
 12
 1
 10
 16
 18
 9
 7

 6
 5
 2
 9
 6
 7
 10
 17
 15
 24
 7
 14
 8
 7 8 2 0 4 9 10 16 5 19 226 Mar 29 Sunday 8 8 11 5 2 8 214 Mar 30 Monday 3 3 11 10 12 17 14 29 28 8 6 11 13 4 9 5 4 6 17 235 Mar 31 Tuesday FIN 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum 2255 2441 1583 1342 2436 2909 2944 1610 1676 1905 2068 2261 2154 2179 1974 1400 1624 2637 3433 2086 1712 1734 1965 2089 50417 Total sum 172 13 13 13 14 11 9 8 8 9 14 15 17 20 17 12 9 10 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 293 Total average 121 13 13 13 15 11 9 7 7 9 15 17 19 23 19 12 9 9 9 9 10 10 11 10 12 292 Average workdays 51 11 13 11 12 11 10 11 9 10 11 12 12 12 12 11 9 11 11 13 13 14 15 16 16 288 Average weekends

**Table 3.4.3.** Daily and hourly distribution of FINESA detections. For each day is shown number of detections within each hour of the day and number of detections for that day. The end statistics give total number of detections distributed for each hour and the total sum of detections during the period. The averages show number of processed days, hourly distribution and average per processed day.

May 1992

GER .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

327    6    11    1    2    4    6    7    7    14    18    23    11    15    5    6    3    9    7    211    Nov 23    Saturday      328    3    1    7    3    1    0    2    8    5    10    4    4    11    1    10    6    12    6    13    4    3    3    124    Nov 24    Sunday

Table 3.4.4 (Page 1 of 4)

.

May 1992

May 1992

1.1

-

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

GER .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

330	1	2	8	4	3	0	5	10	6	12	24	21	13	19	14	5	10	2	2	9	1	2	1	0	174	Nov	26	Tuesday
331	6	6	2	3	10	0	1	5	14	11	27	31	19	19	14	4	8	3	1	0	5	1	4	2	196	Nov	27	Wednesday
332	2	4	0	4	1	1	5	5	13	7	20	30	24	15	13	12	8	9	6	3	3	1	3	1	190	Nov	28	Thursday
333	0	5	- 5	3	11	1	2	10	15	16	7	20	11	10	12	3	4	11	5	5	0	4	3	2	165	Nov	29	Friday
334	8	3	5	7	6	7	6	5	7	4	16	11	5	7	12	1	7	2	2	5	4	6	1	1	138	Nov	30	Saturday
335	7	2	9	5	3	6	2	4	1	10	10	4	11	5	3	3	6	2	9	2	9	2	8	6	129	Dec	01	Sunday
336	4	5	7	- 4	3	5	10	3	15	26	18	13	26	20	13	23	4	15	6	6	2	8	3	5	244	Dec	02	Monday
337	2	3	5	11	3	4	1	6	19	13	24	28	17	15	10	17	8	4	3	1	3	1	5	0	203	Dec	03	Tuesday
338	11	3	5	9	3	2	5	7	14	15	35	26	18	27	6	8	3	0	0	5	0	4	6	2	214	Dec	04	Wednesday
339	5	3	3	2	2	0	2	5	1	2	16	26	20	7	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	Dec	05	Thursday
340	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Dec	06	Friday
341	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Dec	07	Saturday
342	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Dec	08	Sunday
343	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Dec	09	Monday
344	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Dec	10	Tuesday
345	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Dec	11	Wednesday

337	23	5 11	2	4	1 5	5	19	13	24	28	17	15	10	17	8	4	3	1	3	1	2	0	203	Dec	03	Tuesday	
220	5 3	2 2	2	ñ	5	5	1	22	16	20	20	41	16	ñ	0	ň	Ň	5	ň		ő	ñ	110	Dec	05	Thursday	
340	5 5	ົດົ້	ົ	ň	ő	0	ñ	ő	10	20	20	6	10	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	110	Dec	60	Friday	
341	ňŏ	ňŏ	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	Dec	07	Saturday	
342	ŏŏ	ňň	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	Dec	ňŔ	Sunday	
343	ňň	ňň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ŏ	Dec	ňě	Monday	
344	ŏŏ	ňň	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	Dec	10	Tuesday	
345	ŏŏŏ	ňŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	Dec	11	Wednesday	
346	ňň	ŏŏ	ត័	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	Dec	12	Thursday	
347	ňň	ňň	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	Dec	13	Friday	
348	õ õ	ŏŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	õ	ŏ	ŏ	õ	ň	ŏ	Dec	14	Saturday	
349	ŏŏ	ŏŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ž	Ă	10	ž	ň	ž	ž	Š	ž	5	Ř	ž	ž	ň	66	Dec	15	Sunday	
350	2 7	3 ŏ	ž	Ť	ĭ	Ă	š	1ĭ	13	10	26	16	ă	14	ĭ	6	8	ĭ	ĭ	3	2	ă	145	Dec	16	Monday	
351	4 0	2 5	9	ī	2	Ŕ	14	10	-8	20	25	<b>-</b> 8	à	- ġ	2	3	4	ō	6	õ	5	11	160	Dec	17	Tuesday	
352	6 8	7 4	9	6	12	14	16	24	ă	15	11	17	Â	ó	7	6	6	3	- Š	Š	4	5	201	Dec	18	Wednesday	
353	1 7	10 13	Ŕ	ž	ĩ	ĥ	7	4	6	12	18	21	23	7	11	4	13	24	40	25	15	11	289	Dec	19	Thursday	
354	17 21	28 36	28	35	12	ğ	29	19	20	6	21	17	16	16	- 8	20	20	15	12	- 8	11	7	431	Dec	20	Friday	
355	4 11	6 7	14	7	-6	8	ัด	15	ğ	10	13	ġ	ĨŘ	-9	Ř	7	12	11	13	22	14	7	238	Dec	21	Saturday	
356	4 6	9 4	14	11	ž	10	17	34	14	23	12	- 3	Ř	10	Š	3	1	4	-6	12	13	Å	234	Dec	22	Sunday	
357	6 9	15 15	14	19	21	27	27	20	26	24	21	27	12	19	17	25	22	14	20	16	28	13	457	Dec	23	Monday	
358	21 22	8 13	16	17	4	4	2	8	ĩĩ	10	18	5	13	- 5	-5	<b>5</b>	2	4	3	6	5	2	209	Dec	24	Tuesday	
359	4 3	1 2	3	3	1	3	3	3	1	1	- Ř	6	-1	3	2	3	2	3	ō	õ	6	3	65	Dec	25	Wednesday	Frste
360	52	3 3	7	11	10	24	19	21	21	24	20	18	17	13	14	14	9	15	19	17	13	14	333	Dec	26	Thursday	Andre
361	9 9	8 7	24	10	3	4	2	7	1	11	7	5	_9	13	3	5	5	8	9	6	1	5	171	Dec	27	Friday	
362	0 12	3 9	4	7	3	6	7	ġ.	16	5	Ś	5	Ō	2	7	Ŝ	1	8	1	3	1	9	122	Dec	28	Saturday	
363	5 6	5 2	5	ġ	3	1	2	5	4	Ō	2	1	4	1	2	6	5	4	4	5	ī	2	84	Dec	29	Sunday	
364	95	2 3	4	4	4	7	5	1	10	7	9	3	6	4	6	8	4	3	1	3	6	3	117	Dec	30	Monday	
365	2 2	2 1	7	2	2	1	2	4	1	4	2	3	3	5	7	4	5	6	0	5	3	1	74	Dec	31	Tuesday	
1	3 3	4 1	8	ō	3	5	4	6	9	5	7	6	5	12	8	7	12	9	10	15	9	9	160	Jan	01	Wednesday	Frste
2	11 14	10 6	4	13	8	11	22	12	13	22	12	16	6	2	8	5	3	13	9	17	4	6	247	Jan	02	Thursday	
3	15 13	1 9	2	6	8	1	б	9	1	2	8	7	6	2	7	4	2	6	2	2	9	4	132	Jan	03	Friday	
4	3 5	13 21	24	18	18	9	17	14	16	27	16	5	14	3	13	4	24	18	13	17	15	12	339	Jan	04	Saturday	
5	55	51	1	6	4	1	3	1	12	7	7	6	6	9	17	30	35	30	37	30	25	26	309	Jan	05	Sunday	
6	24 13	16 29	16	23	6	10	18	25	24	20	19	29	16	13	8	9	5	5	6	6	3	3	346	Jan	06	Monday	
7	36	36	3	2	0	2	5	11	16	8	16	6	11	2	2	5	1	0	4	3	0	0	115	Jan	07	Tuesday	
8	21	15	2	3	0	2	7	6	7	10	21	4	6	7	7	4	8	3	4	3	3	2	118	Jan	08	Wednesday	
9	02	1 1	6	3	0	10	8	14	15	7	14	9	3	4	1	1	6	4	3	2	4	2	120	Jan	09	Thursday	
10	38	52	1	0	3	1	11	20	9	16	18	9	9	5	3	7	6	3	7	2	1	9	158	Jan	10	Friday	
11	06	09	0	0	4	1	2	5	5	9	9	17	6	10	6	7	3	5	8	6	0	2	120	Jan	11	Saturday	
12	10 3	11 13	9	19	13	11	9	18	4	9	2	0	3	3	4	1	5	6	1	4	4	4	166	Jan	12	Sunday	
13	13	62	4	0	4	2	8	10	3	12	29	5	8	3	1	2	10	6	4	5	6	2	135	Jan	13	Monday	
14	52	47	1	2	2	1	.4	3	9	7	28	8	6	3	8	3	5	0	5	3	4	3	123	Jan	14	Tuesday	
15	13	51	0	2	0	2	6	5	9	7	11	12	8	1	8	3	5	5	7	5	0	10	116	Jan	15	Wednesday	
16	4 5	31	6	8	1	8	5	7	33	6	18	5	4	2	5	4	9	11	9	12	13	13	192	Jan	16	Thursday	
17	9 10	70	3	2	3	3	б	10	7	12	11	7	2	4	4	6	12	1	11	2	1	11	144	Jan	17	Friday	
18	1 2	70	7	5	3	1	2	1	6	10	1	9	21	2	0	8	6	7	6	2	5	3	115	Jan	18	Saturday	
19	68	1 1	2	2	0	3	1	1	4	12	10	9	8	1	3	7	5	2	3	2	1	4	96	Jan	19	Sunday	
20	4 10	10 4	1	3	4	0	10	15	10	10	4	11	12	6	10	3	5	1	3	1	2	4	143	Jan	20	Monday	

•

. . . .

**Table 3.4.4** (Page 2 of 4)

May 1992

GER .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

21	2	6	2	•	7	5	•	٥	^	•	0	0	٥	0	6	7	2	2	7	7	٩	5	5	6	70	Tan	21	Tuorday
22	-	~~	ຳ້	10	16	~	12	č	14	1 2	10	12	22	č	10	20	5	ĩ		4	,		Ã	Ă	276	Tan	22	Wednesday
22	1	44	22	10	10	-	14	5	74	12	10	10	17	17	10	20	Ĕ	÷	10			10	12	-	100	Tam	22	Thursday
23	÷	1	1	v v	0	4	0	2	10		13	10	11	11		6	2	0	10	0	- 44	10	13	5	174	Jan	23	Thursday
24		Ť	1	U	Ť	2	0	4	12	4	9	11	18	õ	.4	. 2	. 3	~4	~ 2	20	10	<u> </u>	- 4	1	134	Jan	24	Friday
25	0	4	1	0	2	0	3	0	2	10	3	6	13	2	14	12	12	21	29	29	Τē	28	39	11	269	Jan	25	Saturday
26	2	2	8	1	2	2	2	- 7	2	26	3	7	5	21	5	2	6	1	4	0	- 7	3	0	5	123	Jan	26	Sunday
27	0	4	- 5	0	0	0	0	3	8	- 5	15	10	25	2	14	1	2	1	0	4	- 5	3	9	1	117	Jan	27	Monday
28	4	2	3	б	3	2	0	2	6	12	6	13	17	11	7	7	9	5	5	2	4	- 5	3	1	135	Jan	28	Tuesday
29	0	1	- 5	3	4	0	1	0	11	11	9	5	25	8	8	2	6	2	14	14	13	15	19	0	176	Jan	29	Wednesday
30	0	5	4	2	21	6	4	2	8	10	21	0	19	21	27	7	13	15	12	27	21	54	9	6	314	Jan	30	Thursday
31	6	ī	ō	6	4	2	Ő	3	3	0	-0	Ō	0	11	11	3	3	1	0	9	13	0	4	1	81	Jan	31	Friday
32	ň	ī	2	ž	5	8	ŏ	2	ĩ	8	Ă	10	14	6	15	13	3	7	5	15	12	11	4	7	159	Feb	01	Saturday
33	ā	ō	จั	รั	ñ	ĭ	ĭ	5	15	ž	- 2	6	1	7	12	12	11	2	Ă	- 4	Ĩ	7	ĥ	Ġ	121	Feh	02	Sunday
34	5	ĭ	1	2	Š	à	6	ŝ	10	~ ~	10	11	Ā	'n	10	10	<u></u>	ñ	â	0	ń	ó	ň	ň	ี้ คิวิ	Feb	02	Monday
35	៍	5	5	៍	2	Ň		ី	~	6	10	10	Ā	ň	ň	ň	Ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	Ň	05	Feb	~~	Tuorday
35	Ň	Ň	~	~	Ň	Ň	2	0	16	12	Ÿ	11	~~~	~	2	~	Š	Ň	Š	Š	20	8	~	ő	145	Feb	05	Wodnorday
20	2	<sup>o</sup>	15	11	10		0	2	10	12		17	23			~ <u>{</u>	~	~	~	2	49	0	7	2	70	reb	05	Wednesday
3/	د	Ö	12	T,	10	Ť	v.	3	11	12	0	0	v	0	0	~	v v	v	v v	v v	0	v v		Ň	12	reb	00	Thursday
38	0	0	0	Ű	0	U U	0	0	0	0	U V	0	0	v v	U v	v v	0	0	v v	v	v v	U U	v v	0	0	red	07	Friday
39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ů.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U V	0	0	rep	08	Saturday
40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	.0	0	õ	0	0	0	Ū.	0	0	Feb	09	Sunday
41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	18	18	10	11	5	15	3	5	4	6	4	5	3	128	Feb	10	Monday
42	6	0	4	2	0	0	2	1	6	12	4	4	0	3	4	9	3	6	5	4	1	8	3	1	88	Feb	11	Tuesday
43	5	6	1	1	0	0	7	- 5	13	13	21	13	14	6	9	4	8	4	1	4	4	10	2	3	154	Feb	12	Wednesday
44	10	11	4	2	3	2	4	5	12	3	9	17	19	11	22	11	4	3	0	9	2	- 7	8	3	181	Feb	13	Thursday
45	1	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	3	4	8	22	26	4	14	3	3	2	5	10	11	1	0	1	124	Feb	14	Friday
46	1	8	1	0	3	9	12	9	15	11	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	Feb	15	Saturday
47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	Feb	16	Sunday
48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Feb	17	Monday
49	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	Ō	0	Ó	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	9	Feb	18	Tuesday
50	Ó	Ō	0	Ó	Ō	1	Ō	3	2	11	1	13	13	6	9	1	5	5	2	4	0	0	2	2	80	Feb	19	Wednesday
51	4	ŏ	Ť	ž	ĩ	ō	ĩ	4	õ	-9	2	16	17	13	18	5	8	9	15	13	7	12	3	1	161	Feb	20	Thursday
52	จ์	ž	ñ	õ	2	õ	ī	4	17	10	5	1	ิล์	- 8	12	7	2	ģ	-4	2	i '	-2	5	ō	106	Feb	21	Friday
52	ž	ĩ	1	ĩ	วี	š	5	Ô	ŝ	ĩň	11	10	š	1	Ξã	ó	จั	á	â	Ã	â	Ā	3	ž	- จัด	Feb	22	Saturday
54	5	-	â	-	2	1	11	17	15	14	20	20	22	10	10	22	11	10	1		7	5	2	วั	275	Feb	22	Sunday
55	2	5	6			3	12	<u>,</u>	12	17	10	29	22	6	15	~ ~	1	- 2	Ā	15		10	1	~	167	Feb	23	Monday
55	2	2	2	7	17	5	2	10	10		10	12	22	14		ā	š	5	1.4		2	10	- 2	7	101	Feb	25	Tonday
20	4	4	2		14	4	1	10	13		10	13	23	14	2	2	~	4	14	10	2	0	2	7	101	rep	25	Wednesday
2/	Ŭ,	Ţ	4	4		4	1	0	2	ç	23	10	20	10		2	~		0	19	3	0	4	4	150	Feb	20	wednesday
28	2	2	4	- H	4	2	4	0	14	10	14	19	41	29	4	4	0	Ť	õ	ő			, v	0	109	red	21	Thursday
59	2	6	3		4	2	12	3	ΤŤ	14	14	10	12	29	4	ž	10	2	4	0	10	4	Ť	4	143	red	28	Friday
60		٤	4	3	9	2	12	4	5	ΤŬ		12	12	3	Ş		10	8	د	Ť	2	0		3	139	red	29	Saturday
61	1	1	5	1	3	2	4	3	2	.0	0	2	12	<u>, 2</u>	6	. 8	4	5	0	3	2	1	Ť	2	. /1	Mar	01	Sunday
62	4	1	2	2	11	0	2	6	2	17	12	2	19	17	4	18	15	. 9	2	4	11	2	6	.7	178	Mar	02	Monday
63	2	12	11	11	10	12	9	18	28	6	0	5	46	23	26	14	11	10	6	11	4	14	13	15	317	Mar	03	Tuesday
64	17	18	18	4	11	16	6	2	8	8	11	17	21	13	12	5	3	1	4	2	4	2	1	3	207	Mar	04	Wednesday
65	8	7	9	4	9	1	2	0	6	29	34	19	14	17	15	7	5	2	1	0	8	3	1	4	205	Mar	05	Thursday
66	5	1	2	2	6	3	2	1	14	28	13	12	21	8	2	3	3	6	4	2	4	1	2	11	156	Mar	06	Friday
67	2	3	2	1	0	5	3	2	7	8	2	6	1	1	0	4	6	2	5	0	1	2	7	0	70	Mar	07	Saturday
68	1	2	1	10	1	4	0	1	3	2	0	5	8	0	1	2	1	6	3	2	-5	6	2	4	70	Mar	80	Sunday
69	9	12	6	6	9	6	2	1	13	11	11	18	15	10	15	8	1	10	3	4	3	2	3	2	180	Mar	09	Monday
70	7	8	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	12	16	26	12	19	8	8	2	1	2	14	5	2	163	Mar	10	Tuesday
71	3	4	3	9	4	7	3	7	14	15	24	3	11	3	9	16	4	7	5	8	3	7	8	7	184	Mar	11	Wednesdav
72	6	5	9	7	5	3	2	6	18	10	35	27	30	25	34	26	17	6	4	6	20	14	13	18	346	Mar	12	Thursday
73	16	22	29	27	26	27	25	35	45	24	35	43	34	23	29	37	32	23	44	32	28	24	25	17	702	Mar	13	Friday
74	īī	5	ō	11	14	18	7	10	11	11	14	4	9	6	8	4	3	1	2	4	5	1	7	11	177	Mar	14	Saturday
75	6	6	4	3	8	5	8	8	7	8	18	10	6	Ó	5	1	9	10	6	ŝ	4	2	ò	5	144	Mar	15	Sunday
76	1	Ă	- 5	2	ž	7	ŏ	ž	49	11	28	14	20	6	3	â	10	ĩ	ĭ	6	ó	จั	4	6	191	Mar	16	Monday
					,		• • •	_				·		~ ~		-	- W				~ ~		-					

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

Table 3.4.4 (Page 3 of 4)

42

GER .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Day Sum Date 1 3 25 9 19 11 10 17 10 15 8 3 2 2 17 25 11 27 24 11 6 13 5 10 2 8 7 11 13 5 23 18 27 12 11 16 12 4 16 175 Mar 17 Tuesday 2 12 - 4 209 Mar 18 Wednesday 5 8 1 7 4 11 235 Mar 19 Thursday 5 28 15 20 26 21 8 12 10 11 11 -5 3 12 4 19 257 Mar 20 Friday 2 4 17 0 0 0 110 Mar 21 Saturday 0 0 0 Mar 22 Sunday 0 Mar 23 Monday 32 Mar 24 Tuesday 5 23 12 20 12 21 11 9 15 3 10 11 13 21 9 11 7 7 191 Mar 25 Wednesday -4 7 17 157 Mar 26 Thursday 2 12 14 8 16 25 21 10 160 Mar 27 Friday -5 2 11 11 1 2 3 11 9 8 11 7 12 11 154 Mar 28 Saturday з 7 18 3 11 3 122 Mar 29 Sunday -2 - 3 6 13 11 10 20 18 22 16 12 8 3 5 11 10 208 Mar 30 Monday 3 6 8 11 15 23 13 14 9 9 14 181 Mar 31 Tuesday GER 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 826 852 901 1703 2267 1676 1323 1011 1091 1152 881 Sum 903 717 1663 2132 2622 1626 1177 1131 1218 928 30407 Total sum 5 5 4 5 10 10 13 14 16 10 10 8 7 6 7 7 7 7 6 5 182 Total average 6 12 11 15 16 19 11 11 9 7 6 7 7 8 5 198 Average workdays 4 5 7 7 8 9 6 7 5 6 6 5 139 Average weekends 

**Table 3.4.4.** Daily and hourly distribution of GERESS detections. For each day is shown number of detections within each hour of the day and number of detections for that day. The end statistics give total number of detections distributed for each hour and the total sum of detections during the period. The averages show number of processed days, hourly distribution and average per processed day.

## May 1992

₽

KSP .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date

274	14 11 13 23	25	0 19	4	7 10	6	2	13	6	2	16	A	0	6	a	19	24	13	27	282	Oct	01	Tuesday
275	0 16 13 24	22	6 2	8	7 9	ä	7	10	Ř	11	- 5	5	Ă	ă	á	55	12	22	15	261	Oct	02	Wedneeday
276	1 6 6 15	17	<i>i</i> 1	1	7 1	11	ģ	12	13	11	16	10	14	10	15	24	27	15	20	277	Oct	02	Thursday
270	22 14 7 11	27	т <u>т</u> в Э	10	1 12	17	8	16	22	26	14	16	15	1.0	27	10	28	10	22	295	Oct	ñ4	Eriday
270	23 14 7 11	26 1	0 1 4	- <u>-</u> -	0 10	12	1 /	17	21	26	12	22	19	10	~ á	11	6	12	12	375	OCL	05	Caturday
270	14 12 12 0	20 1	2 14 2 12		00 16	14	10	15	11	20	20	21	25	10	ຳ້	12	10	10	10	375	Oct	05	Sunday
2/9	24 13 12 9	17	6 16	°.	20 10		10	15	77	22	20	12	25	10	11	21	20	73	17	214	Oct	00	Sunday
200	24 19 0 10	16	5	2	2 9	12	12	22	10	22	14	13	2	4	11	10	27	24	10	214	Oct	07	Musedan
201		20 1	1 4	5	5 13	15	14	10	11	22	24	14	15	4	6	21	15	24	17	273	Oct	00	Hodporday
202	4 12 17 10	24 1		2	6 14	12	8	73	20	10	10	14	10	2	0	17	22	20	17	210	Oct	10	Wednesday
203	4 10 14 19	34	1 10	2	0 4	14	0	10	10	10	10	16	10	•	15	17	21	22	12	319	OCL	11	Thursday
204	26 / 1/ 14	20	1 10	0	4 14	- 4	10	10	10	12	14	10	14	4	12	24	24	21	12	227	Oct	11	Friday
200	10 14 22 0	29 1	4	24	1 0		10	10	14	10	15	10	26	21	11	14	12	14	27	122	Oct	12	Sacurday
200	10 12 22 22	19 1	7 5	<u> </u>	5 7	6 12	12	27	6	24	17	16	27	21 A	<b>*</b>	17	14	12	22	200	Oct	14	Monday
207	11 5 9 12	16	, J . J	5		11	13	12	1 4	11		10	9	1	5	20	10	16	33	222	Oct	15	Tuncday
200	10 21 8 4	19 1	, 2 7 6	٦. م	5 5	11	16	16	10	17	6	5	ğ	8	10	18	15	20	29	267	Oct	16	Wednesday
205	12 38 13 14	19	, u , i	Š	A 11	 	13	10	6	á	8 8	12	14	7	20	8	22	19	°9	259	Oct	17	Thursday
291	20 9 7 5	16	ã 17	11	1 6	8	17	ŤĚ	10	8	7	16	11	22	25	29	25	îá	12	203	Oct	18	Friday
292	3 14 10 12	13 2	$\frac{1}{12}$	18.	12 18	13	จกั	17	10	29	10	13	31	20	27	21	28	28	20	429	Oct	19	Saturday
293	16 26 13 7	29 1	9 20	26	20 21	13	17	31	11	15	17	22	13	13	14	18	17	16	19	443	Oct	20	Sunday
204	9 4 17 15	15	4 8	ัว.	6 4	10	11	2	10	17	Ťá	14	13	10	17	ĩã	18	-7	16	232	Oct	21	Monday
295	12 9 13 21	22	70 5.1	5	1 1 2	- 5	17	21	17	10	á	13	12	- 6	30	12	21	÷	14	283	Oct	22	Tuesday
296	7 3 8 12	22	5 1		8 18	15	19	ĩq	í	18	16	1	10	5	12	13	19	16	13	265	Oct	23	Wednesday
297	16 12 10 14	15 1	0 2	12	15 9	4	14	20	15	-8	14	18	-6	4	12	13	20	- 6	16	275	Oct	24	Thursday
298	22 5 7 17	26 1	4 17	17	9 11	16	24	Ĩğ	11	5	- 7	- 4	Š	15	าจั	31	18	24	20	357	Oct	25	Friday
299	12 23 23 23	26 3	5 14	10	5 14	19	14	17	19	20	16	29	27	20	13	15	17	27	21	469	Oct	26	Saturday
300	31 19 18 27	12 2	2 28	21	9 37	17	17	19	11	15	10	11	39	29	5	18	29	18	6	478	Oct	27	Sunday
301	10 11 9 24	15	4 14	8	3 6	6	7	16	12	5	4	- 9	14	3	7	15	29	11	9	261	Oct	28	Monday
302	5 13 14 11	34	5 5	23	5 9	13	10	16	- 9	2	5	2	6	3	i	14	26	ĩĩ	15	257	Oct	29	Tuesday
303	7 12 14 19	21 1	3 5	6	5 18	26	20	19	14	6	13	20	Ĵ.	13	9	14	32	$\overline{21}$	16	346	Oct	30	Wednesday
304	6 13 16 20	22	9 12	5	8 11	ē	13	23	-9	12	11		11	10	11	23	21	21	15	320	Oct	31	Thursday
305	25 10 21 16	21 2	0 13	20	5 5	13	27	27	31	24	15	25	15	10	4	6	12	9	8	382	Nov	01	Friday
306	9 13 18 12	8	0 10	16	9 5	7	8	13	10	7	9	8	13	18	9	21	25	10	13	271	Nov	02	Saturday
307	8 2 26 13	25 1	3 17	27	7 25	15	38	21	9	13	15	9	28	22	9	21	15	20	26	424	Nov	03	Sunday
308	8 9 20 14	10	76	2	4 10	11	23	27	11	1	5	10	1	12	0	10	17	40	46	304	Nov	04	Monday
309	27 56 64 16	27 2	0 11	7	1 11	2	5	10	9	14	11	5	13	8	16	14	33	66	69	515	Nov	05	Tuesday
310	38 69 65 31	19 .	37	41 :	21 14	6	7	25	9	12	6	13	14	11	10	16	30	53	47	567	Nov	06	Wednesday
311	48 67 33 15	16	59	4	3 13	6	11	12	5	3	15	6	9	6	11	21	9	19	14	360	Nov	07	Thursday
312	37 4 13 9	13	94	4 :	21 8	5	11	28	12	6	7	- 5	23	7	12	6	18	6	12	280	Nov	80	Friday -
313	3 7 14 12	16	29	8	8 9	15	22	9	13	21	16	20	26	16	12	14	20	14	24	330	Nov	09	Saturday
314	9 9 22 29	28	7 22	21 3	21 16	12	9	23	18	18	14	13	35	18	9	23	21	12	20	429	Nov	10	Sunday
315	9 10 19 25	16 3	2 15	8	66	2	6	14	11	8	8	3	3	7	13	16	15	17	15	254	Nov	11	Monday
316	15 6 57 16	31 1	74	2	3 18	12	- 7	16	13	28	7	2	6	6	4	13	15	6	12	316	Nov	12	Tuesday
317	8 5 7 32	19 :	10	4	39	10	18	20	5	11	11	6	5	12	18	14	39	46	29	332	Nov	13	Wednesday
318	37 12 31 33	21 .	72	13	2 6	1	13	13	16	14	4	9	21	8	- 7	17	36	22	9	354	Nov	14	Thursday
319	21 26 14 4	46 1	4 13	9	46	19	7	29	10	4	4	3	12	5	6	18	27	56	71	428	Nov	15	Friday
320	16 55 35 7	20	62	3	9 3	3	12	8	17	7	8	19	18	14	21	32	32	41	73	461	Nov	16	Saturday
321	13 27 21 17	23	9 11	14	7 19	12	14	16	12	33	28	16	25	30	12	23	20	34	14	450	Nov	17	Sunday
322	15 14 25 33	35 13	3 19	4	7 13	12	18	19	10	11	_5	15	10	18	0	15	22	20	15	368	Nov	18	Monday
323	9 9 15 14	20	8 12	9 1	0 15	3	11	21	14	10	28	21	8	14	13	18	34	51	61	428	Nov	19	Tuesday
324	14 63 19 15	25 1	3 18	1 1	4 8	7	13	21	12	6	8	12	5	10	10	15	28	23	.7	367	Nov	20	Wednesday
325	6 6 24 27	16	6 6	13	6 8	9	16	13	15	16	5	3	18	10	11	16	32	27	43	354	Nov	21	Thursday
326	36 30 26 22	28	3 5	7	6 6	20	10	23	13	6	8	9	18	14	11	18	30	21	20	390	Nov	22	Friday
327	32 25 34 22	23 (	8 11	10 1	1 9	7	8	17	11	7	.9	22	16	21	15	25	23	21	26	413	Nov	23	Saturday
328	5 14 16 30	21 1	9 19	22	6 30	6	14	23	34	22	24	12	26	13	12	23	15	16	19	441	NOV	24	Sunday
329	18 21 21 17	42 9	<b>9</b> 4	5	69	7	12	15	17	12	10	17	- 8	13	- 7	11	23	35	35	374	NOV	25	Monday

Table 3.4.5 (Page 1 of 2)

۰.

NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-91/92

May 1992

18 A. S. S.

KSP .FKX Hourly distribution of detections

Day 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Sum Date 
 330
 35
 5
 16
 14
 25
 8
 6
 1
 3
 8
 9
 9
 23
 17
 10
 6
 8
 4
 7
 6
 14
 17
 16
 8

 331
 17
 10
 16
 12
 14
 7
 7
 16
 4
 3
 14
 11
 9
 18
 11
 11
 10
 5
 8
 7
 19
 13
 16
 275 Nov 26 Tuesday 277 Nov 27 Wednesday 8 21 22 17 18 11 4 6 8 4 22 16 29 8 6 6 7 9 14 7 15 28 9 19 314 Nov 28 Thursday 332 333 17 21 23 16 24 9 2 16 0 0 0 20 14 5 4 7 9 13 11 8 19 24 16 17 295 Nov 29 Friday 3 6 11 23 23 9 13 4 17 17 16 11 25 12 12 23 32 28 10 27 32 29 29 17 429 Nov 30 Saturday 334 
 335
 11
 15
 18
 33
 37
 13
 22
 18
 30
 30
 18
 17
 24
 19
 20
 20
 24
 25
 25
 21
 26
 25
 15
 23

 336
 19
 33
 28
 8
 19
 10
 16
 9
 9
 14
 21
 14
 35
 7
 7
 10
 6
 8
 7
 5
 10
 31
 12
 8
 529 Dec 01 Sunday 346 Dec 02 Monday 

 3
 9
 11
 12
 21
 4
 3
 6
 5
 3
 10
 24
 14
 10
 4
 4
 10
 1
 2
 10
 16
 17
 10
 29

 29
 7
 16
 30
 19
 3
 5
 0
 4
 7
 5
 5
 13
 3
 7
 5
 8
 9
 18
 15
 32
 12
 12

238 Dec 03 Tuesday 337 267 Dec 04 Wednesday 338 318 Dec 05 Thursday 41 17 19 15 11 8 4 3 0 10 13 19 16 6 10 8 5 27 5 7 35 15 19 - 5 339 12 12 11 35 26 4 6 9 2 11 5 9 14 8 8 2 8 6 11 17 15 15 17 15 14 28 20 13 18 2 18 10 11 12 5 11 19 17 20 12 42 33 22 27 24 10 16 11 278 Dec 06 Friday 340 415 Dec 07 Saturday 341 538 Dec 08 Sunday 342 267 Dec 09 Monday 343 311 Dec 10 Tuesday 344 433 Dec 11 Wednesday 345 367 Dec 12 Thursday 346 18 12 25 26 20 17 9 12 8 12 15 15 21 8 5 14 7 10 13 8 27 24 20 11 357 Dec 13 Friday 347 10 9 14 10 12 14 7 11 25 18 30 39 86 35 33 11 0 0 0 6 14 15 25 424 Dec 14 Saturday 348 
 349
 10
 83
 31
 18
 27
 14
 39
 16
 34
 24
 20
 31
 25
 9
 19
 10
 19
 31
 13
 37
 31
 22
 23
 20
 608
 Dec
 15
 Sunday

 350
 17
 46
 16
 8
 16
 7
 8
 6
 5
 11
 8
 6
 21
 8
 11
 11
 6
 9
 13
 12
 17
 13
 14
 13
 302
 Dec
 16
 Monday
 7 15 16 9 18 10 5 7 9 9 4 14 16 7 11 12 6 18 0 0 0 0 0 193 Dec 17 Tuesday 351 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 KSP 1448 1340 760 762 915 1060 965 864 1092 857 1744 1620 Sum 1247 1546 1685 748 751 830 1483 951 927 874 1378 1601 27448 Total sum 78 16 19 20 17 22 10 10 10 10 12 11 14 19 12 12 11 12 14 11 11 18 22 21 21 352 Total average 56 17 18 20 17 22 8 7 8 7 9 10 12 18 11 10 10 9 10 9 9 16 23 21 21 320 Average workdays 22 13 19 20 18 22 14 16 15 17 18 13 18 22 15 19 15 19 24 18 15 21 22 21 21 433 Average weekends

**Table 3.4.5.** Daily and hourly distribution of KSP detections. For each day is shown number of detections within each hour of the day and number of detections for that day. The end statistics give total number of detections distributed for each hour and the total sum of detections during the period. The averages show number of processed days, hourly distribution and average per processed day.

\$

## 3.5 IMS operation

The Intelligent Monitoring System (IMS) was installed at NORSAR in December 1989 and was operated at NORSAR from 1 January 1990 for automatic processing of data from ARCESS and NORESS. A new version of IMS that accepts data from an arbitrary number of arrays and single 3-component stations was installed at NORSAR in October 1991, and regular operation of the system comprising analysis of data from the 4 arrays ARCESS, NORESS, FINESA and GERESS started on 15 October 1991. As opposed to the first version of IMS, the one in current operation also locates events at teleseismic distance.

The operational stability of IMS has been very good during the reporting period. In fact the IMS event processor (pipeline) has had no downtime of its own; i.e., all data available to IMS have been processed by IMS.

#### Events automatically located by IMS

During days 288 1991, through 091 1992, 14,817 events (local, regional, teleseismic) were automatically located by IMS. This gives an average of 88.7 events per processed day (167 days processed). 40% of these events are within 300 km of nearest station, and 65% of these events are within 1000 km of nearest station.

46.3% of these events were defined by 2 regional phases and 13.8% were defined by 2 teleseismic phases. 87.4% of all events had 3 defining phases or less. 20.2% of the available detections (phases) were automatically associated to events.

#### Events located by analyst review of IMS results

During days 288, 1991, through 091, 1992, 8,087 events (local, regional and teleseismic) were defined following analyst review of IMS results. This gives an average of 51.8 events per processed day (167 days processed). 59% of these events are within 300 km of nearest station, and 73% of these events are within 1000 km of nearest station.

46.1% of these events were defined by 2 regional phases and 3.6% were defined by 2 teleseismic phases. 64.4% of all events had 3 defining phases or less. 11.3% of the available detections (phases) were associated to events. See section 7.6 for comments on the percentage of associated phases.

76.9% of the events had regional phases only. 21.4% of the events had teleseismic phases only.

#### Phase and event statistics

Table 3.5.1 gives a sumary of phase detections and events declared by IMS. From top to bottom the table gives the total number of detections by the IMS, the number of detections that are associated with events automatically declared by the IMS, the number of detections that are not associated with any events, the number of events automatically declared

by the IMS, the total number of events defined by the analyst, and finally the number of events accepted by the analyst without any changes (i.e., from the set of events automatically declared by the IMS).

	Oct 91	Nov 91	Dec 91	Jan 92	Feb 92	Mar 92	Total
Phase detections	53275	45501	38258	35905	28252	30921	232112
-Associated phases	9778	8668	8496	5892	5626	8431	46891
-Unassociated phases	43497	36833	29762	30013	22626	22490	185221
Events automatically declared by IMS	3232	2772	2575	1846	1738	2654	14817
No. of events defined by the analyst	916	1350	1242	1025	1364	2190	8087
No. of events accepted without modifications	241	301	259	290	354	880	2325

Table 3.5.1. IMS phase detections and event summary.

U. Baadshaug B. Ferstad B. Paulsen Gammelby B.Kr. Hokland L.B. Loughran

## **3.6 GBF operation**

#### Events automatically located by GBF

During days 274 1991, through 091 1992, 10,120 local and regional events were located by GBF. This gives an average of 55.3 events per processed day (183 days processed). 68% of these events are within 300 km of nearest station, and 89% of these events are within 1000 km of the nearest station.

76.9% of these events were defined by 2 regional phases. Teleseismic phases are currently not used by GBF. 86.7% of all events had 3 defining phases or less.

13.4% of the available detections (phases, including teleseismic) were associated to regional events.

#### T. Kværna

## **4** Improvements and Modifications

## 4.1 NORSAR

#### NORSAR data acquisition

No modification has been made to the NORSAR data acquisition system.

The data are recorded on a 30-hour circular disk buffer on the IBM system, and archived onto 1/2 inch magnetic tapes. In addition to this, the data are now regularly transmitted to a SUN system for recording on a 48-hour circular disk buffer.

#### NORSAR detection processing

The NORSAR detection processor has been running satisfactorily on the IBM 4381 computer during this reporting period.

Detection statistics are given in section 2. In addition to the detection processing done on IBM, the dp program is doing regular detection processing on a SUN system, using the unix-based circular disk buffer (see below). A detection SNR threshold of 20.0 triggers automatic saving of waveforms into CSS 3.0 data files.

#### NORSAR event processing

There have been no changes in the routine processing of NORSAR events, using the IBM system.

The new circular buffer on a SUN system will be used to convert old software and develop new event processor software for NORSAR data. The main difference between regional array processing as performed on the high-frequency arrays and NORSAR teleseismic array processing is that body waves do not have a plane wavefront across NORSAR. The consequence is that plane-wave fk-analysis does not work properly, and time delay corrections have to be used for beamforming. A new data base for time delay corrections needs to be built. With these new corrections and a higher sampling rate after the refurbishment, the large array will have excellent resolution in slowness space, and will provide very useful automatic locations for teleseismic signals. However, as an intermediate process, the old data files and programs for subarray time delay corrections have been converted for the SUN version of NORSAR event processing.

#### NORSAR refurbishment

Testing of new digitizers and data archiving systems have continued. The buried cables in the NORSAR array limit the amount of DC voltage that can be supplied at the remote sites. This necessitates testing at the actual remote sites, and we have been able to run the Nanometrics 16 bit gain-ranged converter (16 bit resolution, 24 bit dynamic range) with available DC power.

÷

Another important issue is whether the 7 existing telephone lines to the subarray vaults may be upgraded from 2400 baud to 9600 baud. This has been discussed with the local telephone company, and some limited tests have been carried out. Within the subarrays there seems to be no problem in using existing cables for higher speed. An in-house project is underway to develop an acquisition system that meets the needs for both the NORSAR array and the other planned data acquisition projects (Apatity and Spitsbergen). The NORAC unit - NORSAR Array Controller - is described in section 7.7.

Using the NORAC, we have successfully collected synchronized data simultaneously from Nanometrics RD3 and Teledyne Geotech PDAS-100 (experimental version) digitizers.

At the NORSAR data center we are also evaluating the different acquisition systems at the NORESS/ARCESS, FINESA, NORSAR, and GERESS arrays, and the Poland and Apatity three-component stations. This experience allows us to concentrate our testing on how easily the digitizers may be synchronized and timed. For a detailed analysis of digitizer noise and resolution, we will also refer to manufacturers' specifications and experience at other installations. In our testing we will benefit from the simultaneous recording of NOR-ESS and NORSAR data. It will be possible to arrange a setup with three independent recordings from the same instrument.

The problem with power at the remote sensors limits the number of systems that can be used in the refurbishment. The 24 bit resolution digitizers that are on the market have options for signal detection and data recording. This makes the systems needlessly sophisticated and expensive as compared to what is needed for the NORSAR refurbishment. These systems also generally comsume too much power for installation at the NORSAR seismometer vaults.

The plan for the NORSAR refurbishment is to test digitizers during the spring and summer of 1992, and depending on whether tecnical requirements are met, we may start refurbishing one subarray during this autumn. A parallel recording of data for a longer period needs to be performed to ensure that we can correctly convert back and forth to the NOR-SAR data recorded over the past 20 years, to ensure continuity in the NORSAR data archive.

### 4.2 NORESS/ARCESS/FINESA/GERESS/Poland

#### **Detection processing**

The routine detection processing of the arrays is running satisfactorily on each of the array's SUN-3/280 acquisition systems. The same program is used for NORSAR, NOR-ESS, ARCESS, FINESA, GERESS, KSP, SFP, but with different "recipes". The beam table for NORESS and ARCESS is found in NORSAR Sci. Rep. No. 1-89/90. The beam table for FINESA and GERESS is found in NORSAR Sci. Rep. No. 1-90/91.

Detection statistics are given in section 3.

#### Event processing. Phase estimation.

This process performs f-k and polarization analysis for each detection to determine phase velocity, azimuth and type of phase, and the results are put into the ORACLE detection data base for use by the IMS. Detection data for the three-component Polish stations were made available for IMS for a period, but created too many false events. These data are therefore currently not used in IMS processing.

#### Plot and epicenter determination

A description of single-array event processing is found in NORSAR Sci. Rep. No. 2-88/ 89, and NORSAR Sci. Rep. No. 2-89/90.

#### J. Fyen

## **5** Maintenance Activities

#### 5.1 Activities in the field and at the Maintenance Center

This section summarizes the activities at the Maintenance Center (NMC) Hamar, and NDPC activities related to monitoring and control of NORSAR, including monitoring of NORESS and ARCESS. Activities at other field installations are also listed.

Activities involve preventive and corrective maintenance, modification of equipment, etc.

#### NORSAR

NORSAR subarrays were visited in October, November and December 1991 and January and February 1992. The different jobs involved adjustment of gain SP/LP channels and Mass Position (MP) and Free Period (FP) LP seismometers. Other activities have been replacement of relay card LP equipment, replacement of MP/FP motor EW seismometer LP, and RA-5 amplifiers SP channels. Cable splicing and location of cables have also been done. Activities related to NORSAR upgrading have continued throughout the period.

#### NORESS

This array was visited in October 1991 and January 1992. The Comsat satellite earth station was demounted including the 5 m antenna. Sites A1, C7 and D6 were repaired. The GPS clock was replaced with an LF-DC clock. Hub 13 interface card was replaced and Hub transmission speed changed from 32 to the original 64 Kbits.

#### ARCESS

In January the array was visited by NTA representatives. After a power failure they restarted the UPS (Uninterruptable Power Supply). Also the air-conditioner failed, but the local NORSAR representative started a ventilator fan.

May 1992

Subarray/ Area	Task	Date
NORSAR		
01A	Adjusted channel gain all SP/LP instruments	4 Oct 91
	Also adjusted FP/MP LP instruments	
	Replaced 4y relay card	
01A	Cable splicing SP04	25,28,29
		30 Oct
01B	Cable splicing SP05	16,22 Oct
	Replaced RA-5 SP04	22 Oct
02B	Adjusted channel gain all SP/LP instruments	1 Oct
	Adjusted FP/MP LP seismometers	
02B	Adjusted FP/MP. LP instruments which afterwards	31 Oct
022	could be adjusted from NORSAR. Kieller	
06 <b>C</b>	Replaced RA-5 SP02	2 Oct
00C 02B (tel)	Power unit receiver station replaced	31 Oct
	Tower unit receiver station replaced	51 000
NORESS	Demounted Comsat satellite earth station incl.	14 17 Oct
	5 m antenna	1 1,17 000
	Renaired A1 C7 and D6	18 Oct
	Repaired A1, C7 and D0	10 000
NDPC	Daily check of all arrays, i.e., NORSAR, NORESS,	Oct
	ARCESS, FINESA, GERESS and Poland (KSP,SFP).	
	NORSAR SP/LP instruments calibrated every week.	
	Free Period (FP) and Mass Position (MP) have been	
	measured and adjusted when outside tolerances, when	
	feasible from NORSAR, Kjeller.	
NORSAR		
01B	Replaced RA-5 amplifier SP04. Also cable was spliced	6.7.8 Nov
04C	Adjusted channel gain SP01 06	0,7,0 1101
010	Adjusted channel gain all I P instruments	4 Nov
	A directed ED/MD	41107
060	Paplaced PA 5 amplifier SD00	ANov
000	Replaced RA-5 amplifier SF00	41100
NDPC	Daily check of all arrays, i.e., NORSAR, NORESS.	Nov
	ARCESS, FINESA, GERESS and Poland (KSP.SFP)	
	NORSAR SP/LP instruments calibrated every week	
	(- week 48) Free Period (FP) and Mass Position (MP)	
	have been measured and adjusted when outside telerance	A.C.
	have been measured and aujusted when outside tolerance	

**Table 5.1.** Activities in the field and the NORSAR Maintenance Center, including NDPC activities related to the NORSAR, NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA and GERESS arrays and the two 3-component stations in Poland (KSP, SFP), 1 October 1991 - 31 March 1992.

May 1992

.....

•

...

...

.

• • •

Subarray/ Area	Task	Date
NORSAR		
01B (area)	Visited in connection with location of cables (in trenches) for Ringsaker Power Company	2 Dec
NMC	NORSAR upgrading tasks	Dec
NDPC	Daily check of all arrays, i.e., NORSAR, NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA, GERESS and Poland (KSP, partly SFP). NORSAR SP/LP instruments calibrated every week. Free Period (FP) and Mass Position (MP) have been measured and adjusted when outside tolerance when possible from NORSAR, Kjeller.	Dec es,
NODGAD		1992
NURSAR	A diversed easing all SD/I D channels	<b>10</b> Jam
01A	Adjusted gain all SP/LP channels Adjusted MD/ED all LD instruments	29 Jan 22 Jan
01B	Adjusted mini all SP/L P channels	25 Jan 21 Jan
026	Adjusted gain all SF/LF channels	21 Jan 27 Jan
050	Adjusted MP/EP all I D instruments	27 Jaii
040	Adjusted with AT all SD/I D channels	28 Jan
040	Adjusted MP/EP all LP instruments	20 Jan
060	Adjusted gain all SP/I P channels	15 Jan
000	Adjusted MP/FP all LP instruments	15 Juli
	Replaced MP/FP motor EW seismometer	
06C	Adjusted gain channel 06	24 Jan
NORESS	GPS clock was replaced with an LF-DC clock	15 Jan
	Replaced Hub 13 interface card	16 Jan
	Hub transmission speed changed from 32 to 64 Kbits	23 Jan
ARCESS	Power failure	21 Jan
	NTA representative started the UPS	21 Jan
	Air-conditioner failed. Temperature rose to 40°C. NORSAR local representative started a ventilator fan	23 Jan

Table 5.1 (cont.)

May 1992

• .

Subarray/ Area	Task	Date
NDPC	Daily check of all arrays, i.e., NORSAR, NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA, GERESS and Poland (KSP). NORSAR SP/LP instruments calibrated every week. Free Period (FP) and Mass Position (MP) have been measured and adjusted when outside tolerances, when possible from NORSAR, Kjeller.	Jan
NORSAR		
02B	Adjusted MP/FP all LP instruments Adjusted channel gain all LP channels Adjusted channel gain SP01, 04 and 05	19 Feb
06C	Adjusted MP/FP all LP instruments Adjusted channel gain all LP instruments	18 Feb
	SLEM reset	26 Feb
NDPC	Daily check of all arrays, i.e., NORSAR, NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA, GERESS and Poland (KSP). NORSAR SP/LP instruments calibrated every week. Free Period (FP) and Mass Position (MP) have been measured and adjusted when outside tolerances when possible from NORSAR, Kjeller.	Feb
NMC	NORSAR upgrading continued	Mar
NDPC	Daily check of all arrays, i.e., NORSAR, NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA, GERESS and Poland (KSP, SFP since 18.3.92). NORSAR SP/LP instruments calibrated every week. Free Period (FP) and Mass Position (MP) have been measured and adjusted when outside tolerances when possible from NORSAR, Kjeller.	Mar

Table 5.1 (cont.)

· .

## 5.2 Array status

As of 31 March 1992 the following NORSAR channels deviated from tolerances:

01A 01	8 Hz filter
02	8 Hz filter
04	30 dB attenuation

## O.A. Hansen

## **6 Documentation Developed**

- Bungum, H., A. Dahle, G. Toro, R. McGuire and O.T. Gudmestad (1992): Ground motions from intraplate earthquakes, Proc. 10th World Conf. on Earthq. Eng., Madrid, August 92.
- Dahle, A., H. Bungum, J. Havskov and B. Aspen (1992): Seismic surveillance of the Blåsjø Reservoir, Proc. 10th World Conf. on Earthq. Eng., Madrid, August 92.
- Hestholm, S.R, B.O. Ruud and E.S. Husebye (1992): Synthesizing 2D wave propagation in a heterogeneous lithosphere using finite difference techniques, manuscript submitted for publication.
- Kværna, T. (1992): Continuous seismic threshold monitoring of the northern Novaya
  Zemlya test site; long-term operational characteristics, AFGL Scientific Rep. No. 12, 28 February 1992.
- Mykkeltveit, S. (ed.) (1991): NORSAR Basic Seismological Research, Annual Technical Report, AFGL Scientific Rep. No. 11, 29 November 1991.
- Ringdal, F. and T. Kværna (1992): Continuous seismic Threshold Monitoring, submitted to Geophy. J. Int.
- Ruud, B.O., E.S. Husebye and .S.R. Hestholm (1992): On crustal, short-period Rg propagation using array records from 4 continents, manuscript submitted for publication.
- Ruud, B.O., E.S. Husebye and C.D. Lindholm (1992): An exercise in automating seismic record analysis and network bulletin production, manuscript submitted for publication.
- Semiannual Tech. Summary, 1 Apr 30 Sep 91, NORSAR Sci. Rep. 1-91/92, Kjeller, Norway.

## 7 Summary of Technical Reports/Papers Published

## 7.1 Global event detection performance during GSETT-2

#### Introduction

During the period 22 April to 9 June 1991, the Conference on Disarmament's Group of Scientific Experts carried out the main phase of its Second Technical Test (GSETT-2) (Reference: CD/1144). A total of 34 countries participated in this test, providing seismic data for 42 consecutive data days from 60 stations distributed around the globe (Fig. 7.1.1). Data were recorded and processed at National Data Centers, and parameters as well as waveform segments were transmitted to four experimental International Data Centers (EIDCs) for further analysis. Results of these analyses were summarized in event bulletins, which were transmitted back to participants from the EIDCs.

An important aspect of the performance evaluation of GSETT-2 is the completeness and quality of the final event bulletin (FEB). This seismological output is closely linked to the actual spatial distribution of seismic stations. For GSETT-2, a very heterogeneous global coverage yielded large regional variations in detection threshold. About one half of the participating stations were situated in and around Europe, consequently a large number of small events were detected, mainly quarry blasts and rock bursts of magnitude 1 to 4. On the other hand, in many areas of the globe where the station distribution was very sparse, only larger earthquakes were detected.

In this paper a preliminary assessment is made of the global event detection capability during GSETT-2. By comparing the FEBs to the bulletins of the National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC), we obtain detection statistics separately for the northern and southern hemisphere. We make no assessment in this paper of the location precision of the GSETT-2 network solutions. In sections 7.2 and 7.3, a more detailed discussion of the GSETT-2 performance in some selected regions is presented.

#### Method

The method used for detectability estimation has been described by Ringdal (1975), and is briefly summarized as follows:

- 1. A reference system, independent of the system to be evaluated, is used. Event lists and magnitudes from this reference system are compiled.
- 2. For each reference event, a comparison is made to see if the system to be evaluated has detected the event.
- 3. Based on the number of detections/no detections at each magnitude, a maximum likelihood approach is made to estimate a "detection curve" of the form

$$G(m;\mu,\sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx$$
(1)

Here  $G(m;\mu,\sigma)$  denotes the incremental probability of detection, given event magnitude *m*. The detection curve is completely characterized by the parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$ . The 50 and 90 per cent incremental detection thresholds ( $\mu_{50}$  and  $\mu_{90}$ ) become:

$$\mu_{50} = \mu \tag{2}$$

$$\mu_{90} = \mu + 1.29 \cdot \sigma \tag{3}$$

It should be noted that while the method assumes that the reference network provides <u>independent</u> event estimates, it is not necessary to have a <u>complete</u> event catalogue in any given magnitude range. Thus the reference events actually selected are assumed to be randomly sampled from the total number of events available, much in the same way as opinion survey polls attempt to address randomly selected subsets of the population. The resulting detectability estimates will be representative for the region considered only to the extent that the reference event set is representative.

When applying the method in practice, it is often desirable to restrict the range of values of  $\sigma$  when maximizing the likelihood function. This is done to reduce the influence of outliers in the data set. In this paper we have restricted  $\sigma$  to the interval 0.10-0.80 m<sub>b</sub> units.

#### Reference network

The reference data base for this study has been the monthly bulletin from the U.S. National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC).

For the main phase of GSETT-2, upon which this analysis is based, the reference NEIC catalogue contained 829 seismic events with an assigned  $m_b$  value. The magnitude range was 2.6-6.4.

The criteria used to determine if a given reference event had been detected by the GSETT-2 network was as follows:

- Epicenter difference at most 3.0 degrees
- Origin time difference at most 60 seconds.

These criteria are the same as those used when merging FEB bulletins.

#### Results

The initial results from the detectability study are presented in Figs. 7.1.2-7.1.4. Each figure is based upon analyst comparison of the reference events with bulletin reports according to the criteria defined above.

58

May 1992

1

Fig. 7.1.2 shows detectability statistics for the entire globe taken together. The 50% and 90% thresholds are estimated at  $m_b$  3.7 and 4.7, respectively. In view of expected regional differences, we will look at the northern and southern hemisphere separately.

Fig. 7.1.3 shows results for the northern hemisphere. The estimated 50% and 90% thresholds are  $m_b$  3.4 and 4.4, respectively. We observe that there is a relatively large range in detectability; thus there are detected events below  $m_b = 3.0$ , and non-detections as high as  $m_b = 5.0$ . This is of course due to the large regional variations in GSETT-2 network capability.

It is of interest to discuss in some details a few of the *non-detected* events of relatively large magnitude: Table 7.1.1 lists all NEIC-reported events of  $m_b \ge 4.5$  in the northern hemisphere that were not detected according to the criterion given above. The following comments apply:

- Events 2, 4, 8, 9, 14 and 15 were not reported by any EIDC during GSETT-2.
- Events 5, 6, 7 occurred during the W. Caucasus aftershock sequence, and were not reported originally during GSETT-2 due to heavy workload. They were properly reported after reprocessing.
- Events 1, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 16 were reported during GSETT-2, but the FEB location differed too much from the NEIC location to satisfy the "event matching" criterion.

Note that in some cases (1, 3, 13) one EIDC had a solution that was significantly closer to the NEIC solution than the one selected for the FEB.

In at least one case (event 12) it appears that the FEB solution was significantly better than the NEIC solution.

Fig. 7.1.4 shows results for the southern hemisphere. The estimated capabilities are considerably less than for the northern hemisphere, with 50% and 90% thresholds of  $m_b = 4.1$  and 5.1, respectively.

Again, it is of interest to discuss some of the largest non-detected events: Table 7.1.2 lists the NEIC-reported events of  $m_b \ge 5.0$  in the southern hemisphere that were not detected. The following comments apply:

- Events 1 and 2 were not reported by any EIDC during GSETT-2.
- Events 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 were reported during GSETT-2, but the FEB location differed too much from the NEIC location to satisfy the "event matching" criterion.

Note that for events 3 and 5, there were EIDCs that had solutions very close to the NEIC solution, but they were not selected for the FEB.

In assessing these results, it must be remembered that "detection threshold" is closely tied to "location accuracy". The more relaxed our location requirements are, the "better" the detection capability will appear to be. Our results here represent what we think is a reasonable compromise for a global network of the type employed in GSETT-2. It would be of great interest to compare these results to theoretical network capability studies under the different assumptions and conditions in the models.

In this study, all magnitudes refer to reported NEIC network  $m_b$  values. The question of a possible bias in network  $m_b$  estimates has not been addressed here, but would need to be taken into account when comparing the results to theoretical capability studies.

F. Ringdal S. Mykkeltveit U. Baadshaug

#### References

CD/1144 (1992): Report on the Group of Scientific Experts' second technical test (GSETT-2), Conference on Disarmament, Geneva.

Ringdal, F. (1975): On the estimation of seismic detection thresholds, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 65, 1631-1642.

No.	FEB	IDC	ORIGIN TIME	LAT	LON	DPT	NOB	MB
1 1	CSS STO	USGS STO	1991-113:08.58.47.700 1991-113:08.58.48.100	9.97 13.14	-83.26 -86.81	10 2	9 9	4.6 3.4
2	CSS	USGS	1991-114:19.11.45.700	31.81	104.54	33	10	4.7
3 3	CSS STO	USGS STO	1991-117:14.48.42.400 1991-117:14.48.41.500	17.18 16.76	-100.30 -103.80	53 1	38 11	4.6 4.0
4	CSS	USGS	1991-119:00.51.44.800	13.88	-92.59	62	7	4.6
5	CSS	USGS	1991-119:09.59.24.000	42.62	43.40	10	64	4.6
6	CSS	USGS	1991-119:10.19.41.300	42.22	43.59	10	24	4.5
7	CSS	USGS	1991-119:11.10.11.900	42.58	43.90	10	67	4.7
8	CSS	USGS	1991-119:18.28.17.500	51.00	-178.38	33	12	4.7
9	CSS	USGS	1991-122:06.54.14.300	34.80	26.48	20	32	4.6
10 10	CSS MOS	USGS STO	1991-130:05.31.04.400 1991-130:05.31.08.100	10.04 10.48	124.16 128.52	84 88	5 6	4.6 3.4
11 11	CSS CNB	USGS WAS	1991-136:20.31.05.200 1991-136:20.32.10.400	17.04 21.23	-102.31 -102.99	33 367	35 13	4.6 3.4
12 12	CSS STO	USGS CNB	1991-145:18.59.23.200 1991-145:18.59.47.700	42.96 44.33	147.59 137.97	33 11	6 18	4.8 4.1
13 13	CSS MOS	USGS MOS	1991-146:17.28.01.300 1991-146:17.29.22.600	27.05 15.91	99.75 103.93	33 16	9 8	5.0 3.7
14	CSS	USGS	1991-147:12.02.25.700	32.92	56.33	33	5	4.6
15	CSS	USGS	1991-148:20.04.50.000	24.65	94.36	142	9	4.7
16 16	CSS CNB	USGS WAS	1991-152:06.01.48.700 1991-152:06.00.21.700	1.65 10.58	123.25 119.27	10 0	7 8	4.7 4.1

**Table 7.1.1.** NEIC-reported events of  $m_b \ge 4.5$  in the northern hemisphere not reported in the FEB (using the event matching criteria given in the text). Whenever an FEB event is close to matching one of the NEIC events, it is listed below it.

61

:.

÷

0.	FEB	IDC	ORIGIN TIME	LAT	LON	DPT	NOB	MB
1	CSS	USGS	1991-112:19.18.43.500	-11.48	166.19	63	13	5.1
2	CSS	USGS	1991-116:05.25.24.800	-5.43	129.73	227	11	5.0
3	CSS	USGS	1991-128:08.51.40.300	-22.04	68.32	10	37	5.1
3	CNB	WAS	1991-128:08.52.43.900	-20.42	69.73	582	16	3.7
4	CSS	USGS	1991-130:23.30.44.500	-37.00	-98.93	10	10	5.1
4	MOS	STO	1991-130:23.31.03.000	-34.47	-96.46	1	6	4.1
5	CSS	SGS	1991-134:19.17.53.800	-57.72	-25.37	52	16	5.1
5	MOS	CNB	1991-134:19.18.26.800	-34.13	-28.39	1	11	4.3
6	CSS	USGS	1991-141:12.43.35.800	-7.25	129.43	58	14	5.0
6	STO	WAS	1991-141:12.43.02.100	-6.52	126.14	0	8	4.2
7	CSS	USGS	1991-153:11.08.11.200	-18.81	-173.17	33	22	5.2
7	STO	STO	1991-153:11.09.17.800	-19.97	-178.43	285	27	4.7

**Table 7.1.2.** NEIC-reported events of  $m_b \ge 5.0$  in the southern hemisphere not reported in the FEB (using the event matching criteria given in the text). Whenever an FEB event is close to matching one of the NEIC events, it is listed below it.



Fig. 7.1.1. Stations participating in the main phase of GSETT-2, April-June 1991 (after CD/1144). Detailed descriptions of station characteristics can be found in Group of Scientific Experts' Sourcebook for International Seismic Data Exchange, CRP/167.



**Fig. 7.1.2.** Maximum likelihood detectability estimation for the GSETT-2 network, using the NEIC monthly bulletin as a reference. This figure shows statistics for the **entire world**. The upper half shows the reference event set and the number of events actually detected for each magnitude. The lower half shows the maximum likelihood detectability curve and its confidence limits. The actual percentage of detected events at each magnitude is also shown. The criteria for associating FEB events to NEIC events are given in the text.


**Fig. 7.1.3.** Maximum likelihood detectability estimation for the GSETT-2 network, using the NEIC monthly bulletin as a reference. This figure shows statistics for the **northern hemisphere**. The upper half shows the reference event set and the number of events actually detected for each magnitude. The lower half shows the maximum likelihood detectability curve and its confidence limits. The actual percentage of detected events at each magnitude is also shown. The criteria for associating FEB events to NEIC events are given in the text.



Fig. 7.1.4. Maximum likelihood detectability estimation for the GSETT-2 network, using the NEIC monthly bulletin as a reference. This figure shows statistics for the southern hemisphere. The upper half shows the reference event set and the number of events actually detected for each magnitude. The lower half shows the maximum likelihood detectability curve and its confidence limits. The actual percentage of detected events at each magnitude is also shown. The criteria for associating FEB events to NEIC events are given in the text.

•

# 7.2 Regional detection and location performance during GSETT-2: Initial results for the Fennoscandian array network

# Introduction

In this paper a preliminary assessment is made of the event detection and location capability during GSETT-2 for Fennoscandia and NW Russia. This is the region that had maybe the best instrumental coverage during the experiment. In particular the regional arrays deployed in this area made significant contributions.

Our results for this region represent in a sense the "best" regional performance during GSETT-2. It is in no way representative for the performance on a global or more extended regional scale. However, it does serve to illustrate the potential capabilities of a monitoring network, assuming that an adequate density and number of high quality, sensitive array stations are deployed.

This investigation is composed of three separate studies. Firstly, we describe the results of a detectability study, where the reference data base is the seismic bulletin published by the University of Helsinki, Finland. The second study is also on detectability, and here the reference data base is the bulletin published by the University of Bergen, Norway. The third part of the investigation is a study on event location performance, where event locations in the FEBs are compared with locations published in the Helsinki bulletin.

# Detectability study: Comparisons with the bulletin of the University of Helsinki

## Method

The method used for detectability estimation has been described by Ringdal (1975):

- A reference system, independent of the system to be evaluated, is used. Event lists and magnitudes from this reference system are compiled.
- For each reference event, a comparison is made to see if the system to be evaluated has detected the event.
- Based on the number of detections/no detections at each magnitude, a maximum likelihood "detection curve" is estimated.

## Reference network

The reference data base for this study has been the catalogue of seismic events in northern Europe regularly compiled by the Seismological Institute, University of Helsinki.

The stations used in compiling this catalogue are in almost all cases comprised on the Finnish seismic network single stations. For all practical purposes, the compilation is independent of the regional arrays in Fennoscandia (NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA). The magnitudes quoted in the bulletin are likewise derived independently of the regional arrays, and comprise either duration magnitudes (in most cases) or local magnitudes.

67

These magnitudes are fairly consistent with magnitudes calculated by the Intelligent Monitoring System, while their relationship to teleseismic  $m_b$  estimates is at present not well established.

For the month of May 1991, upon which this analysis is based, the reference catalogue contained 321 seismic events in the region bounded by 58°-70°N, 20°-40°E, of which 108 had an assigned magnitude in the range 1.7-2.9.

# <u>Results</u>

The initial results from the detectability study are summarized in Figs. 7.2.1-7.2.2 (see also Ringdal, 1991). The figures are based upon analyst comparison of the reference events with bulletin reports according to the criteria:

# a) **NDC-reported event:**

In Fig. 7.2.1, an event is considered detected if it was reported with 2 phases (P and S; or P and Lg) by at least one of the three regional arrays (NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA). In terms of GSETT-2 final event bulletins, this means that the event was either located as a multi-station event, or listed as an NDC-reported event. We note that the 50% threshold is close to 1.7, and the 90% threshold is 2.3 in this case.

# b) **FEB-reported events**:

In Fig. 7.2.2, the FEB-reported events, located by at least one IDC, are shown. (We have not counted as detected events those events whose definition depended upon reportings from the Finnish network stations KAF and VAF, since these two stations were part of the reference network.) This added requirement has the effect of increasing the 50% threshold to 2.1, and the 90% threshold to 2.4.

# Detectability study: Comparisons with the bulletin of the University of Bergen

## Reference network

ê

The seismic bulletin published by the Institute of Solid Earth Physics of the University of Bergen, Norway, has been the reference data base for this study.

The stations used by the University of Bergen in compiling their bulletin are shown in Fig. 7.2.3. The events reported in this bulletin are basically confined to southwestern and northern Norway, the North Sea and the continental margin to the west of Norway. This bulletin thus very suitably supplements the Helsinki bulletin with respect to coverage of Fennoscandian seismic events.

Detections from NORESS and ARCESS are to a certain extent used in compilation of the University of Bergen bulletin. However, only events that were reported without use of NORESS/ARCESS readings, or that would have been reported even if NORESS/ ARCESS data were not used (i.e., events for which the University of Bergen network alone had a sufficient number of phases), were used as reference events. The magnitudes

•

given in the University of Bergen bulletin are duration magnitudes. These magnitude values are generally higher than those calculated by the Intelligent Monitoring System (typically by 0.5 magnitude units).

For the GSETT-2 period, 22 April - 2 June 1991, the University of Bergen bulletin contains 83 events satisfying the criteria mentioned above (a couple of small events vcry close to the JMI network are excluded from consideration). The coda magnitudes are in the range 0.3-4.0.

## <u>Results</u>

For each of the 83 events in the reference data base, we checked whether or not the event was reported during GSETT-2 by the Norwegian NDC. Such reports of local/regional events always included a FOCUS line, and the event origin time and geographical coordinates were based on at least one P- and one S-phase from either NORESS or ARCESS. The results from this study are presented in Fig. 7.2.4, where the detectability curve has been computed in accordance with the method outlined above.

We can see from Fig. 7.2.4 that the 50% threshold is close to 1.5, and that the 90% threshold is a little less than 2.5. These results should be compared to those in Fig. 7.2.1, which were obtained for the same reporting criterion (two phases on at least one array). Taking into account that the University of Bergen magnitudes are slightly higher than those reported by IMS and the University of Helsinki, it appears that 90% event detection probability of the Fennoscandian regional array network is at magnitude 2.5 or lower across the entire Fennoscandia from the Norwegian continental shelf to northwestern Russia.

It should be noted that for three events counted as detected events in Fig. 7.2.4, only a single P phase was reported by the Norwegian NDC during GSETT-2. For one of these events, an Sn phase was also automatically detected, but by mistake not reported during GSETT-2. For the other two events, there were no automatic S-phase detections, but inspection of the associated waveforms reveals the presence of a regional event that could and would have been reported as such given more time and resources during the NDC analysis stage.

Event location performance: Comparison between the FEBs and the University of Helsinki bulletin

# <u>Data base</u>

To evaluate the regional event location performance for Fennoscandia and northwestern Russia, we again selected as a reference data base the bulletin published by the Institute of Seismology of the University of Helsinki. For the GSETT-2 test period 22 April - 2 June 1991, altogether 430 local and regional events were reported in the Helsinki bulletins, and 257 of these events were also found in the "IDC section" of the corresponding FEBs. (Two different solutions were given for two of these events, thus resulting in a total of 259 events in the FEB data base.)

69

۰.

It must be noted that the two data bases are not entirely independent relative to each other: The FEB events located in Finland were generally composed of reported or added readings from the stations KAF, VAF (part of the reference network) and the FINESA array. However, this interdependence does not represent a problem in the study on event location performance reported on here.

The 257 reference events comprised six earthquakes, one in central Finland, one in the Svalbard region, and four in the North Sea/Norwegian Sea, while the remaining events were presumed regional explosions of low magnitude. Fig. 7.2.5 shows the epicenters of the events, together with the stations of the Finnish Seismograph Network.

Due to the relatively high mining activity in the region, a normal practice in producing the Helsinki bulletin is to apply a brief reporting, i.e., manually determined source information with no phase readings, to events from the known sites. However, epicenters for the events reported by the Finnish NDC during GSETT-2 were determined using an iterative location procedure.

According to the estimated location accuracy, the reference events were divided into five groups:

# **Group I**

Nineteen quarry blasts from seven Finnish mines or quarries. The blasts were confirmed by the responsible authorities, and the location was reported with an accuracy of better than  $\pm$  500 m. In the Helsinki bulletin, the complete location procedure was applied for 14 of these events, and a true location accuracy of 4.2  $\pm$  3 km was calculated for this group.

# **Group II**

Events located in the area where the coverage of the reference network is good (approximately  $60^{\circ}$ - $66^{\circ}$ N and  $22^{\circ}$ - $29^{\circ}$ E). The average station-to-epicenter distance is 150 km. As the events in group I also belong to this group, a reasonable estimate for the location accuracy is  $\pm 5$  km.

# **Group III**

Events located at the edges of the Finnish network, including the coast of Estonia. The average epicentral distance is 250 km. Events from the known mines in northern Sweden and northwestern Russia -- reported with manually determined epicenters in the Helsinki bulletin -- are also included in this group. The accuracy of the Helsinki bulletin location is estimated to be  $\pm$  10 km.

# **Group IV**

Events in northern Fennoscandia, northwestern Russia and the Baltic Sea, the average epicentral distance being 450 km. Estimated accuracy of the location in the Helsinki bulletin is  $\pm 15$  km.

# Group V

Five earthquakes off continental Fennoscandia, in the Norwegian Sea and the North Sea. The events are far from the reference network, the average distance being 1000 km. However, as these event reports contain also readings from other seismological institutes in the region (13-30 stations were used in the epicenter determination), a reasonable estimate for the location accuracy is  $\pm$  20 km.

# **Results from the FEB analysis**

Table 7.2.1 shows the number of FEB events in each of the five groups versus the EIDC responsible for the representative solution. In Table 7.2.1 it is noteworthy that 61 per cent of the representative solutions for Fennoscandian events originated from the WAS EIDC. The median value plus 25% and 75% quadratiles (Q) for differences between the FEB solution and the true location (group I) or the reference location (groups II-V) are also given in Table 7.2.1.

Group	CNB	MOS	STO	WAS	Total	Median	25% Q	75% Q	
						( <b>k</b> m)	(km)	(km)	
Í	6	-	-	13	19	10.2	6.2	22.6	
II	10	-	3	40	53	13.6	8.1	24.3	
III	45	6	23	91	165	29.0	15.1	49.3	
IV	-	-	4	13	17	36.6	17.7	53.7	
V	1	-	2	2	5	42.5	27.5	87.7	
Total	62	6	32	159	259				

**Table 7.2.1.** Location statistics for the regional events.

From Table 7.2.1 we make the following observations:

# Group I events:

The median FEB location error (relative to true location) is 10.2 km. This can be compared to a true location error of 4.2 km obtained by using Finnish network data.

## **Group II events:**

Here, the reference locations are estimated to be accurate to  $\pm 5$  km. The median FEB location "error" relative to these estimates is 13.6 km. Thus the FEB performance is similar to Group I events. (Estimate of "absolute" error is  $\sqrt{13.6^2-5^2}$  km = 12.6 km.)

# **Group III events:**

Here, the reference locations are estimated to be accurate to  $\pm 10$  km. The median FEB location "error" is 29.0 km. An estimate of "absolute" error is  $\sqrt{29^2 - 10^2}$  km = 27.2 km. Thus Group III events have clearly inferior location accuracy compared to Groups I and II.

# **Group IV events:**

Here, the reference locations are estimated to be accurate to  $\pm 15$  km. The median FEB location "error" relative to these estimates is 36.6 km. An estimate of the "absolute" error is  $\sqrt{36.6^2 - 15^2}$  km = 33.4 km. This is slightly higher than for Group III.

## Group V events:

Here, the number of events is too low to compute any meaningful statistics, but the FEB performance seems to be not very different from Group IV events.

A closer inspection of the FEBs shows that the location accuracy varied considerably between different EIDCs. The scatter can partly be explained by the different degree of experience with the analysis of data from this region. For example, some EIDCs did not place any constraints on the event depth in the location procedure for many of the events dealt with here.

Part of the location differences are due to the different velocity models used in the Helsinki bulletin and at the EIDCs. To illustrate this, we have plotted in Fig. 7.2.6 the difference in epicentral distance derived from the WAS EIDC standard travel-time tables and the Helsinki velocity model used in the Helsinki bulletin. As can be seen from the figure, differences up to 11 km exist at the regional distance range. The differences may be even greater in case other velocity models are applied.

## **Conclusions**

The regional evaluation of detection results from GSETT-2 presented here shows that in a region with dense coverage of high-quality array stations as in Fennoscandia, it is possible to detect seismic events at very low magnitudes.

The 90 per cent threshold of around magnitude 2.5 found in this study for different parts of Fennoscandia must of course be considered with the appropriate caution: Thus it refers to regional magnitude scales that currently are not well calibrated in terms of global magnitude. Also, in other geological environments, the wave propagation and array noise suppression characteristics may be different. Therefore, it is not known to which extent such results would be possible to duplicate in other parts of the world.

Evaluation of the regional event location performance in Fennoscandia showed that in an area where the coverage of the GSETT-2 network is good, the location accuracy approaches that obtained by national networks.

:•

There are indications that the location errors may be reduced by using regional velocity models. In addition, knowledge on the characteristics of seismicity in the region would further improve the results.

# S. Mykkeltveit M. Uski, Univ. of Helsinki, Finland

# References

Ringdal, F. (1975): On the estimation of seismic detection thresholds, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 65, 1631-1642.

Ringdal, F. (1991): Regional detection performance during GSETT-2: Initial results for the Fennoscandian array network. Semiann. Tech. Summ., 1Mar - 30 Sep 1991, NORSAR Sci. Rep. 1-91/92, Nov 91, NORSAR, Kjeller, Norway.



Fig. 7.2.1. Maximum likelihood detectability estimation for Fennoscandia-NW Russia using the Univ. of Helsinki bulletin as a reference. The upper half shows the reference event sct and the number of events actually detected for each magnitude. The lower half shows the maximum likelihood detectability curve and its confidence limits. The actual percentage of detected events at each magnitude is also shown. This figure is based upon a one-array detection requirement.

74



Fig. 7.2.2. Maximum likelihood detectability estimation for Fennoscandia-NW Russia using the Univ. of Helsinki bulletin as a reference. The upper half shows the reference event set and the number of events actually detected for each magnitude. The lower half shows the maximum likelihood detectability curve and its confidence limits. The actual percentage of detected events at each magnitude is also shown. This figure is based upon FEB reported events as discussed in the text.



Fig. 7.2.3. The map shows the stations of the WWN and SEISNOR networks operated by the University of Bergen and used in their bulletin work. Note that BLS, FOO and JMI are small networks comprising 4, 3 and 2 stations, respectively, and that MOR and KTK are small-aperture (0.5 km) 6-element arrays. The locations of NORESS and ARCESS are also shown.



**Fig. 7.2.4.** Maximum likelihood detectability estimation for western Norway using the Univ. of Bergen bulletin as a reference. The upper half shows the reference event set and the number of events actually detected for each magnitude. The lower half shows the maximum likelihood detectability curve and its confidence limits. The actual percentage of detected events at each magnitude is also shown. This figure is based upon a one-array detection requirement.

77

May 1992

۰.



Fig. 7.2.5. Epicenters of the 257 reference events common to the Helsinki bulletin and the FEBs, and stations of the Finnish Seismograph Network. One earthquake (79.89°N, 24.23°E) lies outside the range of the map.

78



Fig. 7.2.6. The difference between the epicentral distances  $D_{HEL}$  and  $D_{WAS}$  plotted versus  $D_{HEL}$ .  $D_{HEL}$  is a distance calculated from the Helsinki velocity model using the travel-time difference of the first arriving P- and S-pair.  $D_{WAS}$  is the corresponding distance obtained from the WAS EIDC velocity model.

79

# 7.3 GSETT-2 Evaluation: Detection of aftershocks from the W. Caucasus earthquake of 29 April 1991

## Introduction

On 29 April 1991 a large earthquake ( $M_s = 7.3$ ) occurred in western Caucasus, with coordinates 42.453N, 43.673E, h = 17 km (NEIC).

The earthquake was followed by a large number of aftershocks. According to the catalogue of Starovoit et al (1992), 114 aftershocks were recorded on the day of the main shock (29 April) and 360 aftershocks had been recorded by the end of May.

The earthquake occurred early during GSETT-2 (main phase), and caused a considerable load at the NDCs as well as EIDCs. The day 29 April has been selected as one of the days for which reprocessing will be made at EIDCs. Consequently, this day is useful for study-ing the performance of the experimental global system during a day of particularly high seismic activity.

# Method

In this paper we address the detection capability of the system in place during GSETT-2, and compare with NEIC bulletins. We use the method of Ringdal (1975), whereby the system to be evaluated is compared with an independent reference system. The reference is in this case provided by the catalogue of Starovoit et al (1992). The event sizes in that catalogue are quoted in terms of the K-value of each event. The K-value is related to  $M_s$  by the formula

$$K = M_{\rm s} \cdot 1.8 + 4.0 \tag{1}$$

We have converted all K-values to  $M_s$  using (1) prior to applying the maximum-likelihood estimation technique.

# Data

Table 7.3.1 summarizes the number of detected events by the various systems. We note that the two EIDCs for which we had data (reprocessed CELs from Stockholm and Washington) had a very similar performance, and reported about half of the events in the reference catalogue. NEIC reported only one third of the reference events in their monthly bulletin. The rapid QED service (Quick Epicenter Determination) reported very few of the events.

Note that the QED follows approximately the same time schedule as the CELs and FEB. Therefore, a comparison between the QED and the final CEL is of interest. We note, however, that the revised CELs were compiled with a delay of many months.

May 1992

# Detectability

The results of the detectability study are summarized in Figs. 7.3.1-6.3.4 and Table 7.3.2.

Figs. 7.3.1-7.3.2 show the detectability estimates for the GSETT-2 revised CEL (STOIDC) and NEIC. The data cover aftershocks during the day 29 April. The detectability of GSETT-2 is better than NEIC by at least one half magnitude unit. However, it is noteworthy that almost all of the "larger" events missed by either system were earthquakes within 3 hours of the main shock.

In light of this observation, we also computed detectability statistics for the time interval 12-24 GMT on 29 April, i.e., excluding the first 3 hours after the main shock. The results are shown in Figs. 7.3.3-7.3.4, and show improvements for both systems. In particular, the improvement is significant for NEIC.

# Conclusion

The detectability of the GSETT-2 system for the W. Caucasus earthquake sequence is better than that of NEIC. The difference is particularly significant during the first 3 hours after the main shock.

It appears that a main reason for this good GSETT-2 performance is the reporting by sensitive regional arrays. It was also helpful to have a local station (KIV) at only 2 degrees distance, but it appears that almost all of the events would have been reported even without KIV data. However, the KIV data undoubtedly contributed to improving the location accuracy of the GSETT-2 reportings.

# F. Ringdal

## References

- Ringdal, F. (1975): On the estimation of seismic detection thresholds, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 65, 1631-1642.
- Starovoit et al (1992): Catalog of aftershocks of the West Caucasus earthquake of 29 April 1991.

# Number of Events

Starovoit et al catalogue	115
Stockholm CEL (revised)	63
Washington CEL (revised)	57
NEIC monthly list	35
QED list	6

**Table 7.3.1.** Earthquakes reported for 29 April 1991, Caucasus sequence. For the two CELs in the table, only events confirmed by the Starovoit et al catalogue have been counted.

All events on 29 April:	μ.	σ	μ <sub>90</sub>	
GSETT-2 revised CEL	3.62	0.28	3.98	
NEIC	4.07	0.37	4.55	
Events during 1200-2400 on 29 April:				
GSETT-2 revised CEL	3.58	0.22	3.86	
NEIC	3.86	0.10	3.99	

**Table 7.3.2.** Detectability estimates for Caucasus sequence, in terms of  $M_s$  computed from Starovoit et al (1992). Note that  $\mu$  is the 50% incremental detection threshold, and  $\mu_{90}$  is the 90% threshold

#### NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-91/92

May 1992



Fig. 7.3.1. Detectability results for GSETT-2 revised CEL; 29 April: Detectability estimate for W. Caucasus aftershocks using the catalogue of Starovoit et al (1992) as reference. The upper part shows the number of reference events at each magnitude, with the hatched columns indicating the number of detections. The lower part is a maximum likelihood detection curve.

NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-91/92

May 1992



**Fig. 7.3.2.** Detectability results for NEIC bulletin; 29 April: Detectability estimate for W. Caucasus aftershocks using the catalogue of Starovoit et al (1992) as reference. The upper part shows the number of reference events at each magnitude, with the hatched columns indicating the number of detections. The lower part is a maximum likelihood detection curve.



**Fig. 7.3.3.** Detectability results for GSETT-2 revised CEL; 29 April 1200-2400: Detectability estimate for W. Caucasus aftershocks using the catalogue of Starovoit et al (1992) as reference. The upper part shows the number of reference events at each magnitude, with the hatched columns indicating the number of detections. The lower part is a maximum likelihood detection curve. NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-91/92

May 1992



Fig. 7.3.4. Detectability results for NEIC bulletin; 29 April 1200-2400: Detectability estimate for W. Caucasus aftershocks using the catalogue of Starovoit et al (1992) as reference. The upper part shows the number of reference events at each magnitude, with the hatched columns indicating the number of detections. The lower part is a maximum likelihood detection curve.

# 7.4 Travel time corrections for a 3-D velocity model beneath the NORSAR array

## Introduction

For the purpose of improving the event location capability of the NORSAR array, Berteussen (1974) constructed a time correction table from average arrival time residuals for 94 different incident P-wave directions, and Berteussen (1976) concluded that almost all of the observed time residuals across the array can be corrected for by using this table, and therefore the residuals have their origin in the upper mantle and crust beneath the array. Time corrections are computed from this table by linear interpolation between nodes in incident slowness space, and this table is the one most frequently used for time corrections at NORSAR. Due to the very nonuniform distribution of earthquakes, interpolation is unavoidable in this kind of correction procedure. However, if the observed residuals could be explained in terms of subsurface structures, another type of correction table could be made by forward modeling the effects of such structures.

In one of the first applications of travel time tomography in seismology, Aki et al (1977) inverted the time residuals of the NORSAR time correction table for P-wave velocity perturbations beneath the array, and a similar experiment has been done with a slightly different and larger data set. Systematic analysis of this data set revealed the significant influence of diffraction effects like focusing and defocusing from low and high velocity zones, and diffraction effects have been taken into account, in a first-order approximation, using a reformulation of diffraction tomography (Ødegaard and Doornbos, 1992). Synthetic data have been computed for the velocity models produced by ordinary seismic tomography and by diffraction tomography, and from these data correction tables have been constructed. There is no further need for interpolation when using these correction tables. Some results concerning these tables are presented.

## Tomography

The basis of ordinary seismic tomography (ST) is the simple relation:

$$\delta \tau = \int_{ray} \delta s \cdot d\sigma$$

(1)

This equation states that a change in travel time is due to a slowness perturbation  $\delta s = -v^{-2} \delta v$  along the ray, and it predicts a time shift of a reference pulse  $u_0$ :

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x},t-\delta\tau) \tag{2}$$

Eq. (2) can be viewed as a smooth approximation since it is a valid expression if the slowness perturbation  $\delta s$  varies smoothly within the medium. The reformulation of diffraction tomography (DT), as derived by Doornbos (1992), predicts a diffraction term as a perturbation to the time-shifted reference pulse (which is the smooth approximation of ST):

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x},t-\delta\tau) + \int_{V} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \cdot \delta s \cdot dV$$
(3)

This reformulation alleviates some of the fundamental problems of both seismic and diffraction tomography. The velocity structure beneath the NORSAR array has been modeled down to a depth of 129 km using ST, Eq. (1), and using DT in the frequency domain with short-period (SP) subarray phase and amplitude residuals from 115 events, and longperiod (LP) phase residuals from 31 events. Synthetic data can be computed after forward modeling using Eq. (1) (ray travel times) and Eq. (3) (synthetic wave recordings). Further details concerning these methods are given by Ødegaard and Doornbos (1992).

#### Correction tables

SP P-wave time correction tables have been constructed for the ST model, using Eq. (1) (ray travel time), and the DT model, after using Eq. (3) with a 1 Hz damped sine reference pulse and iterative correlation between the synthetic array beams and subarray beams for 1.5 s time windows. The two low-pass filtered time correction tables for subarray 01A are plotted in Figs. 7.4.1 and 7.4.2, for slowness values less than 0.08 s/km, corresponding to epicenter distances greater than 30°. Figs. 7.4.3 and 7.4.4 display the predicted time residuals versus the observed time residuals for the two time correction tables and the 115 events. The ray travel time table constructed from the ST model predicts the observed time residuals slightly better than the DT correction table; the normalized squared error is 12% for the ST table and 19% for the DT table, and the correlation coefficient is 0.94 for the ST table and 0.91 for the DT model. For the purpose of constructing a time correction table, the ST model provides the best results. The ST model is given in Table 7.4.1. However, the DT model predicts the phase and amplitude spectra of the data significantly better than the ST model; the normalized squared error for the phase spectra is 20% for the ST model and 10% for the DT model, and the normalized squared error for the amplitude spectra is 75% for the ST model and 55% for the DT model (Ødegaard and Doornbos, 1992).

#### E. Ødegaard, Univ. of Oslo

# References

- Aki, K., A. Christoffersson and E.S. Husebye (1977): Determination of the three-dimensional structure of the lithosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 82, 277-296.
- Berteussen, K.-A. (1974): NORSAR location calibrations and time delay corrections. Sci. Rep. 2-73/74, NTNF/NORSAR, Kjeller, Norway.
- Berteussen, K.-A. (1976): The origin of slowness and azimuth anomalies at large arrays, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 66, 719-741.
- Doornbos, D.J. (1992): Diffraction and seismic tomography, Geophys. J. Int., 108, 256-266.
- Ødegaard, E. and D.J. Doornbos (1992): Seismic diffraction tomography of array data, J. *Geophys. Res.*, in press.

Laver	: 1 (0	)-15kı	m )	T	$v_0 = 0$	6.2 kı	n/s					
=	=	=	=	=	=	=	í =	=	=	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	=	0.8	-1.7	=	-0.4	=	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	0.5	-3.2	-0.9	=	-3.4	-0.2	=	=	=
=	=	0.4	-3.5	-4.5	=	3.5	0.1	0.9	1.1	0.2	=	=
=	=	-0.7	-4.9	-3.4	-1.5	-0.1	1.6	3.7	0.4	-1.5	=	=
=	=	=	-6.3	1.7	-1.0	1.8	0.9	0.6	-1.0	0.0	=	=
=	=	=	-3.2	2.3	4.1	4.7	3.0	1.9	-0.1	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	-3.9	0.7	3.6	1.5	-0.6	1.0	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	2.0	4.3	2.1	1.1	-0.1	-1.0	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	=	±	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
Laver	• 2 (1	5-341	(m)		v. —	691	m/s					
=	· • ( •	=		-	• 0 — ~		=	-	=	-	=	=
=	_	-	-	_	0 1	-0.8	=	_	_	=	_	-
-	=	_	-	-0.9	-1.9	0.0	-1.9	1.0	-0.5	=	=	=
=	=	0.4	-1.2	-2.7	0.6	-0.2	2.8	0.7	3.0	0.8	=	=
=	=	-1.2	-3.3	-2.4	0.3	-0.1	1.0	1.1	-0.2	2.5	=	=
=	=	-4.8	-6.8	0.5	2.2	0.9	-0.8	-0.7	-1.8	0.2	-1.1	=
=	=	1.6	-1.7	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.3	-0.5	-1.5	4.0	=	=
=	=	1.0	-3.2	-3.3	-2.2	2.7	0.7	-0.2	3.7	.=	=	=
=	=	=	0.2	2.5	0.2	1.0	2.7	-1.6	0.5	0.1	=	=
=	=	=	0.7	-0.4	-1.2	1.2	4.6	-0.3	1.8	0.2	=	=
=	=	=	=	0.1	-1.7	3.2	=	-0.4	1.3	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	±	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
Laver	• 3 (3	4-54]	(m)		$\mathbf{v}_{\circ} =$	8.1 1	m/s					
=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
=	=	=	=	5.6	-0.5	-2.6	-2.1	0.5	-4.3	=	=	=
=	=	=	1.7	-1.2	-1.1	1.1	1.5	2.0	4.2	-2.8	=	=
=	=	1.0	-0.1	-4.8	-2.1	-2.0	-1.5	-0.8	2.9	-0.3	-2.5	=
=	0.1	0.4	-2.7	0.8	0.5	-2.9	-2.2	-2.5	1.5	-0.3	-3.9	=
=	4.4	-1.2	1.8	-0.3	-4.5	0.0	0.9	1.6	0.7	2.1	3.4	-1.3
=	-0.2	-0.1	0.8	-0.9	0.1	-2.1	1.2	0.7	4.5	-0.1	4.1	=
=	3.5	-0.4	0.4	-0.3	-1.8	-3.6	-1.1	0.8	0.6	-2.9	0.0	=
=	=	1.3	-2.9	-0.7	0.2	0.1	-1.7	1.8	4.5	-0.9	=	**
=	=	-0.1	-5.6	-0.7	1.9	0.5	1.2	3.3	2.9	4.5	-	=
=	=	=	0.2	-2.5	-3.3	-1.5	-1.0	2.6	5.6	2.2	=	=
=	=	=	=	=	0.4	1.6	0.3	=	=	0.4	=	=
=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=

**Table 7.4.1.** The ST model. The depth interval and initial P-wave velocity  $v_0$  for each layer are given. Each value represents the velocity perturbation in %, relative to the initial value in a box with horizontal dimensions 15.4 x 15.4 km<sup>2</sup>. "=" denotes no coverage. Subarray 01A is situated at the center of the grid. (Page 1 of 2)

.

2

.

.

Laye	r 4 (	54-79	km)		$\mathbf{v}_0 =$	8.1	km/s					
=	=	=	é	-0.4	0.1	-1.2	-4.2	1.7	-3.6	-0.5	=	-
=	=	=	-0.5	1.6	0.8	-0.3	-1.4	-1.2	0.4	24	=	-
E	0.2	0.9	-0.9	1.3	1.4	-2.1	1.1	0.8	-1.4	-2.6	-1 6	-03
=	2.4	-1.0	-0.2	-2.3	-2.0	-0.2	0.5	0.0	1 4	-1 5	-2 3	-6.0
1.3	-2.0	0.4	-3.0	-3.4	0.6	-0.6	-1.7	-0.3	0.6	2.0	2.0	-3 3
3.9	-4.0	-1.0	-0.9	0.9	3.8	1.2	-0.1	-21	-0.4	3 0	5 1	-0.0
0.3	-1.7	-0.3	-1.3	0.0	1.2	0.3	-1.3	-0.5	0.4	0.0	5.2	2.1
2.0	-0.3	-1.3	-2.6	0.2	0.5	-1.5	-0.5	1.5	0.0	0.2	59	-0.4
2.0	1.8	-1.5	-3.2	-2.6	-2.2	0.7	3.3	1.5	1.8	-1.3	4 0	0.4
=	-2.0	1.9	-2.7	-5.2	-3.6	-2.0	1.3	5.4	3.4	-1.4	3.2	1 3
=	-0.3	-0.7	-4.0	-1.3	-2.4	-3.8	2.6	6.8	1.4	3.5	5.8	=
Ξ	=	=	-0.2	0.7	1.2	2.5	-0.1	-2.3	2.2	4.6	0 7	=
=	2	=	=	=	0.5	=	2.2	=	=	1.1	=	=
				. •								
Laver	5 (7	9-104	tkm)		$\mathbf{v}_{0} =$	= 8.1	km/s	;				
=5	=	0.0	-0.4	-1.1	-0.5	0.4	-0.1	-1.5	-1.4	-1.5	=	=
=	-0.3	-0.4	4.6	3.8	0.8	-1.7	-0.3	-1.9	-1.8	-1.8	-1.6	=
0.7	0.4	1.5	1.0	2.8	-1.6	0.2	-0.6	0.8	0.4	-1.1	-0.2	-1.5
=	2.2	-0.3	0.3	0.5	-2.4	-1.2	0.6	3.1	0.3	1.1	0.7	-3.4
1.2	1.2	-1.0	-1.5	-1.8	-2.4	-1.3	0.4	2.5	3.7	4.1	1.7	-1.2
2.2	-1.8	-3.1	-0.5	-3.3	-3.2	-2.9	-0.5	2.3	6.4	5.4	5.1	-1.1
-2.6	-2.2	-3.6	-2.3	0.6	-2.4	-2.8	-1.7	0.9	5.0	3.4	4.8	-0.2
-2.0	-6.7	-3.5	-2.5	-0.9	-2.5	-2.7	0.4	0.7	0.7	4.9	3.8	3.0
0.0	0.2	-3.3	-1.2	-1.7	-1.0	-2.3	-1.4	-0.1	2.0	3.6	3.4	8.2
0.9	-0.9	-0.5	0.2	1.2	-2.6	-1.5	-0.6	0.9	-3.3	1.9	3.6	7.7
-0.2	-3.2	-2.1	2.6	0.5	-0.2	0.5	0.0	-2.0	-2.1	-0.2	2.8	3.1
=	-1.3	0.3	-0.2	1.8	1.7	3.0	0.7	-4.2	-5.0	1.1	0.3	3.6
=	=	=	-1.3	0.1	2.5	-0.6	-0.6	1.1	-0.4	3.3	2.5	=
							·.					
Tawaw	C (1	04 19	0.1	`			Irm	/_				
Layer	0 (1	04-12	aakm'	/ ^ ^	ν <sub>0</sub>	- 0.1	L KIII /	5	2 2	-0.4	0 1	-0.8
-	1.0	-0.6	2.0	0.0	0.5	1 7	-2.0	-0.7	-3.3	-0.4	2.0	-0.8
=	5.8	9.2	-1.1	1.0	3.3	1.7	0.3	-0.4	-1.0	-0.9	2.0	0.0
2.1	5.0	2.9	3.3	0.4	4.9	5.0 7.6	3.0	0.4	2.1	1.0	0.7	-0.1
0.0	3.9	0.4 0.0	0.7	4.2	1.5	2.0	3.1	2.0	5.1	5.0	2.1	-0.1
1.0	0.7	-3.8	-3.5	-1.4	-1.0	~2.0	2.2	3.1	6.1	5.4	3.2	-0.1
0.3	~2.4	~4.0	-4.1	-5.7	-3.1	-0.0 7 /	-3.0	3.0	0.1	0.0	4.7	-0.1
-0.8	-2.1	-5.1	-9.0	~8.3	-3.0	-1.4	-3.4	-0.6	4.9	0.3	4.0	5.2
-1.0	-3.7	-2.6	-0.2	-3.4	-4.1	-1.0	-3.2	-0.5	2.0	3.2	2.0	5,9
0.1	-0.8	0.1	-2.8	-3.0	-1.0	1.1	-1.1	-1.1	-2.0	-0.0	3.1 9 E	о.ч лл
-2.0	0.1-	-0.2	-0.0	0.1	5.5 ¢ 0	3.0 2.6	-1.4	-3.9	-4.3	-0.9	2.0 0.7	4.4 2.2
-3.2	0.2	-1.1	4.4	2.1	0.0 79	2.0 2.1	-7.3	~~1.~1 _4 0	-1.9	-2.0	-0 1	Δ.J
-1.9	0.1	0.2	0.5	3.3 4 4	1 4	37	-0.6	-2 6	-39	-2 0	0 0	18

Table 7.4.1 (cont.) (Page 2 of 2).



Fig. 7.4.1. The low-pass filtered SP P-wave ray travel time corrections at subarray 01A, for the ST model (given in Table 7.4.1) and for slowness values less than 0.08 s/km. The SP sampling rate is 20 Hz, and the time delay is given in units of 0.05 s.

May 1992



Fig. 7.4.2. The low-pass filtered SP P-wave time corrections at subarray 01A for the DT model and a 1 Hz damped sine reference pulse.



Fig. 7.4.3. Predicted SP P-wave ray travel time residuals versus observed time residuals for the ST model. Symbol size is proportional to number of data.

94



Fig. 7.4.4. Predicted SP P-wave time residuals versus observed time residuals for the DT model and a 1 Hz damped sine reference pulse.

95

# Introduction

As the number of digital seismic stations around the world increases, it becomes more and more important to automate the data processing. Traditionally, the data processing has consisted of the following steps:

- Detection of phases at the individual stations.
- Extraction of parameters of the detected phases.
- Association of phases at the different stations to form events.
- Event location.

To be able to conduct automatic phase association and event location, initial identification and azimuth estimation of the detected phases are essential.

Using three-component data from NORESS and ARCESS, Suteau-Henson (1991) showed that P- and S-phases could be correctly classified from polarization attributes with a success rate of 82% for NORESS and 89% for ARCESS. P-wave azimuths at both stations were estimated with a standard deviation of 7-11°. At ARCESS, the S-wave azimuths had a standard deviation of 18-19°, although with a 180° ambiguity, whereas the scatter in the S-wave azimuths at NORESS were significantly larger (a standard deviation of 25° for  $L_g$  and 42° for  $S_n$ ).

Riviere-Barbier et al. (1992) conducted a similar study using three-component data from the IRIS/IDA stations in the former USSR. The results obtained from analysis of these 4 stations did not quite match the results obtained for NORESS and ARCESS, mainly due to more complex geology near the receivers. In both studies referenced above, the large differences in the wave propagation characteristics between the different regions required that the phase identification criteria be developed individually for each three-component station.

Despite the documented performance of different three-component processing schemes, there are to our knowledge no sparse three-component network where phase detection, phase association and event location are conducted in a completely automatic mode. It has, however, been demonstrated that the information provided by individual seismic arrays permits automatic phase association and event location using a network of array stations. The precise azimuth and apparent velocity estimates provided by f-k analysis of the array sensors constrain the use of the detected phases in the phase association procedure. Utilizing this information, the ESAL algorithm of the Intelligent Monitoring System (IMS) (Bache et al., 1990) produces routinely both regional and teleseismic event locations, using data from the 4 regional arrays in northern Europe (ARCESS, FINESA, GER-ESS and NORESS). Based on a somewhat different approach, the generalized beamforming method (GBF) (Ringdal and Kværna, 1989) produces automatically a regional bulletin using the same detection data.

.

A study of data recorded at the NORESS array (Kværna and Ringdal, 1992) showed that by supplementing a three-component station with a very small vertical sensor three-element array with a typical aperture of 300 meters, reliable phase identification could be obtained. The quite stable apparent velocity and azimuth estimates produced by f-k analysis of the 4 vertical sensors of this microarray, indicates that data from a network of such microarrays can be processed using existing phase associaton and event location algorithms.

In this contribution we will first evaluate the performance of microarrays at the ARCESS and FINESA sites. Although a separate study has been conducted at NORESS (Kværna and Ringdal, 1992), we will for comparison reevaluate the performance of a microarray at NORESS. Secondly, we will conduct network phase associaton and event location applying the GBF method to microarray data from ARCESS, FINESA and NORESS, see Fig. 7.5.1.

## Microarrays

For all three microarrays we conducted automatic detection processing and post-detection analysis for a period of 12 days (9-20 April 1992). The detection processing was similar to that used in the study of Kværna and Ringdal (1992).

The post-detection processing included broadband f-k array analysis (Esmersoy et al., 1985; Kværna and Doornbos, 1986) of each detected signal using the 4 vertical-component sensors of the microarrays. For the f-k analysis, we used a 5 sec. long data interval starting 0.5 sec. before the estimated onset time, and a frequency band similar to the filter band of the detecting beam.

To obtain a data base against which to evaluate our results, we extracted all seismic phases detected by the three full arrays and associated with regional events for the 12-day period. Results from the generalized beamforming procedure (GBF) (Ringdal and Kværna, 1989) were used in order to validate these reference events. *P*-coda detections and multiple *S*-phases were ignored, so that each event provided a maximum of 3 phases (*P*, *S* and  $L_g$ ). These phases were then matched to the detection lists produced by the microarrays, and the apparent velocity and azimuth estimates were compared.

The problem of false alarms is inevitably encountered when a detector is operated at a low detection threshold. In conducting automatic phase association and location it is critical to identify these false alarms. When processing the full arrays, phases with low apparent velocities (< 3.0 km/s) are generally discarded from further analysis. As the final step in the analysis of the individual microarrays, we evaluated their capability to identify phases with low apparent velocities. This was done by matching all detections of the microarray (both associated and unassociated phases) to the full array detection list, using the apparent velocitiy estimates of the full array as the reference.

# ARCESS

The geometry of the ARCESS microarray is given in Fig. 7.5. 2. The center instrument A0 is three-component, whereas A1-A3 are vertical only. The aperture is about 300 meters.

Fig. 7.5.3 shows the apparent velocity estimates derived from vertical sensors of the microarray for P phases (circles) and S phases (asterisks) for the reference data set of phases associated with regional events. Of the 303 phases analyzed, 79.2% were correctly classified as P or S when an apparent velocity of 5.8 km/s was used to separate the two classes. These results are not as good as those earlier published for the NORESS site (Kværna and Ringdal, 1992), where a success rate exceeding 95% was found. It is particularly significant that for epicentral distances less than 600 km, several P-phases have S-type apparent velocities on the microarray. It is most likely that this phenomenon is due to the near-receiver structure, although no studies have been conducted to map the structure in any detail.

We have attempted to improve the initial phase identification by adding an additional constraint on the parameter data. We have observed that due to the preceding P-coda, the Sphases have seldom a high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), whereas many of the P phases have high SNR. Based in these observations the following rule was introduced:

# Phases with SNR > 10 and apparent velocity > 4.5 km/s are P-phases.

This improved the percentage of correctly classified phases to 84.8%.

In this study we have not attempted to include any three-component polarization attributes in the initial phase identification, but tried to evaluate what can be achieved using only f-k analysis of the 4 vertical sensors. As mentioned in the introduction, Suteau-Henson (1991) showed that by using three-component data from ARCESS, *P*- and *S*-phases could be correctly classified with a success rate of 89%. This indicates that if we combine the polarization attributes derived from the three-component instrument of the microarray with the attributes derived by f-k analysis of the 4 vertical sensors, there may be a significant improvement in the number of correctly classified phases.

Figs. 7.5.4 and 7.5.5 show a comparison between the azimuth estimates computed by f-k analysis of the microarray and the azimuth to the epicenters of the reference data set (computed by the GBF algorithm), for P and S-phases, respectively. For the P-phases of Fig. 7.5.4 the median error is 10.4° and for the S-phases of Fig. 7.5.5 the median error is 6.8°. These results show that azimuth constraints can be actively used in the phase association and event location procedure.

As the final step in the evaluation of the ARCESS microarray we estimated its capability to identify noise detections (false alarms). The reference data here were all detections of the full array where the f-k spectra showed typical signal behavior with a pronounced peak. The results are presented in Table 7.5.1a. From this table it is seen that 75.4% of the evaluated detections were correctly classified applying broadband f-k analysis to the microarray data.

For the 303 phases verified to be associated with regional events, a similar statistics is given in Table 7.5.1b. The important information in this table is that no associated phases are interpreted by the microarray as noise-detections (an apparent velocity of 3.2 km/s is used to determine the upper bound on the class of noise detections). From Tables 1a and 1b it can thus be concluded that for the data set considered, 36.3% of all microarray detections at ARCESS can be discarded from the automatic phase association and event location processing without classifying any verified regional phases as noise.

# **FINESA**

The geometry of the FINESA microarray is given in Fig. 7.5.6. The sensors A1-A3 make an aperture of about 500 meters. The vertical component instrument A0 is not located at the center of the triangle, but is still the only candidate for a center instrument in the microarray. The three-component instrument is located at A1.

Fig. 7.5.7 shows the apparent velocity estimates derived from the four vertical sensors of the microarray or P phases (circles) and S phases (asterisks) for the reference data set of phases associated with regional events. Of the 355 phases analyzed, 78.6% were correctly classified as P or S when an apparent velocity of 5.8 km/s was used to separate the two classes. This is close to the success rate obtained for the ARCESS microarray. The majority of the events in the reference data base are found in the active mining areas in Estonia and western Russia, in a distance range between 150 and 250 km from the FINESA site. This is clearly seen on Fig. 7.5.7.

Another feature of Fig. 7.5.7 is the occurrence of P-phases with very low apparent velocities in the same 150-250 km distance range. By comparing Figs. 7.5.6 and 7.5.2 we find that the aperture of the FINESA microarray is about 200 meters larger than the aperture of the ARCESS microarray. When processing local and regional phases with high dominant frequencies at the FINESA microarray, broad-band f-k analysis will suffer from spatial aliasing and the lack of coherency between the sensors, and some P-phases will therefore come out with low apparent velocities. We can overcome this problem by lowering the frequency band for f-k analysis or alternatively reduce the sensor spacing, but such steps have not been taken in this study. Note that for distances exceeding 400 km, the separation between P and S-phases are excellent.

Fig. 7.5.8 and Fig. 7.5.9 show a comparison between the azimuth estimates computed by f-k analysis of the microarray and the azimuth to the epicenters of the reference data set (computed by the GBF algorithm), for P and S-phases, respectively. For the P-phases of Fig. 7.5.8 the median error is 13.4° and for the S-phases of Fig. 7.5.9 the median error is 8.5°. This is more than observed at the ARCESS array. The apparent alignment of P-wave azimuth estimates at about 150° (see Fig. 7.5.8) is also related to the problem with the lack of coherency and spatial aliasing at high frequencies. For such phases the f-k analysis often results in a low apparent velocity and an azimuth close to 150°.

In Table 7.5.2a we present results from analysis of all detections at the FINESA microarray for the 12-day period. As for ARCESS we used the all full array detections where the f-k spectra showed typical signal behavior with a pronounced peak. 70.1% of the microar-

ray detections were correctly classified, which is less than at ARCESS. For the 355 phases verified to be associated with regional events a statistics similar to that of Table 7.2.1b is given in Table 7.5.2b. Both Tables 7.5.2a and 7.5.2b show that a significant number of *P*-and *S*-phases obtain low apparent velocities from f-k analysis of the microarray. This implies that if we were to discard detections with low apparent velocities from further analysis, we would also miss some of the real *P*- and *S*-phases, and that the total benefit from discarding the low-velocity detections in the case of FINESA is very moderate.

## NORESS

Although the performance of a microarray at NORESS has been evaluated in a separate study (Kværna and Ringdal, 1992), we will for comparison reevaluate its capability using the common 12-day data set. Fig. 7.5.10 shows the geometry of the NORESS microarray, which is similar to that of ARCESS.

Fig. 7.5.11 shows the apparent velocity estimates derived from the 4 vertical sensors of the microarray for P phases (circles) and S phases (asterisks) for the reference data set of phases associated with regional events. Of the 164 phases analyzed, 93.3 % were correctly classified as P or S when an apparent velocity of 6.0 km/s was used to separate the two classes. This confirms the results of the study of (Kværna and Ringdal, 1992).

Figs. 7.5.12 and 7.5.13 show a comparison between the azimuth estimates computed by fk analysis of the microarray and the azimuth to the epicenters of the reference data set (computed by the GBF algorithm), for *P* and *S*-phases, respectively. For the *P*-phases of Fig. 7.5.12 the median error is 14.0° and for the *S*-phases of Fig. 7.5.13 the median error is  $6.5^{\circ}$ .

Table 7.5.3a gives results from analysis of all detections at the NORESS microarray for the 12-day period. 77.0% of the microarray detections were correctly classified, which is somewhat less than the percentage obtained by Kværna and Ringdal (1992). 11.2% of the detections were classified as noise which is significantly less than the percentage obtained by Kværna and Ringdal (1992). This difference can be explained by a difference in the noise field, as there are time intervals at NORESS when the number of detections with low apparent velocity increases substantially due to increased water flow and industrial activity in the nearby regions (Kværna, 1990). As was done for the other two microarrays we alse computed a statistics for the phases verified to be associated with regional events. We find from Table 7.5.3b that only one of the associated phases is interpreted as noise when an apparent velocity of 3.2 km/s is used as the upper bound on the class of noise detections.

## Summary

We have found that seismic microarrays at the ARCESS and FINESA sites do not match the NORESS microarray performance in separating P- and S-phases based on the apparent velocity estimates. The percentage of correctly classified regional phases were for ARCESS 79.2%, for FINESA 78.6% and for NORESS 93.3%. The success rate for ARCESS was increased to 84.8% when an additional constraint based on SNR and apparent velocity was placed on the definition of P-phases. No attempt has been made to
include three-component data or context-sensitive information in the initial phase identification, although the potential for improvement is significant (Suteau-Henson, 1991, Riviere-Barbier et al., 1992).

A summary of the different success rates and median errors is given in Table 4. For direct comparison with the results of Riviere-Barbier et al. (1992), we have also included the percentage of phases with azimuth differences within  $25^{\circ}$ .

The simple procedure of using apparent velocity estimates to classify P- and S-phases is very different from the complex classification criteria used at three-component stations (Suteau-Henson, 1991, Riviere-Barbier et al., 1992). For the three microarrays analyzed, almost the same classification criterion could be applied to each site. The only difference was that at NORESS an apparent velocity of 6.0 km/s ws used to separate P and S, whereas at ARCESS and FINESA 5.8 km/s was used. This indicates that at microarrays, very little data and data analysis is required to make initial phase identification work properly. In the two studies of three-component data referenced above it was found that the polarization characteristics of seismic phases were strongly site dependent, and that consequently an extensive data set had to be collected at each station in order to find usable criteria for initial phase identification.

The topic for the next section is to test whether the results presented above are of sufficient merit to allow reliable, automatic phase association and event location using data from a network of microarrays.

#### Phase association and event location using microarray data

We will in this work apply the generalized beamforming (GBF) method (Ringdal and Kværna, 1989) for associating phases and locating regional events using the detections from the three microarrays. The method is currently in routine use at NORSAR for processing data from the 4 regional arrays in northern Europe (ARCESS. FINESA, GERESS and NORESS), and our attempt will be to process the microarray data without introducing major changes in the processing parameters of the now operational version of the GBF algorithm. For details on the method we refer to a documentation report now in progress. However, we will in the following briefly outline the basic principles.

#### The GBF algorithm

The basic idea behind the GBF method is to associate detected phases to form seismic events by counting the number of phases that match a hypothetical event at a given target (beam) location at a given origin time. In order to avoid interfering phases that do not belong to the event in question, we impose constraints as part of the phase matching process. The most important constraints of the current operational GBF method are the following:

- Constraint on phase type (P, S and noise) based on apparent velocity estimates.
- Constraint on azimuth to epicenter from actual phase azimuth estimates.

- Constraint on distance to epicenter inferred from values of apparent velocity and dominant frequency.
- Constraint on the allowable phase type based on the pattern of phase and coda detections for local and regional events.
- Constraint on distance to epicenter from the pattern of *P* and *S*-phases for local and regional events.

When processing the microarrays with the GBF method, we only introduced one single modification to the current operational GBF parameters. This was by adding the possibility of redefining S-phases to P- phases at ARCESS (see section on the ARCESS microarray). We might as well have tuned the GBF parameters more specifically towards processing microarrays, but as one of our intentions was to check the robustness of the GBF algorithm, we initially avoided such fine tuning.

#### Reference events

The events declared by the GBF algorithm from processing of the full regional arrays ARCESS, FINESA and NORESS were used as a reference data base for the 12 day period (9-20 April 1992). The GBF output was manually checked for inconsistencies, and false events were removed. This resulted in 428 reference events, and those with magnitude above 1.5 are shown on the map of Fig. 7.5.14. Note the large number of mining explosions on the Kola peninsula and in Estonia. The magnitudes  $M_L$  were computed using the formula of Båth (1981), and in the cases where several arrays detected S-phases, the magnitudes were averaged.

The reference locations should be used with caution, as the event waveforms have not been interactively analyzed.

#### Event detectability

As a definition of a reference event found by GBF processing of the microarray network we have used the following criterion:

## If the difference in event location is less than 400 km and the difference in origin time is less than 120 seconds, the event is declared as detected by the microarray network.

The motivation behind using such wide acceptance limits is that all of these events will be flagged as candidates for subsequent interactive analysis, such that errors in phase association and timing of the detected phases can be corrected by the analyst.

Fig. 7.5.15 illustrates the event detectability as a function of distance to the closest array. Detected events are marked as stars, whereas non-detected events are shown by circles. A total number of 261 events (61%) were found by the microarray network. It is seen that beyond 600 km, no event with magnitude less than 2.0 is detected, whereas just below this distance limit events close to magnitude 1.0 are detected. We will therefore in the following proceed with a detectability study for events within 600 km of the closest array. Having the the map of Fig. 7.5.14 in mind, this constitute a geographical region covering a

triangle with the three microarrays in the corners extended by a circle sector of 600 km radius around each microarray. An area as defined above will also be the typical size of a region of interest for possible future microarrays.

A maximum likelihood estimation of event detectability (Ringdal, 1975) of the region defined above is presented in Fig. 7.5.16. From the number of detections/no detections at each magnitude, the 50% and 90% incremental detection thresholds are inferred. The 90% threshold is about  $M_L = 1.8$ , whereas the 50% threshold is found to be about  $M_L = 0.8$ . These numbers are further confirmed by comparing with the seismic bulletin of the University of Helsinki, Finland.

For an event to be defined by the GBF algoritm, a minimum of two defining phases are required. This might be, for example, a *P* and an *S* at one array or two *P*-phases at two arrays. In the previous section discussing the performance of each microarray, we found that a significant number of seismic phases were discarded from GBF processing due to erroneous estimates of apparent velocity and azimuth. In such cases where the azimuth or apparent velocity estimates fall outside the allowable range for GBF processing, it will often happen that a coda detection is used as a defining phase. This exploitation of redundant detections is one of the strong features of the GBF algorithm leading to the good event detectability of the microarray network, although the phase associations and the corresponding event location will not always be perfect.

## Location differences

For 249 microarray events located within 600 km of the closest array, a histogram of the location difference between the microarray network and the full array network is given in Fig. 7.5.17. The median difference of the population is 47.4 km. The causes of the location differences can be divided into three types:

- 1. Differences in estimates of phase arrival times.
- 2. Use of coda phases as defining phases.
- 3. Occasionally, erroneous phase association.

Type 1 and 2 will in most cases result in minor to modest location differences, whereas type 3 often will cause large deviations.

By dividing the population into events with the same number of associated phases (microarray network), we obtain the distribution of Table 5. It is seen that the differences are generally reduced when the number of associated phases increase. This is due to the fact that the likelihood of erroneous phase association (type 3) is reduced when the number of associated phases increase.

#### False events

In practical operation of any phase association and event location algorithm, it is essential that the number of false events is kept at a moderate level. Our experience with the GBF algorithm applied to the full array network is that the number of false events is rather low.

٠. .

A false alarm rate of 26% is found for the automatic GBF algorithm applied to the microarray network (see Table 7.5.6), which is a number that does not present a problem in an analyst review situation. No event with more than 3 associated phases (irrespective of number of arrays) were false (see Table 7.5.7), and the vast majority of the false events were one-array events with two defining phases (a P and an S-phase). From Table 7.5.8 it is clearly seen that most of the false 1-array events were found at the FINESA array. This is in accordance with our finding that the FINESA microarray had the lowest success rate in classifying P and S- phases from apparent velocity estimates.

#### Summary

It has been demonstrated that information derived from a sparse network of seismic microarrays (interstation distance  $\sim 1000$  km) permits successful automatic phase association and regional event location using the GBF algorithm. The apparent velocity and azimuth estimates of the detected phases found by f-k analysis of the 4 vertical-component sensors of each microarray place strong constraints on the use of the detected phases. This enables subsequent GBF processing of the detection data to be performed with good event detectability combined with a low number of false events.

Although the initial phase identification based of the apparent velocity estimates from time to time resulted in mis-classification of the phases, the robustness of the GBF algorithm prevented events from being missed. The robustness was also accentuated by the fact that except for one change, the microarray network could be processed with the same parameter settings as the full array network.

For 249 events located within 600 km of the closest array, the median difference between automatic locations by the full array network and by the microarray network was only 47.4 km.

Information from the three-component sensors of the microarrays has not been used in this study, but the work of Suteau-Henson (1991) and Riviere-Barbier et al. (1992), indicate that further improvements in event detectability, correctness of phase association and consequently in event location can be achieved if this information is utilized.

Out of the 353 events formed after automatic GBF processing of the microarray network, only 92 (26%) were found to be false, a number that is easily handled in an analyst review situation. All events with 4 or more defining phases were real. The vast majority of the false events were associated with detections at the FINESA array.

In order to handle the large data volumes produced by modern digital seismic networks, a high degree of automated processing is essential. We have in this work shown that in Fennoscandia a sparse network of microarrays allows for such automated processing. Very little data collection and data analysis needs to be done to tune the parameters for the

algorithms for automatic phase association and event location. The Fennoscandian Shield constitutes a rather simple and homogeneous geological province, and it would therefore be if interest to investigate microarray performances in more complex geological environments.

## T. Kværna

### References

- Bache, T., S. R. Bratt, J. Wang, R.M. Fung, C. Kobryn and J. W. Given (1990), The Intelligent Monitoring System, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 80, Part B, 1833-1851.
- Båth, M. (1981): Local magnitude-recent research and current trends, Earth-Science Rev., 17, 315-398.
- Esmersoy, L., V. F. Cormier and M. N. Toksöz (1985). Three component array processing, In The VELA Program, A. U. Kerr, Editor, Defence Advanced Research Projects Agency, Executive Graphics Services.
- Kværna, T. (1990): Sources of short-term fluctuations in the seismic noise level at NOR-ESS, Phys. Earth. Planet. Inter., 63, 269-276.
- Kværna, T. and D.J. Doornbos (1986): An integrated approach to slowness analysis with arrays and three-component stations, Semiann. Tech. Summary, 1 October 1985-31 March 1986, NORSAR Sci. Rep. No 2- 85/86, Kjeller, Norway.
- Kværna, T. and F. Ringdal (1992): Integrated array and three-component processing using a seismic microarray, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 82, 870-882.
- Ringdal, F. and T. Kværna (1989): A multi-channel processing approach to real-time network detection, phase association, and threshold monitoring, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 79, 1927-1940.
- Ringdal, F. (1975): On the estimation of seismic detection thresholds, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 65, 1631-1642.
- Riviere-Barbier, F., A. Suteau-Henson, V.Z. Ryaboy and J.A. Carter (1992): Analysis of three-component data from IRIS/IDA stations in USSR, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 82, 192-220.
- Suteau-Henson, A. (1991): Three-component analysis of regional phases at NORESS and ARCESS: Polarization and phase identification, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 81, 2419-2440.

	Classified as:		
Correct	Р	S or Lg	Noise
phase id (full array)	(vel>5.8m/s)	$(3.2 < vel \le 5.8 \text{ km/s})$	$(vel \leq 3.2 \text{ km/s})$
P (vel>5.8)	343 (22.6%)	118 ( 7.8%)	58 ( 3.8%)
S or Lg $(3.0 < \text{vel} \le 5.8 \text{ km/s})$	109 ( 7.2%)	365~(24.0%)	55 ( 3.6%)
Noise (vel≤3.0 km/s)	6 ( 0.4%)	28 ( 1.8%)	439 (28.9%)

Total number of microarray detections evaluated: 1521

Total number of phases correctly classified: 1147 (75.4%)

**Table 7.5.1a.** All detections of the ARCESS microarray have been used as the basis for this statistics. The detections are classified based on estimated apparent velocities applying broadband f-k analysis to the vertical components of the microarray and "correct" phase identification is based on f-k results from the full ARCESS array.

	Classified as:		
Correct	Р	S or Lg	Noise
phase id	(vel>5.8m/s)	$(3.2 < vel \le 5.8 \text{ km/s})$	$(vel \leq 3.2 \text{ km/s})$
P (from GBF)	86(28.4%)	47 (15.5%)	0 ( 0.0%)
S or Lg (from GBF)	16 ( 5.3%)	154 (50.8%)	0 ( 0.0%)
Noise (none)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)

Total number of phases evaluated: 303

Total number of phases correctly classified: 240 (79.2%)

**Table 7.5.1b.** In this table we have used the phases  $(P, S \text{ or } L_g)$  verified to be associated with regional events as the "correct" phase identification, and the phases were classified based on estimated apparent velocities applying broadband f-k analysis to the vertical components of the ARCESS microarray.

	Classified as:		
Correct	Р	S or Lg	Noise
phase id (full array)	(vel>5.8m/s)	$(3.2 < vel \le 5.8 \text{ km/s})$	$(vel \leq 3.2 \text{ km/s})$
P (vel>5.8)	385~(31.4%)	70 ( 5.7%)	42 ( 3.4%)
S or Lg $(3.0 < vel \le 5.8 \text{ km/s})$	42 ( 3.4%)	370~(30.2%)	172 (14.0%)
Noise (vel $\leq 3.0 \text{ km/s}$ )	5 ( 0.4%)	35 ( 2.9%)	104 ( 8.5%)

Total number of microarray detections evaluated: 1225

Total number of phases correctly classified: 920 (70.1%)

**Table 7.5.2a.** All detections of the FINESA microarray have been used as the basis for this statistics. The detections are classified based on estimated apparent velocities applying broadband f-k analysis to the vertical components of the microarray and "correct" phase identification is based on f-k results from the full FINESA array.

	Classified as:		
Correct	Р	S or Lg	Noise
phase id	(vel>5.8m/s)	$(3.2 < vel \le 5.8 \text{ km/s})$	$(vel \leq 3.2 \text{ km/s})$
P (from GBF)	121 (34.1%)	16 ( 4.5%)	23(6.5%)
S or Lg (from GBF)	12 ( 3.4%)	158 (44.5%)	25 ( 7.0%)
Noise (none)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)

Total number of phases evaluated: 355

Total number of phases correctly classified: 279 (78.6%)

**Table 7.5.2b.** In this table we have used the phases  $(P, S \text{ or } L_g)$  verified to be associated with regional events as the "correct" phase identification, and the phases were classified based on estimated apparent velocities applying broadband f-k analysis to the vertical components of the FINESA microarray.

	Classified as:		
Correct	Р	S or Lg	Noise
phase id (full array)	(vel>6.0m/s)	$(3.2 < vel \le 6.0 \text{ km/s})$	$(vel \leq 3.2 \text{ km/s})$
P (vel>6.0)	260 (39.9%)	13 ( 2.0%)	9 ( 1.4%)
S or Lg $(3.0 < \text{vel} \le 6.0 \text{ km/s})$	51 ( 7.8%)	185~(28.4%)	7 ( 1.1%)
Noise (vel $\leq 3.0 \text{ km/s}$ )	12 ( 1.8%)	58 ( 8.9%)	57 ( 8.7%)

Total number of microarray detections evaluated: 652 Total number of phases correctly classified: 502 (77.0%)

**Table 7.5.3a.** All detections of the NORESS microarray have been used as the basis for this statistics. The detections are classified based on estimated apparent velocities applying broadband f-k analysis to the vertical components of the microarray and "correct" phase identification is based on f-k results from the full NORESS array.

	Classified as:		
Correct	Р	S or Lg	Noise
phase id	(vel>6.0m/s)	$(3.2 < vel \le 6.0 \text{ km/s})$	$(vel \leq 3.2 \text{ km/s})$
P (from GBF)	70 (42.7%)	2 ( 1.2%)	$0\ (\ 6.5\%)$
S or Lg (from GBF)	8 (4.9%)	83~(50.6%)	1 ( 0.6%)
Noise (none)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)

Total number of phases evaluated: 164

Total number of phases correctly classified: 153 (93.3%)

**Table 7.5.3b.** In this table we have used the phases  $(P, S \text{ or } L_g)$  verified to be associated with regional events as the "correct" phase identification, and the phases were classified based on estimated apparent velocities applying broadband f-k analysis to the vertical components of the NORESS microarray.

.

	ARCESS	FINESA	NORESS
Percentage of correctly classified phases	79.2% (84.8%)	78.6%	93.3%
Median azimuth error for <i>P</i> -phases	10.4°	13.4°	14.0°
Percentage of $P$ -phases within 25°	78.5%	73.0%	86.1%
Median azimuth error for $S$ -phases	6.8°	8.5°	6.5°
Percentage of S-phases within $25^{\circ}$	98.2%	88.0%	94.6%
Percentage of all detections classified as noise	36.3%	26.0%	11.2%
Percentage of verified phases classified as noise	0.0%	13.5%	0.6%

**Table 7.5.4.** This table contain a summary of the success rates for initial phase identification and the median errors in the azimuth estimates of the three microarrays considered. We have also included the percentage of P and S-phases with azimuth differences within 25<sup>o</sup> of the reference azimuth. Note that f-k analysis of the 4 vertical sensors of the microarrays is the only method being used to obtain these results.

	Number of defining phases (microarray network)						
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Number of events	144	53	23	14	9	3	3
Median location difference (km)	55.3	47.4	47.3	33.7	45.3	37.6	0.0
Median magnitude $M_L$	1.17	1.47	2.10	2.33	2.26	2.53	2.50

**Table 7.5.5.** After dividing the events into classes based on the number of defining phases, we give for each class the number of events, median location difference to the full network location and the median magnitude. Note that the location differences are generally reduced when the number of associated phases increase.

Declared events	Real events	False events
353	261 (74.0%)	92~(26.0%)

**Table 7.5.6.** Distribution of real and false events for the 353 events declared after GBF processing of the microarray network.

	1 array	2 arrays	3 arrays
2 associated phases	62	18	-
3 associated phases	3	7	2

**Table 7.5.7.** Distribution of detecting arrays and the number of associated phases for the false events. No events with more than three defining phases were false.

ARCESS	FINESA	NORESS
12	45	8

Table 7.5.8. Distribution of detecting arrays for false one-array events.



Fig. 7.5.1. Map showing the location of the three Fennoscandian arrays (ARCESS, FINESA and NORESS). The microarray configurations analyzed in this paper are subsets of these arrays.

111

ĺ

ARCESS 200 - A1 A0 A0 A0 A0 A2 -200 - -200 A2 -200Meters (E-W)

Fig. 7.5.2. This figure gives the locations of the sensors of the ARCESS microarray. The vertical-component sensors are indicated by filled circles, whereas the filled delta symbol represent the 3-component sensor.



Fig. 7.5.3. Estimated apparent velocities from applying broadband f-k analysis to the vertical components of the ARCESS microarray for *P* phases (circles) and *S* phases (asterisks). An apparent velocity of 5.8 km/s (dashed line) has been used to classify the phases as *P* or *S*. The success rate is 79.2%.

112

May 1992







Fig. 7.5.5. Comparison of estimated azimuths of S phases using the full ARCESS array and the four vertical components of the ARCESS microarray. The median difference is  $6.8^{\circ}$ .

113



Fig. 7.5.6. This figure gives the locations of the sensors of the FINESA microarray. The vertical-component sensors are indicated by filled circles, whereas the filled delta symbol represent the 3-component sensor.



Fig. 7.5.7. Estimated apparent velocities from applying broadband f-k analysis to the vertical components of the FINESA microarray for P phases (circles) and S phases (asterisks). An apparent velocity of 5.8 km/s (dashed line) has been used to classify the phases as P or S. The success rate is 78.6%.



Fig. 7.5.8. Comparison of estimated azimuths of P phases using the full FINESA array and the four vertical components of the FINESA microarray. The median difference is 13.4°. Due to the large aperture of the FINESA microarray in comparison with the ARCESS microarray (see Figs. 7.5.2 and 7.5.6) there were some problems with lack of coherency and spatial aliasing at high frequencies. This is the reason for the apparent alignment of P-wave azimuth estimates at about 150°.



Fig. 7.5.9. Comparison of estimated azimuths of S phases using the full FINESA array and the four vertical components of the FINESA microarray. The median difference is  $8.5^{\circ}$ .



Fig. 7.5.10. This figure gives the locations of the sensors of the NORESS microarray. The vertical-component sensors are indicated by filled circles, whereas the filled delta symbol represent the 3-component sensor.





the phases as P or S. The success rate is 93.3%.

ţ



Fig. 7.5.12. Comparison of estimated azimuths of P phases using the full NORESS array and the four vertical components of the NORESS microarray. The median difference is  $14.0^{\circ}$ .



Fig. 7.5.13. Comparison of estimated azimuths of phases using the full NORESS array and the four vertical components of the NORESS microarray. The median difference is  $6.5^{\circ}$ .



Fig. 7.5.14. Map with reference events with magnitude above 1.5. Note the large number of events (mining explosions) on the Kola peninsula and in Estonia.

118



Fig. 7.5.15. Magnitude of reference events versus distance to the closest array. Events found after GBF processing of the microarray network are marked by stars, whereas missed events are marked by circles.

119



Fig. 7.5.16. Maximum likelihood detectability estimation of the microarray network for Fennoscandia-NW Russia using the GBF bulletin as a reference. The upper half shows the reference set and the number of events found by the microarray network for each magnitude. The lower half shows the maximum likelihood detectability curve and its confidence limits. The actual percentage of detected events at each magnitude is also shown.



**Fig. 7.5.17.** This histogram shows the location difference between the microarray network and the full array network for the 249 events located within 600 km of the closest array. The median difference of the population is 47.4 km.

.

## 7.6 Distribution in slowness space of regional array detections

One of the main features of advanced regional arrays is their ability to reliably determine the slowness vector of incoming signal energy. This is important for seismic phase identification, and can be used to characterize detected phases as teleseismic P or PKP, regional P, S, Lg or Rg (Mykkeltveit et al. 1990). As shown, e.g., by Kvaerna (1990), this ability can also be used to identify the origin of various seismic noise sources, many of which show very consistent azimuth, frequency and velocity characteristics.

This report summarizes statistics on the number of detections versus apparent slowness vector for the four regional arrays NORESS, ARCESS, GERESS and FINESA. In a series of 3-D plots, we display all of the detections reported by each individual array for the sixmonth period October 91 - March 92. We also show a figure with the slowness distribution for those detections that have been associated to an event in the IMS analysis.

Figs. 7.6.1 - 7.6.4 show number of detections versus slowness for each of the arrays. The slowness space corresponds to the same grid of 51 by 51 points used in the on-line broadband fk-analysis. The slownesses range from -0.4 to 0.4 s/km. This corresponds to apparent velocities ranging from 1.78 km/s to infinity.

The X-axis (left-right) corresponds to eastward direction. The Y-axis (front-back) correspond to northward direction. Each figure is separated into two parts. The upper part shows detections with estimated signal frequency below 6.0 Hz. The lower part shows detections with estimated signal frequency above 6.0 Hz.

Fig. 7.6.1 shows NORESS detections. There are dominant peaks in the center for high velocities and a ridge towards east-north for velocities around 3.0 km/s. The 3.0 km/s peaks have been documented as seismic Rayleigh waves from an industrial area and from the large river Glomma running north-south about 20 km east of NORESS (Kvaerna, 1990).

Fig. 7.6.2 shows ARCESS detections. Dominant peaks are found corresponding to P and S velocities from the Kola mines (azimuth 100-130 degrees). A large number of detections with direction from north and velocities around 6.0 km/sec have not been identified. The high frequency detections towards west may be noise from a main road passing the west side of the array.

Note that when the source is very close, or within the array, the slowness estimate may be wrong. See also section 7.9 for a report on correlation between number of detections and temperature fall (ice cracks) in the ARCESS array.

Fig. 7.6.3 shows FINESA detections. Dominant peaks are found corresponding to P, Lg and Rg velocities from the mines in Estonia. In addition, there is a large number of very low velocity detections from the north. The origin of these detections is unknown.

Fig. 7.6.4 shows GERESS detections. Here, the low frequency detections are concentrated to relatively high apparent velocities. The high frequency detections are spread well out in slowness space, but a typical ring of Pn velocities can be identified.

When evaluating the statistics given above, it must be taken into account that the f-k analysis can fail to give the correct estimate in some cases. From our experience, this can happen in particular if the signal frequency is high, or if the noise source is located within or very close to an array. Data spikes or segments of bad data quality may of course also produce erroneous results.

In sections 3.5 and 3.6 of this Semiannual report, we noted that the percentage of detections associated to events by either the Intelligent Monitoring System (IMS) or the Generalized Beamforming process (GBF) was in the range 11 to 20%. These low figures are obviously due to the fact that most of the detections have slowness estimates outside the range permitting the detections to be attributed to seismic phases such as Pn or Lg. A natural question is whether these phases actually belong to seismic events, and this may be answered by investigating the results of analyst review of the regional array bulletins.

Fig. 7.6.5 shows the number of detections versus slowness for phases automatically associated to events by IMS (upper part) and estimated slowness for phases accepted or associated by analyst (lower part). (Note that vertical scale is now 500 as opposed to 1000 for Figs. 7.6.1-7.6.4). These figures include detections for all of the four arrays. Detections should normally correspond to certain "seismic" slownesses, since the slowness parameter is used for event association rules. But in the lower figure, the analyst has all detections available regardless of slowness. A seismic phase may be associated and slowness overruled to include the arrival time as a defining parameter for the event solution.

We note from the lower figure that the number of very slow phases is about the same as in the upper figure. This indicates that the estimated slowness parameter is mostly consistent with the solution as accepted by the analyst.

## J. Fyen

#### References

- Kvaerna, T. (1990): Sources of short-term fluctuations in the seismic noise level at NOR-ESS), *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 63, 269-276,
- Mykkeltveit, S., F. Ringdal, T. Kværna & R.W. Alewine (1990): Application of regional arrays in seismic verification, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, special issue, 80, 1777-1800.

ŝ



Fig. 7.6.1. Number of NORESS detections versus apparent slowness vector for the 6month period October 1991 - March 1992. The X-axis (left-right) corresponds to eastward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The Yaxis (front-back) correspond to northward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The upper part shows detections with estimated signal frequency below 6.0 hz. The lower part shows detections with estimated signal frequency above 6.0 hz.

May 1992



Fig. 7.6.2. Number of ARCESS detections versus apparent slowness vector for the 6month period October 1991 - March 1992. The X-axis (left-right) corresponds to eastward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The Yaxis (front-back) correspond to northward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The upper part shows detections with estimated signal frequency below 6.0 hz. The lower part shows detections with estimated signal frequency above 6.0 hz. The lower figure has been clipped.



Fig. 7.6.3. Number of FINESA detections versus apparent slowness vector for the 6month period October 1991 - March 1992. The X-axis (left-right) corresponds to eastward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The Yaxis (front-back) correspond to northward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The upper part shows detections with estimated signal frequency below 6.0 hz. The lower part shows detections with estimated signal frequency above 6.0 hz.



Fig. 7.6.4. Number of GERESS detections versus apparent slowness vector for the 6month period October 1991 - March 1992. The X-axis (left-right) corresponds to eastward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The Yaxis (front-back) correspond to northward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The upper part shows detections with estimated signal frequency below 6.0 hz. The lower part shows detections with estimated signal frequency above 6.0 hz.

May 1992



Fig. 7.6.5. The upper part shows number of detections versus apparent slowness vector for the 6-month period October 1991 - March 1992, for detections automatically associated to events by IMS. (All arrays). The X-axis (left-right) corresponds to eastward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The Y-axis (front-back) correspond to northward direction with 51 slowness points ranging from -0.4 to 0.4 sec/km. The lower part shows number of detections associated to events that was accepted by analyst review.

## 7.7 NORAC: A new array controller

#### Introduction

NORSAR personnel have long experience in operating and maintaining arrays and other types of seismic stations, and have over the years also actively participated in array installation work jointly with other organizations. A typical such deployment comprises colocated sensors and digitizers, transmission of data to a central site within the array, and an array controller that performs synchronization, time tagging and transfer of data to a remote data processing facility. Work at NORSAR has traditionally concentrated on development of software for acquisition and processing of data, rather than development of equipment for the field installation. An exception here is the array controller at the FINESA array site, which was largely designed and developed by NORSAR personnel.

We embarked during the spring of 1991 on a new in-house development project aimed at designing a general-purpose array controller that would accept data from various vendors of digitizers, and prototyping of such a unit, named NORAC (NORsar ARray Controller), started during the summer of 1991. The main design idea has been to develop a simple unit that can handle input data from many digitizers. Data processing options and graphics displays would not be part of the array controller design, as these functions can more easily be performed by a Unix workstation on site or at a remote data center. A prototype unit was installed in December 1991 at the NORESS array site and acquired data from one instrument for a period of two months, with real time data transmission to Kjeller. The synchronization and time tagging functions of NORAC have also been tested successfully.

Our current plan is to consider the NORAC unit for use in three different projects now underway, namely, the new high-frequency arrays in Apatity, Russia, and on Spitsbergen, and the NORSAR refurbishment. The exact configuration for these three systems will be different, and the flexibility of NORAC in allowing for different types of communication and also different systems for reception of timing signals is essential.

The following paragraphs offer descriptions of NORAC design requirements, NORAC hardware configuration, NORAC software configuration and a description of how NORAC interfaces to Sun workstations.

## NORAC design requirements

At the outset of this development project, the following design requirements were specified for the NORAC unit:

- drivers to different manufacturers of digitizers
- timing of data in the field and support for different clocks such as GPS, Omega and radio clocks
- · synchronization of several digitizers from external clock source
- standardized hardware from a vendor represented in several countries
- integration with local or remotely located Unix-based computers

- flexible configurations ranging from 3-component stations to arrays
- option for local recording and archiving of data
- configurable in the field
- communication with remote computer using either asynchronous communication, synchronized communication (SDLC) or Ethernet (TCP protocol and sockets)
- watchdog for automatic restart
- all programs in EPROM/PROM
- should be capable of handling data from up to 32 digitizers

These requirements were largely based on our experience with array controllers at NOR-SAR, NORESS, ARCESS, FINESA and GERESS.

#### NORAC hardware configuration

The NORAC unit is based on Motorola VME boards. All boards are standard boards that may be acquired from any Motorola distributor. This subsection describes the different boards and their function in the NORAC unit. The NORAC unit is composed of the following boards:

- Collection board
- Communication board
- Digitizer interface board
- Clock interface board

A system may comprise 1 to 4 boards, depending on the configuration.

#### Collection board

This board is the main board of the NORAC system. The collection board hosts all programs in EPROM/PROM and typically has 4Mb of memory on board. The configuration of NORAC is stored in BBRAM (Battery Backup RAM) on the collection board. The following functions may be performed by this board:

- time stamp data from digitizers
- synchronize data from different digitizers
- collect statistics
- record data on locally attached disk
- transmit data to a computer using asynchronous protocol
- transmit data to a computer using Ethernet and socket communication
- archive data on tape and disk
- input of timing information using the asynchronous port

.

A minimum configuration can run on the collection board only. This configuration will contain 1 or 2 digitizers, external time received on the RS232 port and asynchronous communication or Ethernet communication to a local or remote host.

#### Digitizer interface board

The digitizer interface board has 8 ports for digitizers, its own buffer and CPU. Each port is asynchronous and can run at a speed of 38.4 Kbits/s at the maximum. A NORAC unit may contain as many as 4 digitizer interface boards, thus allowing up to 32 digitizers total.

#### Communication board

This board is used for performing the SDLC communication. The transmission speed is controlled by the external modem. The board has been tested at speeds from 2.4 to 64 Kbits/s. Data can optionally be compressed.

#### Clock interface board

We have implemented several options for timing of the data stream. Time information can be retrieved through a special board or from an asynchronous port. The following are the different timing options:

- an ASCII-coded data stream received once a second
- a BCD-formatted word received on a parallel interface
- timing information received directly from the VME bus

There are several different types of clocks (e.g., GPS, Omega and radio based) that deliver time information in one of the three different ways mentioned above.

A system based on an ASCII-coded data stream does not need a special clock board. This time information is read from the collection board as mentioned above.

The BCD-formatted stream on a parallel interface requires a digital input board in the NORAC unit. There are drivers for both Data Translation DT1417 and Acromag avme9460.

We have developed a driver for a VME clock board from Bancom. This board is based on the GPS system.

#### NORAC software configuration

The NORAC software is designed as a modular system where each task has a dedicated function. All programs are written in C and use functions from SVIDlib. SVIDlib is available through the VMEexec development environment offered by Motorola. All programming (including compiling and loading) is done on a Unix computer running Unix System V. The final system is burned into EPROMs. The following is a list of tasks running on NORAC:

131

- collect
- todisk
- tosdlc
- toasync
- toether
- fromport
- status

## Collect task

Collect is the main task in NORAC. This task synchronizes all other tasks and formats one-second data blocks from all digitizers. Collect updates several statistics and creates all queues used in the system. Tasks such as todisk, tosdlc, toasync and toether retrieve data from a structure in the collect task. Tasks that need statistical information retrieve this from a structure in the collect task.

## Todisk task

Todisk writes data onto a local disk loop using the FFS (Fast File System). Todisk can also write data directly on a Unix file system if a Unix CPU is configured into the same system.

#### Tosdlc task

Tosdlc transmits data on a synchronous communication port using the SDLC protocol. Tosdlc has an option for data compression.

#### Toasync task

This task uses an asynchronous port for transmitting data. The maximum speed on the asynchronous port is 38.4 Kbits/s. Data can optionally be compressed.

#### Toether task

This task uses the Ethernet as the communication medium. Sockets are used for establishing a connection between the toether task in NORAC and a task in another computer on the network. The protocol used is TCP/IP for which most Unix computers have support.

#### Fromport task

Fromport is the controlling task for all ports connected to external digitizers. Fromport has one subroutine for each type of digitizer connected to the system. The following are the digitizers currently supported:

- RD3 from Nanometrics
- RDAS-300P from Teledyne Geotech

• 72A series from Refraction Technology, Inc.

Fromport creates one task for each port with a digitizer connected. Each task is responsible for one digitizer at one specific port. Each task time stamps data from that digitizer as soon as data are received, and transmits data to the collection task which synchronizes data from all ports together in a one-second block.

Other digitizers can easily be interfaced to the system. The only work that needs to be done is writing a subroutine specific for that digitizer in the fromport program. Collect knows from the parameter area in BBRAM what type of digitizer is connected to each port.

#### Status task

The main function for status is to display statistics, transmit commands to digitizers and configure NORAC. There are also some diagnostic commands available in status. Status is operated from the asynchronous console port on the collect board.

#### Interfacing to Sun workstations

We have developed all necessary software for interfacing data from the NORAC unit. Data may be received in three ways:

- as an asynchronous data stream. This is not recommended for systems with more than 4 channels
- as a synchronized data stream using the SDLC protocol. An SBUS SDLC board is used as the hardware interface in the Sun workstation.
- as packets on the Ethernet. The Sun workstation is on the same LAN/WAN.

We have developed drivers to all data streams mentioned above. Data are written onto a circular disk loop and archived on Exabyte cassettes. Both the acquisition software and the archiving software are the same as NORSAR is using today for recording and archiving of all existing array data.

## **R.** Paulsen

# 7.8 Continuous seismic threshold monitoring of the northern Novaya Zemlya test site; long-term operational characteristics

#### Introduction

This paper is a summary of a comprehensive report (Kværna, 1992) giving a detailed analysis of the performance of the continuous threshold monitoring technique applied to the northern Novaya Zemlya test site for a full one-month period.

The theoretical background for and applications of the continuous seismic threshold monitoring metod (CSTM) have been described in several articles. The approach was introduced by Ringdal and Kværna (1989), who showed that by continuously monitoring the seismic amplitude level at several seismic stations or arrays, one can at any time obtain an instant network-based magnitude threshold for a given target region. The magnitude threshold can be interpreted as the maximum magnitude of a possible clandestine explosion, given a predefined level of confidence. In the context of a comprehensive or threshold test ban treaty, the continuous assessment of the magnitude thresholds makes it possible to focus attention upon those specific time intervals when realistic evasion opportunities exist, while retaining confidence that no treaty violation has occurred at other times.

Kværna and Ringdal (1990) presented results from a one-week experiment of continuously monitoring the northern Novaya Zemlya test site. Data from the Fennoscandian regional array network (ARCESS, FINESA, and NORESS), see Fig. 7.8.1, were used to calculate the magnitude thresholds. It was found that the test site could be consistently monitored at a very low magnitude level (typically  $m_b = 2.5$ ). In fact, every occurrence of the threshold exceeding  $m_b = 2.5$  could be explained as resulting from an identified interfering event signal either at teleseismic or regional distance.

The excellent capability of the Fennoscandian regional array network to monitor the northern Novaya Zemlya test site was further confirmed by an experiment where recordings of the Novaya Zemlya nuclear test of October 24, 1990 were downscaled to  $m_b = 2.6$  and superimposed on different noise intervals (Kværna, 1991).

In the context of using CSTM as a tool in routine monitoring, it is important to determine how the method will work under different conditions. Variability in the seismic noise level, occurrences of large earthquakes and aftershock sequences, station downtimes and data quality problems are all factors that will influence the performance of CSTM. Again focusing on the northern Novaya Zemlya test site, using data from the Fennoscandian regional array network, we have analyzed one month of magnitude threshold data (February, 1992) for the purpose of evaluating the long-term operational characteristics of CSTM.

#### Analysis of network threshold peaks

Our monitoring experiment was conducted in the same way and with the same parameter settings as used by Kværna and Ringdal (1990). In Kværna (1992) the monitoring results

were presented in terms of plots covering one data day each. In Figs. A-1 to A-29 of the Appendix of that report, each covering one day of February, 1992, all time periods where the network magnitude thresholds at the 90% confidence level exceeded  $m_b = 2.6$  have been identified.

For the remainder of this paper, the term magnitude threshold implies the magnitude threshold at the 90% confidence level.

From investigation of the distribution of all network CSTM data (totally 696 hours for February, 1992), we found that the network magnitude threshold exceeded  $m_b = 2.6$  for about 50 minutes, see Fig. 7.8.2. This is only 0.12% of the total time, and we found  $m_b = 2.6$  to be a suitable magnitude limit, in the sense that we were able to identify all interfering event signals causing the threshold to exceed this limit. One might of course argue that we should instead attempt to explain all peaks exceeding  $m_b = 2.5$ , but with reference to the actual CSTM data, we found that there were several intervals with  $m_b$  between 2.5 and 2.6, which we were not able to account for by signals from identified events. These intervals were all characterized either by a high background noise level at ARCESS, or with gaps in the ARCESS recordings.

Figs. 7.8.3 and 7.8.4 show two typical examples of a one-day plot (February 1 and 21). The upper three traces of each figure represent the magnitude thresholds obtained from the three indivitual arrays, whereas the bottom trace illustrates the network threshold. Typically, the individual array traces have a number of significant peaks for each 24-hour period, due to signals from interfering events (regional or teleseismic). On the network trace, the number and sizes of these peaks are significantly reduced, because an interfering event usually will not provide matching signals at all stations. From probabilistic considerations, it can in such cases be inferred that the actual network threshold is lower than these individual peaks might indicate.

The arrows on the one-day threshold plots indicate peaks with network magnitude threshold exceeding  $m_b = 2.6$ . A T at the arrow indicates that the peak is caused by signals from a teleseismic event, whereas an **R** indicates signals from a regional or local event. On three different occasions during February the threshold slightly exceeded 2.6 due to gap in the ARCESS recordings. These peaks were indicated by a **G** at the arrows.

A summary of the threshold peaks and the events causing the peaks is given in Table 7.8.1 covering the entire month of February 1992. Following the definition of the CSTM peaks (i.e., date, time, magnitude threshold, and number of seconds with the threshold exceeding  $m_b = 2.6$ ), there is a bulletin of the events causing the peaks in the magnitude threshold traces. From Table 7.8.1 it can be seen that in some cases more than one event is contributing to the same peak in the threshold trace.

During the first half of February, there were several large teleseismic events causing increases in the network threshold (see events reported by the Quick Epicenter Determinations (QED) of the USGS), whereas during the second half of February, almost all CSTM peaks were caused by regional events. The regional events were all processed and located by the Intelligent Monitoring System (IMS) (Bache et al., 1990). The epicenters of the

regional events of Table 1 are plotted on the map of Fig. 7.8.5. Except for one felt earthquake in southern Norway ( $M_L = 3.26$ ), the events are most likely mining explosions, as their epicenters coincide with known mining sites. Within the context of practical monitoring, it is interesting that for a 5-day period (February 23 through 27) there were no threshold peaks exceeding  $m_b = 2.6$ .

#### Continuous thresholds during noise conditions

For the purpose of analyzing the long-term fluctuations of the magnitude thresholds, we have for every 4-hour interval computed the median thresholds. The robust median estimator has been chosen to ensure that we are minimizing the influence of the short-term event peaks. These statistics have been computed for the network and for each array separately. The thresholds are all derived from filtered array beams, and thereby reflect the noise fluctuations within the applied frequency bands. The frequency filters used for ARCESS, FINESA and NORESS are 3.0-5.0 Hz, 2.0-4.0 Hz and 1.5-3.5 Hz, respectively.

Fig. 7.8.6a illustrates the results for each array for the month of February. It is clearly seen that ARCESS (the lower dashed line) has the best average capability for monitoring the northern Novaya Zemlya test site. Except for a few short time intevals, ARCESS has on the average lower magnitude thresholds than any of the other two arrays (NORESS - solid line, FINESA - upper dashed line). The ARCESS threshold curve has five pronounced peaks during the month, and shows internal variations of more than 0.5 m<sub>b</sub> units. During quiet noise conditions, the median magnitude thresholds fluctuate around m<sub>b</sub> = 2.0, but during the high-noise periods the thresholds approaches m<sub>b</sub> = 2.5. Two of the peaks have been verified to correlate with severe wind and weather conditions in the ARCESS region, and it is also likely that the other three peaks are weather generated.

Compared to ARCESS, the NORESS magnitude thresholds show rather small variations, and fluctuate between  $m_b 2.4$  and 2.5 during the entire period, see Fig. 7.8.6a. The small diurnal variations (of the order of 0.1  $m_b$  units), are consistent with the findings of Fyen (1990). He found that for frequencies below 2 Hz, there was little difference between day-time and nighttime noise levels, whereas at higher frequencies, the diurnal variations are more significant (0.2-0.3  $m_b$  units). It is only for a short time interval on February 6 that NORESS on the average has the best monitoring capability of the three arrays, but it has to be emphasized that this is not necessarily representative for time periods when seismic signals are present.

The median magnitude thresholds of FINESA, given by the top dashed line of Fig. 7.8.6a, exhibit strong weekly and diurnal variations. The diurnal variations are particularly significant on workdays. One peak for each of the five workdays are followed by a quiet weekend, reflecting the relative behavior of the background noise field in the frequency band of the P-beam steered towards Novaya Zemlya (2.0-4.0 Hz). The median thresholds during the weekends are approaching that of NORESS, whereas the workday levels are 0.2 to 0.4 m<sub>b</sub> units higher. From Fig. 7.8.6a it can thus be inferred that FINESA on the average is contributing less than the other two arrays to the network monitoring capability of the northern Novaya Zemlya test site, but again, this may not be representative for time periods when seismic signals are present.
In Fig. 7.8.6b, we compare the median network performance (solid line) and the median ARCESS performance (dashed line) for monitoring the northern Novaya Zemlya test site. It is seen that when the ARCESS thresholds are low, the two curves almost coincide, implying that ARCESS alone determines the average network monitoring performance. However, during the ARCESS peak periods, the network curve is lower. This shows that even during background noise conditions, the other two arrays (FINESA and NORESS) contribute to lowering the magnitude thresholds.

We have in this section discussed the average properties of the CSTM performance of the Fennoscandian array network for monitoring the northern Novaya Zemlya test site. We have concluded that for most of the time, ARCESS is the array with the best capability, but that the other two arrays also play an important role, particularly when the ARCESS noise level is high.

## Continuous thresholds during intervals with interfering signals

The dramatic improvement in the practical monitoring capability when using a network of arrays instead of a single array is illustrated in Fig. 7.8.7. We have for the month analyzed counted the number of threshold peaks exceeding a given magnitude, both for the network and for the best array (ARCESS). The barplots of Fig. 7.8.7 show that at a threshold of 2.6, the number of network threshold peaks are reduced by a factor of five in comparison to the threshold peaks at ARCESS alone (i.e., from 293 to 56). At a threshold of 3.0 the improvement is better than a factor of ten (i.e., from 41 to 3).

## Conclusions

This work has documented the practical capability of the Continuous Seismic Threshold Monitoring method to monitor a specific nuclear test site at a very low threshold over an extended time period.

Specifically, we have used the Fennoscandian array network (NORESS, ARCESS and FINESA) to monitor the northern Novaya Zemlya test site for one full month (February 1992). We have shown that the magnitude threshold stays below  $m_b = 2.50, 99.72\%$  of the total time. We have further "explained" all of the peaks exceeding  $m_b = 2.6$  as resulting from one of the following three conditions: 1) a "large" identified teleseismic event, 2) a "large" identified regional event and 3) a short outage of the most important array (ARCESS).

The natural question is then as follows: Do these results imply that at the given confidence level there has been no seismic event of  $mb \ge 2.6$  at the test site during February 1992?

The answer is in practice "yes", since such an event only could have occurred during one of the time intervals when the network threshold trace exceeds 2.6. We have noted that the combined time span of such exceedances was only 50 minutes, or 0.12% of the total time. Since all the peaks were explained as resulting from known causes, it seems extremely unlikely that an event of mb 2.6 actually occurred during one of these short event intervals.

÷.

In theory, in a hypothetical monitoring situation for a comprehensive test ban treaty, there might be an "evasion" possibility if any of such high threshold periods could be predicted. But we do not consider this to be a realistic scenario. First, such predictions require exact knowledge of the configuration and the performance of the monitoring network, and second, there are a lot of practical problems involved in carrying out such a clandestine explosion so that the probability of getting detected is very high.

We have studied the relative contributions of the three arrays and found that ARCESS is clearly the most important, followed by NORESS and FINESA. During time periods when the ARCESS noise level is high, or when there are interfering events, the relative contributions of NORESS and FINESA increase significantly. The redundancy created by using several arrays is also essential during outages of one or more of the arrays.

The average magnitude thresholds at FINESA exhibit strong weekly and diurnal variations. The latter are particularly significant on workdays. The average NORESS thresholds show rather small variations, whereas at ARCESS, internal differences of more than  $0.5 \text{ m}_{b}$  units are observed. The peak periods at ARCESS are most likely caused by severe wind and weather conditions.

In the near future, additional array stations are planned for installation in the Arctic region. These stations would contribute to further improving the CSTM capability, both for Novaya Zemlya and on a general regional basis. This will be the subject for additional studies in the future.

## T. Kværna

## References

- Bache, T., S. R. Bratt, J. Wang, R.M. Fung, C. Kobryn and J. W. Given (1990), The Intelligent Monitoring System, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 80, Part B, 1833-1851.
- Fyen, J. (1990): Diurnal and seasonal variations in the microseismic noise level observed at the NORESS array, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 63, 252-268.
- Kværna, T. (1992): Continuous seismic threshold monitoring of the northern Novaya Zemlya test site; long-term operational characteristics, AFGL Sci. Rep. No. 12, NORSAR, Kjeller.
- Kværna, T. and F. Ringdal (1990): Continuous threshold monitoring of the Novaya Zemlya test site, Semiannual Tech. Summary, 1 Apr - 30 Sep 1990, NORSAR Sci. Rep. 1-90/91, NORSAR, Kjeller, Norway.
- Kværna, T (1991): Threshold monitoring of Novaya Zemlya: A scaling experiment, Semiannual Tech. Summary, 1 Oct 1990 - 31 Mar 1991, NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-90/91, NORSAR, Kjeller, Norway.

۰.

•

Ringdal, F. and T. Kværna (1989): A multi-channel processing approach to real-time network detection, phase association, and threshold monitoring, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 79, 1927-1940.

#### NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-91/92

May 1992

Data	TM peak	Mag	Saa	Fv	Or time		L on	Dan	Mag	BU	Ragion
	11 40 11	nag			11 46 00 0	67 500	20,200	00	nag	IMO	Rugion Burgin
02/01	11.46.11	2.66	20	к т	11.46.08.8	07.592	30.300	01° 107	2.46	OFD	European Russia S const of Hondry
02/01	19.12.08	2.99	88	L D	19.04.05.3	35.104	139.702	107	0.6	QED IMS	S.coast of Honshu
02/02	17 51 03	2.03	12	n T	05.05.01.4	67.659	33.417	33F	2.41	OFD	Kuril Islande
02/02	17.51.05	2.00	15	D	12 54 44 6	60 826	20.910	017	0.0		Furner and Russia
02/05	13.33.17	2.09	15	T T	13.34.44.0	45 021	150 072	3317	5.6	OFD	Kunil Islanda
02/05	10 56 54	2.12	10	T	10 54 38 0	44.600	150.572	335	13	NOBSAR	Kunit Islands
02/05	13 21 09	2.04	69	Ť	13 13 42 5	52 163	-170 130	48	54	OED	For Jelande
02/05	23 14 43	2.50	8	Ť	23 10 50 9	31 407	66 825	33F	5.1	OED	A fabanistan
02/06	01 23 52	2.95	181	Ť	01.12.41.2	-5.609	103 271	55	6.0	0ED	Southern Sumatra
02/06	03.42.24	2.71	77	Ť	03.35.17.2	29.511	95.635	33F	5.6	ÕED	Xijang-India border
02/06	04.05.32	2.63	4	Ť	03.54.43.7	-5.374	103.197	72	5.5	0ED	Southern Sumatra
02/06	05.13.26	2.63	3	Ť	04.57.28.0	-33.400	-175.200	33F	3.8	NORSAR	Kermadec Islands
02/06	09.19.03	2.78	39	R	09.18.47.9	61.243	29.875	0F	2.07	IMS	Finland-Russia border
,				R	09.19.55.1	68.147	32.846	0F	1.90	IMS	European Russia
02/06	12.19.03	2.61	3	R	12.21.00.0	69.344	30.570	0F	2.14	IMS	Norway-Russia border
02/06	16.27.43	2.66	9	R	16.28.20.4	67.176	20.792	0F	1.83	IMS	Sweden
02/07	00.13.59	2.88	49	т	00.06.28.6	43.140	146.611	54	5.4	QED	Kuril Islands
02/07	06.42.13	2.67	20	Т	06.35.26.0	52.925	159.555	49	5.3	QED	Off east coast of Kamchatka
02/07	08.38.36	2.66	15	R	08.41.05.1	67.633	33.715	0F	2.41	IMS	European Russia
02/07	09.20.39	2.61	1	R	09.21.16.4	68.190	32.875	oF	1.98	IMS	European Russia
l				R	09.23.00.4	67.969	32.870	0F	1.92	IMS	European Russia
				R	09.25.08.3	59.298	26.399	0F	1.06	IMS	European Russia
02/07	09.54.59	2.65	15	Т	09.48.38.7	55.795	160.753	138	5.0	QED	Kamchatka
02/07	09.59.36	2.64	5	R	10.00.44.9	64.692	30.728	OF	2.11	IMS	Finland-Russi <b>a</b> border
02/07	12.18.59	2.80	21	R	12.20.52.2	69.329	30.842	OF	2.40	IMS	Norway-Russia border
02/08	11.44.28	2.69	29	R	11.44.41.2	67.648	30.594	01-	2.24	IMS	European Russia
02/09	04.09.14	2.63	5	R	04.09.41.1	67.574	33.741	0F	2.35	IMS	European Russia
02/09	07.56.42	2.57	-	1	07.49.21.5	51.497	-178.364	66	5.1	QED	Andreanol Islands
02/09	22.08.59	2.84	47	1 T	22.01.58.4	47.982	152.979	123	5.6	QED	Kuril Islands
02/12	01.09.22	2.82	42	1	01.02.01.9	51.299	177.926	331	5.2	QED	Rat Islands
02/13	01.45.47	2.77	70	T	01.29.17.1	-15.923	166.215	33P	6.1	QED	Vanuatu Islands
02/13	02.40.01	2.82	04 22	P	02.35.18.4	00.070 67 700	-105.700	44	0.0 1 94	IMS	Pox Islands
02/13	23.34.00	2.72	190	n T	23.33.20.3	52 576	165 706	225	1.04	OED	Sweden
02/14	08.49.02	2.99	130	B	08.18.27.7	67 301	-103.700	05	0.0	IMS	European Russia
02/14	101002	2.01	115	R	12 21 00 0	60 333	32.939	017	2.31	IMS	Norway Russia harder
02/15	11 47 38	2.60	1	R	11 49 21 2	67 656	30 374	OF	1.87	IMS	European Bussia
02/15	12 57 21	2.76	61	T	12 52 55 0	42 846	46 588	33F	47	OED	Eastern Caucasus
02/16	08.49.11	2.70	27	Ŕ	08.49.50.5	67.636	33.547	OF	2.54	IMS	European Bussia
02/16	21.55.47	2.53	-	R	21.54.36.6	67.667	20.841	0F	1.03	IMS	Sweden
02/17	00.04.52	3.13	136	Т	00.01.56.7	79.190	124.625	10	5.8	QED	East of Severnava Zemlya
02/17	08.13.48	2.65	27	Ğ						<b>~</b>	Gap in ARCESS recording
02/17	14.23.57	2.71	33	R	14.25.24.0	69.638	30.430	0F	1.95	IMS	Norway-Russia border
02/17	15.45.13	2.63	4	G							Gap in ARCESS recording
02/18	12.42.04	2.68	8	R	12,42.01.9	59.337	27.065	$\mathbf{0F}$	2.61	IMS	European Russia
02/19	06.40.25	2.91	226	R	06.39.32.9	59.240	10.886	0F	3.26	IMS	Southern Norway
02/19	12.26.49	3.25	302	R	12.25.03.0	69.257	30.575	oF	2.09	IMS	Norway-Russia border
				R	12.26.30.0	64.722	30.553	oF	2.78	IMS	Finland-Russia border
02/19	12.42.45	2.88	33	R	12.43.59.4	67.595	33.647	0F	2.46	IMS	European Russia
02/20	20.52.21	2.55	-	т	20.35.24.3	-33.498	-179.673	48	5.9	QED	South of Kermadec Islands
02/20	21.16.05	2.79	60	R	21.16.27.7	67.647	33.555	oF	1.98	IMS	European Russia
				R	21.16.50.5	67.918	33.951	0F	2.39	IMS	European Russia
02/21	08.59.39	2.74	103	R	08.59.25.1	67.657	33.791	OF	2.63	IMS	European Russia
02/21	11.01.46	3.14	173	R	11.01.53.5	64.672	30.801	0F	2.72	IMS	Finland-Russia border
02/21	12.49.06	2.99	135	R	12.50.11.2	69.341	30.688	OF	2.16	IMS	Norway-Russia border
00/07	10.02.10	0.00		R	12.51.02.8	69.380	30.683	01-	2.46	IMS	Norway-Russia border
02/21	16.32.43	2.80	42	ĸ	16.32.43.4	67.117	21.049	01-	2.02	IMS	Sweden
02/22	11.45.00	2.72	44	R P	11.46.12.7	67.485	29.529	01-	1.87	IMS	r inland-Russia border
00/00	11 20 01	0.70	0.5	R P	11.46.59.0	07.558	30.328	015	2.24	IMS	European Russia
02/22	11.09.01	2.70	33	n D	12.00.18.7	67 617	33.059	01	2.50	INIS	European Russia
02/28	12 07 27	2.13	აი	n P	12 00 56 0	50 170	33.709	0F	2.50	INS	European Russia
02/20	12.01.31	2.03 2.60	2	C	12.09.30.9	39.170	21.332	or	1.80	1142	Con in ADCESS
02/28	19 43 14	2.03	2/2	R	12 45 11 0	60 365	30 647	05	2 52	IMC	Norway-Bussia bardan
02/28	14 30 16	2.68	17	R	14 30 29 5	67 700	33 605	01	2.34	IMG	Furonean Bussia
0	1 1.00/10	÷.00		R	14.31 39 7	67.522	33 677	0F	2.31	IMS	European Russia
							00.017	<b>U</b> 1	2.01		

**Table 7.8.1.** List of peaks in the network threshold traces and the events causing the peaks. Following the definition of the CSTM peaks (i.e., date, time, maximum magnitude threshold, and number of seconds with the threshold exceeding  $m_b = 2.6$ ), there is a bulletin of the events causing the peaks in the magnitude threshold traces. It can be seen that in some cases more than one event is contributing to the same peak in the threshold trace.



Fig. 7.8.1. Map showing the location of the northern Novaya Zemlya test site and the Fennoscandian array network. The distances of the three arrays from the test site are for NORESS 2280 km, for ARCESS 1100 km and for FINESA 1780 km.



Hours exceeding given magnitude thresholds

Fig. 7.8.2. Barplot showing the number of hours where the 90% network magnitude threshold exceeds a given magnitude, for the month of February, 1992.



143

Fig. 7.8.3. The upper three traces represent the 90% magnitude thresholds obtained from the individual arrays, whereas the bottom trace illustrates the network threshold. The arrows indicate peaks with network magnitude threshold exceeding  $m_b = 2.6$ . A T at the arrow indicates that the peak is caused by signals from a teleseismic event, whereas an **R** indicates signals from a local or regional event.

May 1992



Fig. 7.8.4. The upper three traces represent the 90% magnitude thresholds obtained from the individual arrays, whereas the bottom trace illustrates the network threshold. The arrows indicate peaks with network magnitude threshold exceeding  $m_b = 2.6$ . A T at the arrow indicates that the peak is caused by signals from a teleseismic event, whereas an **R** indicates signals from a local or regional event.

NORSAR Sci. Rep. 2-91/92

144

May 1992



Fig. 7.8.5. Epicenters of regional events causing the network threshold to exceed  $m_b = 2.6$ . All events, except one felt earthquake in southern Norway, are probable mining explosions. Note the large number of events on the Kola peninsula.







Lower dashed line: ARCESS Middle solid line: NORESS Upper dashed line: FINESA

Network and ARCESS



Fig. 7.8.6b. Four-hour medians of the magnitude thresholds for ARCESS and for the network for the month of February 1992.

Solid line: Network Dashed line: ARCESS



Fig. 7.8.7. Number of peaks exceeding given magnitude thresholds.

Upper part: ARCESS Lower part: Network

147

May 1992

# 7.9 Correlation between temperature and number of detections

When operating an automatic detector such as the STA/LTA detector in effect at the regional arrays in northern Europe, the number of false detections as a function of STA/LTA threshold, frequency and slowness is an important consideration. As discussed in Section 7.6, the f-k analysis is an effective tool at these arrays to separate such false alarms from real seismic detections, by using the calculated phase velocity. In addition, the estimated azimuths and signal frequency can be used to obtain some indications about the origins of these noise detections.

A number of sources contribute to such detections. Some are of cultural origin, others are environmentally determined. In some cases there are significant noise detection effects only during certain times of the year, or during certain environmental conditions.

Luosto and Saastamoinen (1964) have demonstrated a clear correlation between large temperature variations and ice-shocks in a lake. Ice-shocks were observed during the freezing period in early winter, and then a strong correlation between number of ice-shocks and temperature decline was found in March/April. During this latter spring period, the temperature goes above the freezing point during the day, and falls to 10-20 degrees Celsius below freezing point during the night.

In a study of NORESS noise detections, Kværna (1990) found a strong correlation between the water flow in the nearby river Glomma and the number of low-velocity phase detections. Fyen (1990) showed that the noise level is also strongly correlated with the water flow. The noise at certain frequencies is furthermore very strongly affected by various sources of industrial activity.

Many of such noise 'events' are very strong. For the ARCESS array, they are often located within, or very close to the array.

Figs. 7.9.1 and 7.9.2 show the number of detections and termperature at ARCESS and NORESS, respectively, each for a two-week period. We notice a clear correlation between temperature and detection rate. During nighttime, the detection rate is considerably higher than during daytime.

In Fig. 7.9.3, we report a longer period for ARCESS, and we see a very typical increase in the number of detections during the first freezing night in the autumn, but thereafter the connection between freezing temperature and number of detections is not as clear during the mid-winter. However, when the spring comes, we again see a clear correlation between large temperature variations and peaks in the number of detections.

Although these examples are clear enough, we find other periods when the number of detections increases dramatically, without any obvious correlation with temperature changes. One other potential source of such increase in the number of detections is the increase of waterflow in the nearby rivers (Kværna, 1990).

Fig. 7.9.4 illustrates the intensity of these events. The STA/LTA detector classifies this correctly as an "event", but the subsequent fk-analysis classifies it as a "false alarm", which is also correct with respect to what we are looking for.

# J. Fyen K. Hansvold

# References

- Luosto, U. and P. Saastamoinen (1964): , Observations about ice-shocks on lake Saaksjarvi, Geophysica, Vol. 9, No.1, University of Helsinki.
- Kværna, T. (1990): Sources of short-term fluctuations in the seismic noise level at NOR-ESS, PEPI, 63, 269-276.
- Fyen, J. (1990): Diurnal and seasonal variations in the microseismic noise level observed at the NORESS array, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 63, 252-268.



- Days:105–118 Minor tick distance: 1 day
- Fig. 7.9.1. Number of detections (shaded) and temperature for ARCESS during the period April 15 through April 28, 1991.



Fig. 7.9.2. Number of detections (shaded) and temperature for NORESS during the period February 4 through February 17, 1992)

....

.

..

5.02

.



### Days:335— 31 Minor tick distance: 1 week

Fig. 7.9.3. Number of detections and temperature (bold line) for ARCESS during the period October 1 to December 1, 1991 (upper) and December 1, 1991, to February 1, 1992 (lower)..

.

Arrival 29566 Filtered BU B	2 onset 107:22.52.57.880 SNR 10.6 vel 2.9 azi 356.2 fk—coh P 6.0 — 12.0 Hz	
ARD5_sz	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	322.32
ARD4_sz		343.67
ARD3.sz	www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.ww	259.38
ARD6_sz		700.49
ARD7_sz		809.31
ARD2_sz		828.52
ARD1_sz		1000.7
ARD8_sz		1799.9
ARD9_sz	52 55 53 00 53 05 53 05 53	4674.0
ARCESS _sz_	1992-107:22.52.50.005 13/05/92 15:16:	15 NORSAR

Fig. 7.9.4. Example of a "false alarm". This event originated very close to the array, and is interpreted as an "ice-shock". The fk-analysis classified this event as "noise", by reporting apparent velocity 2.9.